

## FINSLER METRICS AND ACTION POTENTIALS

RENATO ITURRIAGA AND HÉCTOR SÁNCHEZ-MORGADO

(Communicated by Michael Handel)

ABSTRACT. We study the behavior of Mañé's action potential  $\Phi_k$  associated to a convex superlinear Lagrangian, for  $k$  bigger than the critical value  $c(L)$ . We obtain growth estimates for the action potential as a function of  $k$ . We also prove that the action potential can be written as  $\Phi_k(x, y) = D_F(x, y) + f(y) - f(x)$  where  $f$  is a smooth function and  $D_F$  is the distance function associated to a Finsler metric.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a closed riemannian manifold with riemannian metric  $\langle v, v \rangle$ . Consider the mechanical Lagrangian

$$L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ (x, v) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \langle v, v \rangle_x - U(x)$$

where  $U(x)$  is a differentiable function on  $M$  called the potential.

It is well known that, on a fixed level of energy  $e$ , bigger than the maximum of  $U$  the lagrangian flow is conjugate to the geodesic flow with metric  $2(e - U(x))\langle v, v \rangle$ . Moreover the reduced action of the Lagrangian is the distance for this metric. Either or both of these statements are known as the Maupertuis principle. See the books [1], [2] or [5].

Consider now a general convex superlinear Lagrangian  $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . This means that  $L$  restricted to each  $T_x M$  has positive definite Hessian and

$$\lim_{|v| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(x, v)}{|v|} = \infty,$$

uniformly on  $x \in M$ .

It was proven in [4] that for large energy values the lagrangian flow is conjugate to the flow of a Finsler metric. See below for the precise statement. In Theorem 1 we prove a generalization of the other statement of the Maupertuis principle. This was motivated by discussions with R. Montgomery about the presentation in [5], which also motivated Theorem 2.

Let  $H : T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the Hamiltonian associated to  $L$  and let  $\mathcal{L} : TM \rightarrow T^*M$  be the Legendre transform  $(x, v) \mapsto \partial L / \partial v(x, v)$ . Since  $M$  is compact, the extremals of  $L$  give rise to a complete flow  $\varphi_t : TM \rightarrow TM$  called the Euler-Lagrange flow of

---

Received by the editors December 28, 1998.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 37J50, 70H30.

Both authors were partially supported by CONACYT-México grant # 28489-E.

the Lagrangian. Using the Legendre transform we can push forward  $\varphi_t$  to obtain another flow  $\varphi_t^*$  which is the Hamiltonian flow of  $H$  with respect to the canonical symplectic structure of  $T^*M$ . Recall that the *energy*  $E : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$E(x, v) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(x, v) \cdot v - L(x, v).$$

Since  $L$  is autonomous,  $E$  is a first integral of the flow  $\varphi_t$ .

Recall that the action of the Lagrangian  $L$  on an absolutely continuous curve  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$  is defined by

$$A_L(\gamma) = \int_a^b L(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) dt.$$

Given two points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $M$  and  $T > 0$  denote by  $\mathcal{C}_T(x, y)$  the set of absolutely continuous curves  $\gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow M$ , with  $\gamma(0) = x$  and  $\gamma(T) = y$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  we define the *action potential*  $\Phi_k : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\Phi_k(x, y) = \inf \{ A_{L+k}(\gamma) : \gamma \in \bigcup_{T>0} \mathcal{C}_T(x, y) \}.$$

The *critical value of  $L$* , which was introduced by Mañé in [6], is the real number  $c(L)$  defined as the infimum of  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for some  $x \in M$ ,  $\Phi_k(x, x) > -\infty$ . For  $k \geq c(L)$ , we have that  $\Phi_k(x, y) > -\infty$  for every  $x, y$  and it is a Lipschitz function that satisfies the triangle inequality.

For any  $k > c(L)$  the flow on the energy level  $k$  is conjugate to the geodesic flow of an appropriately chosen Finsler metric on  $M$  (see [4]).

