

Guarding the Convex Subsets of a Point-set (Extended Abstract)

Jurek Czyzowicz^{§‡}
jurek@uqah.quebec.ca

Evangelos Kranakis^{*‡}
kranakis@scs.carleton.ca

Jorge Urrutia^{†‡}
jorge@site.uottawa.ca

Abstract

A point in the plane is called a *guard* for the convex set C if it lies in the interior of C . Let \mathcal{P} be a planar point-set. A set \mathcal{S} of points is a *k-convex guard set* for \mathcal{P} if every convex k -gon formed from points of \mathcal{P} contains a guard from \mathcal{S} . We study, for any integer $k \geq 3$, the minimum size of a k -convex guard set of a given planar point-set of size n . We give the tight bounds for the case $k = 3$, for any arrangement of n points, c of which form their convex hull. We prove that, in this case, $2n - c - 2$ guards are always necessary and sufficient to cover all the triangles having vertices from \mathcal{P} .

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider planar point-sets with points in general position (i.e., no three points in the set lie on a straight line). A point in the plane is called a *guard* for the convex set C if it lies in the interior of C .

Definition 1.1 *Let \mathcal{P} be a planar point-set on n points. A set \mathcal{S} of points is a k -convex guard set for \mathcal{P} if every convex k -gon formed from points of \mathcal{P} contains a guard from \mathcal{S} .*

We consider the following problem.

Problem 1.1 *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. Given a set \mathcal{P} of n points in general position in the plane what is the minimum size of a k -convex guard set for the point-set \mathcal{P} ?*

This gives rise to the following definition.

Definition 1.2 *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. Define $G_k(n)$ to be the minimum positive integer s such that every planar point-set \mathcal{P} of size n has a k -convex guard set of size s .*

[§]Departement d'Informatique, Universite du Québec à Hull, Hull, Québec J8X 3X7, Canada.

^{*}Carleton University, School of Computer Science, Ottawa, ON, K1S 5B6, Canada.

[†]University of Ottawa, School of Information Technology and Engineering, Ottawa, ON, K1N 9B4, Canada.

[‡]Research supported in part by NSERC (Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada) grants.

If we restrict attention to convex point-sets \mathcal{C} then we have the following definition.

Definition 1.3 *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. Define $G_k^{\mathcal{C}}(n)$ to be the minimum positive integer s such that for every planar convex set \mathcal{C} of size n has a k -convex guard set of size s .*

If we restrict attention to convex point-sets \mathcal{R} whose points form a regular n -gon then we have the following definition.

Definition 1.4 *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. Define $G_k^{\mathcal{R}}(n)$ to be the minimum positive integer s such that for every set of points, which are vertices of a regular n -gon, has a k -convex guard set of size s .*

In the sequel we provide more precise upper and lower bounds for these functions.

It is clear from the definitions that

$$G_n(n) \leq G_{n-1}(n) \leq \dots \leq G_3(n).$$

Similar inequalities hold for the functions $G_k^{\mathcal{C}}(n)$ and $G_k^{\mathcal{R}}(n)$.

2 Convex Point-sets

In this section we consider only convex point-sets. It is easy to see that from any set of n points in convex position we can construct at most $\lfloor \frac{n-2}{k-2} \rfloor$ pairwise non-overlapping convex k -gons. Therefore the following result follows easily.

Lemma 2.1 *For every $k \leq n$, every convex set of size n has a k -convex guard set of size $\lfloor \frac{n-2}{k-2} \rfloor$. ■*

Theorem 2.1 below is an immediate consequence of the special case in Theorem 2.3 when the point-set is convex, but we give a separate proof to aid our understanding.

Theorem 2.1 *For any $n \geq 3$, $C_3^{\mathcal{C}}(n) = n - 2$. i.e., for any convex set \mathcal{C} of n points in general position in the plane $n - 2$ points are necessary and sufficient to guard all triangles formed by three points from \mathcal{C} .*




Figure 1: Proving the upper bound for convex point-sets.

PROOF The lower bound follows immediately from Lemma 2.1 when $k = 3$. To prove the upper bound consider a convex point-set \mathcal{C} consisting of n vertices P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n in clockwise order. For each $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ put a guard P'_i inside the intersection of the interior of the triangles $P_1P_iP_n$ and $P_{i-1}P_iP_{i+1}$. Let $S = \{P'_2, P'_3, \dots, P'_{n-1}\}$. We show that the interior of any triangle $P_iP_jP_k$, where $1 \leq k < i < j \leq n - 1$, contains a guard from S . Consider the guard P'_j . By definition it lies at the intersection of the interiors of the triangles $P_1P_jP_n$ and $P_{j-1}P_jP_{j+1}$ and therefore also at the interior of the triangle $P_iP_jP_k$ (see Figure 1).

