

06/02/2017

Newton-Oukounkov bodies in Lie theory

1. Motivation

1) Tonic degen. of flag varieties

- Gonchariev-Lakshmibai
- Caldero
- Feigin-Fourier-Littelmann

Newton-Oukounkov bodies

- Anderson
- Kaveh
- Khintchenko

We want to produce valuations in a general way

2) Computations of points in NO body

3) Understand the work of GHTKX

polytope $P \rightarrow H$ description \leftrightarrow facets/hypopl.
 $\rightarrow V$ description \leftrightarrow cov. (vert.)

Pietsch-Williams : Grassmannians

A-model	B-model
total positivity	LG-model
quantum cohom.	W superpotential
↳ valuations	
flow model	$\text{Trop}(W) = 0$

② Birational sequences and NO bodies

jt. Fourier, Littelmann

special case: G/B to simplify

G connected, simply-con., simple / \mathbb{C}

$T \subset B \subset G$

$(\mathbb{C}^*) \quad (\mathbb{C}^*) \quad S_n$

$\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G = \underbrace{\mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}}_{\text{Lie } B} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$ ^{LieT}

$U^- = \exp(\mathfrak{n}^-)$, Δ_+ pos. roots, $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} = \langle f_\alpha \rangle$

$u_{-\alpha} = \{ \exp(t f_\alpha) \mid t \in \mathbb{C} \}$ root subgroup

$X = G/B$ flag variety

d dim. int. wt

\mathcal{L}_d line bundle on X , very ample

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_d) \cong V(d)^*$$

$$X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V(d))$$

homog. coord ring $R(\mathcal{L}_d) = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_d^m)$

$$= \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} V(d)^*$$

$$R(\mathcal{L}_d) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(G/B)$$

$s_0 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_d)$ highest wt. section

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \longrightarrow & \frac{S}{S_0^m} \\ \cap & & \\ H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_d^m) & & \end{array}$$

$$N = \dim G/B$$

valuation: $v: \mathbb{C}(G/B) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N$

$$u^- \dashrightarrow G/B \text{ is birational}$$

$$x \longmapsto xB$$

hence $v: \mathbb{C}(u^-) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N$

Def: A sequence $S = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)$ is birational

if $m: u_{-\beta_1} \times \dots \times u_{-\beta_N} \longrightarrow u^-$ is birational.

$$\mathbb{A}^N \rightarrow U_{-p_1} X \cdots X U_{-p_N} \rightarrow U^-$$

$$(t_1, \dots, t_N) \mapsto (\exp(t_1 p_1), \dots, \exp(t_N p_N)) \rightarrow \prod_k \exp(t_k f_{p_k})$$

Take x_1, \dots, x_N coord. on \mathbb{A}^N

$$\mathbb{C}(\hat{U}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_N)$$

$$v: \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_N) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N$$

- Total orders:
- (1) lex
 - (2) oplex
 - (3) rlex
 - (4) roplex

Example: $\underline{S}_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1) \quad \underline{S}_2 = (a_2, b_2, c_2)$

$$\underline{S}_1 \succ_{\text{rlex}} \underline{S}_2 \Leftrightarrow (c_1 > c_2) \text{ or } (c_1 = c_2 \ \& \ b_1 > b_2) \\ \text{or } (c_1 = c_2, b_1 = b_2, a_1 > a_2)$$

$$\underline{S}_1 \succ_{\text{oplex}} \underline{S}_2 \Leftrightarrow \underline{S}_1 \leq_{\text{lex}} \underline{S}_2$$

Valuation: $v: \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_N) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N$ ↖ fix total order

$$f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_N], \quad f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^N} c_n X^n$$

$$\text{then } v(f) = \min_{\mathbb{Z}^N} \{ n \in \mathbb{N}^N \mid c_n \neq 0 \}$$

$$\text{for } \frac{f}{g} \quad v\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = v(f) - v(g)$$

Then: $\Gamma(S, \nu) := \{ (m, \nu(\phi)) \mid \phi \in V(m)^* \setminus \{0\} \}$
 $\subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$ semigroup of valuation
 $C(S, \nu) := \overline{\text{conv}(\Gamma(S, \nu))} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ cone
 $\Delta(S, \nu) := C(S, \nu) \cap (\{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ NO body

Q: 1) When is $\Gamma(S, \nu)$ fin. gen. & saturated?

2) How to compute $\Gamma(S, \nu)$?