Given a Finsler metric  $\sqrt{F}$  and an absolutely continuous curve  $\gamma$  we can define its Finsler length as

$$l_F(\gamma) = \int \sqrt{F(\dot{\gamma})}.$$

Observe that since the Finsler metric is homogeneous of degree one, the definition does not depend on the parameterization of the curve. Finally we define the Finsler distance as

$$D_F(x, y) = \inf \{ l_F(\gamma) \}$$

where the infimum is taken over all absolutely continuous curves joining  $x$  and  $y$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $k$  is bigger than the critical value, then there exist a Finsler metric  $\sqrt{F}$  and a  $C^\infty$  real valued function  $f$  on  $M$  such that  $\Phi_k(x, y) = D_F(x, y) + f(y) - f(x)$ . Moreover if  $k$  is bigger than  $-\inf L$ , then we can choose  $f = 0$ .*

As a consequence of Theorem 1 we have that there is a neighborhood  $V$  of the diagonal  $\Delta$  in  $M \times M$ , such that  $\Phi_k$  is differentiable in  $V \setminus \Delta$ .

For  $x, y$  fixed and  $T > 0$  define

$$S(T) = \inf \{ A_L(\gamma) : \gamma \in \mathcal{C}_T(x, y) \}.$$

It is easily shown that  $S(T)$  is continuous. Although  $S(T)$  is not necessarily convex, its Legendre transform:

$$S^*(e) = \max_{T>0} (eT - S(T))$$

is a well defined convex function and coincides with the Legendre transform of the convex hull  $\bar{S}$  of  $S$ . Notice that

$$(1) \quad \Phi_k(x, y) = -S^*(-k)$$

and so the domain of  $S^*$  is  $\text{dom } S^* = (-\infty, -c(L)]$ . It follows from the definition of the action potential that  $g(k) = \Phi_k(x, y)$  is nondecreasing and so is  $S^*$ .

**Theorem 2.** *For all  $x, y$  in  $M$  we have that:*

(a)  *$g$  grows slower than any linear function; that is,*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(k)}{k} = 0.$$

(b) *The right derivative of  $g$  at  $c(L)$  is infinite.*

(c)  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} S(T)/T = -c(L)$ .

2. PROOFS

*Proof of Theorem 2.* It is well known that if  $f$  is a convex function of a real variable, then

(1) If  $x \in \text{int}(\text{dom } f)$ , then both one side derivatives exist and  $f'_-(x) \leq f'_+(x)$ .

(2) If  $x \in \text{dom } f$  is a boundary point, then the corresponding one side derivative exists.

(3) If  $x < y$ ,  $f'_+(x) \leq f'_-(y)$ .

Define

$$\text{rang } \partial f = \bigcup_{x \in \text{dom } f} [f'_-(x), f'_+(x)].$$

It is proved in [8], Section 24, that

$$\text{int}(\text{dom } f^*) \subset \text{rang } \partial f \subset \text{dom } f^*.$$

Therefore

$$\text{rang } \partial S^* = \text{dom } S^{**} = \text{dom } \bar{S} = (0, \infty).$$

Thus

$$\lim_{e \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{S^*(e)}{e} = 0$$

and

$$S'^*_-(-c(L)) = \lim_{e \rightarrow -c(L)} S'^*_-(e) = \infty.$$

From equation (1), items (a) and (b) follow.

By the same kind of arguments  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \bar{S}(T)/T = -c(L)$ , and then

$$-c(L) \leq \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(T)}{T}.$$

To prove the other inequality, let  $\mu$  be an ergodic minimizing probability, that is, an invariant ergodic probability for the lagrangian flow  $\varphi_t$  such that

$$m := \int L d\mu \leq \int L d\nu$$

for any invariant probability  $\nu$ . Mather proved that such measures exist (see [7]). Let  $\theta \in TM$  be a regular point for  $\mu$ , such that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T L(\varphi_t(\theta)) dt = m.$$