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

Using Theorem 2.1 and repeating the proof of Theorem 3.3 from the next section we obtain the following extension.

Theorem 2.2 For any $n \geq 3k$, $C_{2k+1}^C(n) \leq n - 2k$. i.e., for any convex set \mathcal{C} of n points in general position in the plane $n - 2k$ points are sufficient to guard all convex $(2k + 1)$ -gons formed by k points from \mathcal{C} . ■

Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.1 imply that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n - 2}{2k - 1} \right\rfloor \leq C_{2k+1}^C(n) = n - 2k,$$

but in general we do not know of any tighter bounds for convex sets. However, for regular n -gons we can prove the following result.

Theorem 2.3 For any $n \geq k$, $\Omega(n/k) \leq G_k^R(n) \leq O((n/k)^2)$, i.e., $\Omega(n/k)$ -many k -convex guards are necessary and $O((n/k)^2)$ -many k -convex guards are sufficient to guard all the k -convex subsets of a regular n -gon.

PROOF (OUTLINE) The lower bound follows from Theorem 2.1. Let \mathcal{P} be the set of vertices of a regular n -gon placed on a circle of radius 1. Notice that there exists a constant c , such that in the interior of

any convex k -gon we can place a circle of radius c/k . This indicates that the following set of guards would be sufficient. Take $O(n/k)$ equally spaced diameters of the regular polygon and place $O(n/k)$ guards equally spaced on each such diameter. This proves the theorem. ■

3 Arbitrary Point-sets

In the sequel we extend Theorem 2.1 to arbitrary point-sets. In particular we prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1 Let n be an integer ≥ 3 , For any set \mathcal{P} of n points in general position in the plane, $(2n - 5)$ -many 3-convex guards are necessary and sufficient to guard every triangle formed by three points of \mathcal{P} . ■

In fact, since the convex hull of a point-set always has at least 3 points this is an immediate consequence of the following stronger result.

Theorem 3.2 Let n be an integer ≥ 3 , For any set \mathcal{P} of n points in general position in the plane with c points in its convex hull, $(2n - c - 2)$ -many 3-convex guards are necessary and sufficient to guard every triangle formed by three points of \mathcal{P} .

PROOF Let \mathcal{P} be a point-set consisting of n points which has c vertices in its convex hull. First of all we prove that $(2n - c - 2)$ -many 3-convex guards are sufficient to guard every triangle formed by three points of \mathcal{P} . Take any point P in the convex hull of the point-set \mathcal{P} . With each other point $Q \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{P\}$, if Q belongs to the convex hull of \mathcal{P} , we associate one guard, otherwise we associate two guards in the following way. Suppose first that Q is not a hull point. Take point $S \in \mathcal{P}$, left to the line defined by the segment PQ , such that the wedge PQS does not contain in its interior any other point from \mathcal{P} . We will call it the *lower Q -wedge*. Place a guard Q' in the intersection of lower Q -wedge with all the triangles containing point Q and having vertices at points of \mathcal{P} . In similar way place a guard Q'' in the *upper Q -wedge* (see Figure 2).

If Q is a hull vertex, we place a guard in the intersection of lower Q -wedge with all the triangles having one vertex at Q and the two remaining vertices lying on the different sides of line PQ . We will also permit that the vertex which have to lie right to PQ may be point P itself.

It remains to verify that every triangle built from the points of \mathcal{P} contains one of $2n - c - 2$ guards defined above. Take any such triangle and draw the line l passing through point P and one of its vertices, that we call Q , such that the remaining vertices are separated by l (see Figure 3 (a) and (b)). This is always possible, unless one of the vertices of the triangle is point P




Figure 2: Proving the upper bound for arbitrary point-sets.




Figure 3: The three types of triangles with one vertex equal to Q .

itself. In this case we chose as vertex Q the one which will leave the triangle on the left-hand side of l (see Figure 3 (c)).

It is possible to show that each such triangle contains a guard associated with point Q . This guard is from the upper Q -wedge for the triangle of type (a) and from the lower Q -wedge for triangles of type (b) and (c). As for Q being the hull vertex only triangles type (b) and (c) are possible, the single guard placed at the lower Q -wedge was sufficient.

This completes the proof of our desired assertion and hence also the proof that $(2n - c - 2)$ -many 3-convex guards are always sufficient for guarding point-sets with c points in their convex hull.