Examples

1) $\omega_0 = S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_n}$ & $S = (\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_n})$ birational
 fix complex total order on \mathbb{Z}^n

Theorem: $C(S, \nu)$ is weighted string cone

$\Delta(S, \nu)$ is string polytope

↳ Berenstein-Zelevinski & Littelmann

↳ $\Gamma(S, \nu)$ is fin. gen. & saturated

Recover degenerations of Caldero & Kapovich

Example 2 $\omega_0 = S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_n}$ $(\beta_k = S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k}))$

$S = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, complex

Theorem: $\Gamma(S, \nu)$ fin. gen. & saturated

(Using parametrization of canonical bases)

Example 3: $G = SL_n$ or Sp_{2n} $\Delta_+ = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$

where $i < j \Leftrightarrow f_i - f_j \in \Delta_+$

e.g. for SL_4 ($\underbrace{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3}, \underbrace{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \underbrace{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3}, \underbrace{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3}$)

$S = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ good sequence & flex

Theorem: $\Gamma(S, \succ)$ is fin. gen. & saturated
 $\Delta(S, \succ)$ is $\mathbb{F}\langle U \rangle$ polytope

Conjecture: for S birat seq. & " \succ " total order on \mathbb{Z}^n
 $\Gamma(S, \succ)$ is fin. gen.

③ Computing points in $\Gamma(S, \succ)$ & applications

$S = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ birat. seq. & " \succ " total order

$U(m^-)$ $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n$

$U(m^-)_{\leq \underline{m}} = \{f^{\underline{k}} = f_{\beta_1}^{k_1} \cdots f_{\beta_n}^{k_n} \mid \underline{k} \leq \underline{m}\}$ filter.

Similarly $U(m^-)_{< n}$

fix $V(d)$ rep., $V(d) = U(m^-) \cdot v_n$ h. wt. vec.

\rightsquigarrow induced filtration $V(d)_{\leq m}, V(d)_{< m}$

Def: $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ essential, if $\dim \left(\frac{V(d)_{\leq \underline{m}}}{V(d)_{< \underline{m}}} \right) = 1$

$es(d)$ set of ess. exponents

$\underline{m} \in es(d)$ then $f^{\underline{m}}$ essential monomial

Properties: (1) $es(n\lambda) + es(m\lambda) \subset es((n+m)\lambda)$

$$\rightarrow \Gamma(\lambda) := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \{n\} \times es(n\lambda) \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$$

is a semigroup

$$(2) \Gamma(\lambda) = \Gamma(S_{\lambda})$$

(3) if $\Gamma(\lambda)$ fin. gen. then $\text{conv}(es(\lambda)) = \Delta(S_{\lambda})$

Example $G = SL_4$, $\lambda = \omega_2$, $V(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}^4$, e_1, \dots, e_4 basis

$$X = \text{Gr}(2, \mathbb{C}^4)$$

fix $S = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_3, \alpha_1, \alpha_1)$ & " \rightarrow " is lex order

highest wt vector is $e_1 \wedge e_2$

$$e_1 \wedge e_2 = f^{\alpha_1} \cdot e_1 \wedge e_2 \Rightarrow \underline{0} \in es(\omega_2)$$

$$e_1 \wedge e_3 = f_{\alpha_2} \cdot e_1 \wedge e_2 \Rightarrow (0, 0, 0, 1) \in es(\omega_2)$$

$$e_1 \wedge e_4 = f_{\alpha_3} f_{\alpha_2} e_1 \wedge e_2$$

$$= f_{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3} e_1 \wedge e_2$$

$$(0, 1, 0, 1) < (1, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$\uparrow \\ es(\omega_2)$$

Applications on Gromov-width:

Gromov width: (M^{2n}, ω) sympl. mfd

$B^{2n}(a)$ open ball w. radius $\sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}}$

$\hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_{std})$

$GW(M) := \sup \{ a \mid B^{2n}(a) \hookrightarrow (M, \omega) \text{ sympl.} \}$

$M = \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ coadjoint orbit ass. to max cp. $U \subset G$

Theorem (Cavides, Castro, F.-Littelmann-Pabiniak)

$$GW(\mathcal{O}_\lambda) = r_\lambda = \min \{ |\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle| \mid \alpha \in \Delta_+, \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \neq 0 \}$$

How to prove?

(1) $GW(\mathcal{O}_\lambda) \leq r_\lambda$ (C-C) Gromov-Witten theory

(2) $GW(\mathcal{O}_\lambda) \geq r_\lambda$ (F-L-P)

construct a ball

(2.1) (Kaveh-Pabiniak)

$GW(\mathcal{O}_\lambda) \geq R$ if up to $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ \exists an open simplex of size R in a NObody assoc. to \mathcal{O}_λ

(2.2) How to find this simplex?

$S = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ good sequence \Leftarrow " \ll " simplex

then the open simplex of size r_λ is cont. in $\Delta(S, \gamma)$