Let  $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$  be the natural projection. Comparing with the curve  $\gamma$  obtained by joining  $x$  and  $\pi(\theta)$  with a short curve, then following the curve  $\pi\varphi_t(\theta)$  and then joining  $\pi\varphi_T(\theta)$  and  $y$  with a short curve, we have that for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $T$  large enough

$$S(T) \leq (m + \varepsilon)T + O(1).$$

So

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(T)}{T} \leq (m + \varepsilon).$$

Item (c) now follows from the fact due to Mañé [6, 3] that  $m = -c(L)$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We begin with the last statement. Note that  $L + k$  is bigger than zero if and only if  $H(x, 0) < k$ . Indeed

$$H(x, p) = \max_{v \in T_x M} (pv - L(x, v));$$

then

$$H(x, 0) = \max_{v \in T_x M} (-L(x, v)) = - \min_{v \in T_x M} (L(x, v)).$$

So if  $k$  is bigger than  $-\inf L$ , then  $H^{-1}(-\infty, k)$  contains the zero section of  $T^*M$ .

Now define a new Hamiltonian  $G$  on  $T^*M$  minus the zero section such that  $G$  takes the value  $\mu$  on  $H^{-1}(k)$  and such that  $G(x, \lambda p) = \lambda^2 G(x, p)$  for all positive  $\lambda$ . Since  $G$  is positively homogeneous of degree two and convex in  $p$ , it follows that the Legendre transform  $F$  associated to  $G$  is the square of a Finsler metric.

Since by definition  $G^{-1}(\mu) = H^{-1}(k)$ , it follows that the Hamiltonian flows of  $G$  and  $H$  coincide up to reparameterization on the energy level  $G^{-1}(\mu) = H^{-1}(k)$  and therefore the Euler-Lagrange solutions of  $L$  with energy  $k$  are reparameterizations of geodesics of  $\sqrt{F}$ .

We claim that for an appropriate choice of  $\mu$  and if  $E(x, v) = k$ , then

$$\sqrt{F(x, v)} = L + k.$$

It is proved in [6, 3] that for  $k$  greater than the critical value and for any  $x, y$  in  $M$  there exists  $\gamma$  such that  $A_{L+k}(\gamma) = \Phi_k(x, y)$ . Moreover  $\gamma$  is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation and has energy  $k$ . Also, if  $k > c(L)$ , every curve can be reparameterized to have energy  $k$  and the Finsler length does not depend on the reparameterization. By the definitions of both  $D_F$  and  $\Phi_k$ , we may restrict ourselves to curves with energy  $k$  and Theorem 1 follows in this case.

*Proof of the claim.* Since  $G$  is homogeneous of degree 2 it follows from Euler's formula that  $F$  and  $G$  take the same value at Legendre related points.

Define  $\lambda(x, p)$  such that  $H(x, \frac{p}{\lambda}) = k$ ; then  $G(x, p) = \mu\lambda^2(x, p)$ . We have

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}(x, \frac{p}{\lambda})\lambda^{-1} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}(x, \frac{p}{\lambda}) \cdot p \lambda^{-2} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial p} = 0$$

and

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial p} = 2\mu\lambda \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial p}.$$

Multiplying (2) by  $2\mu\lambda^3$  we then get

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}\left(x, \frac{p}{\lambda}\right) \cdot p \frac{\partial G}{\partial p} = 2G(x, p) \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}\left(x, \frac{p}{\lambda}\right).$$

Suppose now that  $E(x, v) = k$  and let  $P(x, v) = \partial L / \partial v$ ; then by definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x, P(x, v)) &= 1, \\ G(x, P(x, v)) &= \mu, \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}(x, P(x, v)) &= v, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}(x, P(x, v)) \cdot P(x, v) &= v \frac{\partial L}{\partial v} \\ &= L + k \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (3) we have

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial p}(x, P(x, v)) = \frac{2v}{v \cdot P(x, v)}.$$

Since  $\partial G / \partial p$  is homogeneous of degree one and from (4)  $v \cdot P(x, v)$  is positive, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial p}\left(x, \frac{1}{2}v \cdot P(x, v)P(x, v)\right) = v.$$