To prove that $2n - c - 2$ points are also necessary, note that every triangulation of \mathcal{P} has $2n - c - 2$ triangles. As each of them needs a guard this completes the proof of the theorem. ■

As a corollary we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.1 *For any set \mathcal{P} of n points in general position in the plane with c points in its convex hull there is a collection \mathcal{S} of $n - 2$ points such that for every*

triangulation of \mathcal{P} every triangle from the triangulation contains exactly one point from \mathcal{S} in its interior. ■

Next we consider a bound for guarding convex k -gons when $k > 3$. More precisely we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3 *For any $n \geq 3k$, $G_{2k+1}(n) \leq 2n - 5k$, i.e., for any set \mathcal{P} of n points in general position in the plane $(2n - 5k)$ -many $(2k + 1)$ -convex guards are always sufficient to guard every convex $(2k + 1)$ -gon formed from points of \mathcal{P} .*

PROOF Partition \mathcal{P} into k pointsets $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k$ each of size at least ≥ 3 . By Theorem 3.2, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, there is a set \mathcal{S}_i of size at most $2|\mathcal{P}_i| - 5$ such that every triangle formed from points of \mathcal{P}_i has a guard from \mathcal{S}_i in its interior. Define the set $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{S}_k$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{S}| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k |\mathcal{S}_i| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k (2|\mathcal{P}_i| - 5) \\ &\leq 2n - 5k. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Let C be a convex $(2k + 1)$ -gon formed from vertices of \mathcal{P} . There exists an integer i and three points P, Q, R from C such that $P, Q, R \in \mathcal{P}_i$. Indeed, otherwise for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, $|C \cap \mathcal{P}_i| \leq 2$, which implies that $2k + 1 = |C| = \sum_{i=1}^k |C \cap \mathcal{P}_i| \leq 2k$, which is a contradiction. However, the triangle PQR must have a guard in \mathcal{S}_i . It follows that C also has a guard in \mathcal{S}_i . Hence C has a guard in \mathcal{S} . It follows that $G_{2k+1}(n) \leq 2n - 5k$ and the proof of the theorem is complete. ■

A stronger upper bound can be proved when $n \geq k \log n$ by selecting appropriately the partition above.

Theorem 3.4 *For any $n \geq k \log n$, $G_{2k+1}(n) \leq$*

$$2(n - k) - 3 - \frac{k - 1}{2} \log(n - (k - 2) \log n),$$

i.e., for any set \mathcal{P} of n points in general position in the plane $(2(n - k) - 3 - \frac{k-1}{2} \log(n - (k - 2) \log n))$ -many $(2k + 1)$ -convex guards are always sufficient to guard every convex $(2k + 1)$ -gon formed from points of \mathcal{P} .

PROOF By the Erdős-Szekeres theorem [2], from every set of n points in the plane, with no three points collinear, one can always select the vertices of a convex $\lceil \log n \rceil$ -gon. In the proof of Theorem 3.3 above we select the partition $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k$ of \mathcal{P} as follows. Choose \mathcal{P}_1 to be a convex subset of \mathcal{P} of size $c_1 \geq \log n$. Choose \mathcal{P}_2 to be a convex subset of $\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_1$ of size $c_2 \geq \log(n - \log n)$. Continue inductively and define \mathcal{P}_{i+1} as a convex subset of $\mathcal{P} \setminus (\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}_i)$ of size $c_i \geq \log(n - i \log n)$, for $i + 1 < k$. The last set \mathcal{P}_k may not be convex, but it will have a convex hull of size $c_k \geq 3$.

Since by theorem 3.2, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

$$|\mathcal{S}_i| = 2|\mathcal{P}_i| - c_i - 2$$

repeating the proof of Theorem 3.3, Inequality 1 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{S}| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k |\mathcal{S}_i| \\ &\leq 2n - 2k - \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \\ &\leq 2n - 2k - 3 - \frac{k-1}{2} \log(n - (k-2) \log n). \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof remains the same. This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

4 Open Problems

It would be interesting to obtain tighter bounds for the function $G_k(n)$, $G_k^{\mathcal{C}}(n)$, $G_k^{\mathcal{R}}(n)$.

References

- [1] H. T. Croft, K. J. Falconer, and R. K. Guy, "Unsolved Problems in Geometry", Problem Books in Mathematics, Vol. 11, Springer Verlag, 1991.
- [2] P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, "A Combinatorial Problem in Geometry", *Composito Math.*, 2, pp. 263-270, 1935.