So  $v$  is related to  $\frac{1}{2}v \cdot P(x, v)P(x, v)$  with respect to the Legendre transform of  $F$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, v) &= G\left(x, \frac{1}{2}v \cdot P(x, v)P(x, v)\right) \\ &= \frac{(v \cdot P(x, v))^2}{4} G(x, P(x, v)) \\ &= \frac{(v \cdot P(x, v))^2}{4} \mu. \end{aligned}$$

So if  $\mu = 4$ ,

$$\sqrt{F(x, v)} = v \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}.$$

Now let  $k$  be bigger than  $c(L)$ . Then by a corollary in [4] there exists a  $C^\infty$  real valued function  $f$  on  $M$ , such that  $H(x, df_x) < k$ . Define as in [4]  $H_{df}(x, p) = H(x, p + df_x)$ . The Hamiltonian flows are conjugate by  $\psi(x, p) = (x, p - df_x)$ . The

Legendre transformation  $L_{df}$  of  $H_{df}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} L_{df}(x, v) &= \max_{p \in T_x^*M} (pv - H_{df}(x, p)) \\ &= \max_{p \in T_x^*M} (pv - H(x, p + df_x)) \\ &= \max_{p \in T_x^*M} ((p - df_x)v - H(x, p)) \\ &= L(x, v) - df_x v. \end{aligned}$$

It turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} E(L_{df}) &= E(L), \\ c(L_{df}) &= c(L), \\ \Phi_k(L_{df})(x, y) &= \Phi_k(L)(x, y) - f(y) + f(x). \end{aligned}$$

So as the zero section is contained in  $H_{df}^{-1}(-\infty, k)$ ,  $L_{df} + k$  is positive and there is a Finsler metric such that

$$\Phi_k(L_{df})(x, y) = D_F(x, y).$$

So

$$\Phi_k(L)(x, y) = D_F(x, y) + f(y) - f(x).$$

□

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Abraham & J. Marsden. *Foundations of Mechanics*. Addison-Wesley, 1985. MR **81e**:58025
- [2] V.I. Arnold. *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*. Graduate Texts in Math., **60**. Springer, 1989. MR **96c**:70001
- [3] G. Contreras, J. Delgado, R. Iturriaga, *Lagrangian flows: the dynamics of globally minimizing orbits II*, Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat. Vol. **28**, N.2, (1997) 155-196. MR **98i**:58093
- [4] G. Contreras, R. Iturriaga, G. P. Paternain, M. Paternain. *Lagrangian graphs, minimizing measures and Mañé's critical values*. Geometric and Functional Analysis Vol. **8** (1998) 788-809. MR **99f**:58075
- [5] M. Gutzwiller. *Chaos in Classical and Quantum Mechanics* New York. Springer Verlag (1990). MR **91m**:58099
- [6] R. Mañé, *Lagrangian flows: the dynamics of globally minimizing orbits*, International Congress on Dynamical Systems in Montevideo (a tribute to Ricardo Mañé), F. Ledrappier, J. Lewowicz, S. Newhouse eds., Pitman Research Notes in Math. **362** (1996) 120–131. Reprinted in Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat. Vol **28**, N. 2, (1997) 141-153. MR **98i**:58092
- [7] J. Mather. *Action minimizing invariant measures for positive definite Lagrangian systems*. Math. Z. **207**, (1991), no. 2, 169-207. MR **92m**:58048
- [8] R.T. Rockafellar. *Convex Analysis*. Princeton Landmarks in Math. Princeton. Princeton University Press (1997). MR **97m**:49001

CIMAT, A.P. 402, 36000, GUANAJUATO. GTO., MÉXICO  
*E-mail address*: [renato@fractal.cimat.mx](mailto:renato@fractal.cimat.mx)

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNAM, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, C. P. 04510, MÉXICO, DF, MÉXICO  
*E-mail address*: [hector@matem.unam.mx](mailto:hector@matem.unam.mx)