MATH 340

A Sensitivity Analysis Example

Richard Anstee

The following examples have been sometimes given in lectures and so the fractions are rather unpleasant for testing purposes. Note that each question is imagined to be independent; the changes are not cumulative.

We wish to consider a desk manufacturer who can choose to produce three types of desks from 3 raw materials

	Desk 1	Desk 2	Desk 3	availability
carpentry	4	6	8	600 hours
finishing	1	3.5	2	300 hours
space	2	4	3	$550~\mathrm{sq.}$ m.
net profit	12	20	18	

Now setting x_i = number of desks of type *i* to be produced we have the LP:

We get the final dictionary:

a) Give A_B^{-1} , appropriately labelled:

$$A_B^{-1} = \begin{array}{ccc} x_4 & x_5 & x_6 \\ x_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{16} & -\frac{3}{4} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

b) Give the marginal values associated with carpentry, finishing and space: carpentry: $\frac{11}{4}$, finishing: 1, space: 0

i.e. extra hours carpentry worth $\frac{11}{4}$, extra hours finishing worth 1, extra space not helpful. c) Give a range on b_3 (space) so $\{x_1, x_2, x_6\}$ still yields an optimal basis:

In this case $c_N^T - c_B^T A_B^{-1} A_N \leq \mathbf{0}$ as before so we need $A_B^{-1} \mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{0}$.

$$A_B^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}600\\300\\b_3\end{pmatrix} = \begin{array}{c}x_4 & x_5 & x_6\\x_1 & \left(\frac{7}{16} & -\frac{3}{4} & 0\\-\frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\-\frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1\end{array}\right)\begin{pmatrix}600\\300\\b_3\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{75}{2}\\75\\b_3 - 375\end{pmatrix} \ge \mathbf{0}$$

Thus for $b_3 \ge 375$, we still have the same optimal basis.

d) Predict value of the optimal solution when $\mathbf{b} = (610, 310, 500)^T$:

Thus $\Delta b_1 = 10, \Delta b_2 = 10, \Delta b_3 = -50$ and so the new value of z is the old value of z plus $10 \times \frac{11}{4} + 10 \times 1 - 50 \times 0$ which is $1950 + \frac{75}{2} = 1987.5$. We check that

$$A_B^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 610\\310\\500 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{75}{2}\\75\\175 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_1\\x_2\\x_6 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{16} & -\frac{3}{4} & 0\\-\frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\-\frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 10\\10\\-50 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{550}{16}\\\frac{315}{4}\\\frac{930}{8} \end{pmatrix} \ge \mathbf{0}$$

e) Determine the range for c_3 so that the basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_6\}$ remains optimal:

$$c_{N}^{T} - c_{B}^{T} A_{B}^{-1} A_{N} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{5} & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{6} & x_{1} \\ (x_{3} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{6} & x_{1} \\ (x_{2} & 20 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{5} \\ x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{6} & x_{1} \\ -\frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{8} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{4} & x_{5} & x_{6} & x_{1} & x_{5} \\ x_{5} & x_{6} & x_{5} & x_{6} \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_4 & x_5 \\ c_3 - 24 & -\frac{11}{4} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We are optimal for $c_3 \leq 24$.

A much quicker and more reasonable approach is to note the -6 as the coefficient of x_3 in the z row and so deduce that the current c_3 can rise by as much as 6, i.e. $c_3 \leq 18 + 6 = 24$. Note how our sensitivity output from LINDO gives this as a reduced cost.

We can check our bound by noting that $18 \leq 24$. Note also that for $c_3 > 24$, we know that x_3 will be in the basis since apart from c_3 the problem is unchanged so if x_3 is not in the basis then we just have the original solution.

f) Determine the range for c_1 so that the basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_6\}$ remains optimal:

$$c_{N}^{T} - c_{B}^{T} A_{B}^{-1} A_{N} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{5} & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{6} & x_{1} \\ (18 & 0 & 0) - (x_{1} & 20 & 0) \\ x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{1} \\ x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{7} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{4} & x_{5} & x_{6} & x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{5} \\ x_{6} & x_{5} & x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{6} \\ x_{5} & x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{6} \\ x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{6} \\ x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{6} \\ x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{6} & x_{6} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} & x_{7} \\ x_{7} & x_{7}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_4 & x_5 \\ 18 - 2c_1 & \frac{5}{2} - \frac{7}{16}c_1 & \frac{3}{4}c_1 - 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we are optimal for $c_1 \ge 9, c_1 \ge \frac{40}{7}, c_1 \le \frac{40}{3}$, i.e. $9 \le c_1 \le \frac{40}{3}$. Note $12 \in [9, \frac{40}{3}]$ which is a good check on our work.

g) Determine an optimal solution if $c_1 = 8$:

$$c_N^T - c_B^T A_B^{-1} A_N = \begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_4 & x_5 \\ 2 & -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus x_3 becomes an entering variable. New dictionary for basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_6\}$ is

 x_3 enters and x_1 leaves.

$$x_{3} = \frac{75}{4}$$

$$x_{2} = 75 *$$

$$x_{6} = 175 + \frac{75}{4}$$

$$z = * -x_{1} - \frac{23}{16}x_{4} - \frac{13}{4}x_{5}$$
optimal solution: $x_{3} = \frac{75}{4}, x_{2} = 75, x_{6} = 193.25$

h) What is the optimal solution if $b_3 = 365$ (outside of the range given in c)). We compute

$$A_B^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 600\\300\\365 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{75}{2}\\75\\-10 \end{pmatrix}$$

The final dictionary becomes:

We do a dual simplex pivot. We have x_6 leave. The largest t such that $\begin{pmatrix} -6 & -\frac{11}{4} & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} t \leq \mathbf{0}$ is t = 2 and x_5 enters:

$$x_{1} = \frac{105}{2}$$

$$x_{2} = 65 \qquad *$$

$$x_{5} = 20$$

$$z = * -4x_{3} -2x_{4} -2x_{6}$$
optimal solution: $x_{1} = \frac{105}{2}, x_{2} = 65, x_{5} = 20$

The new marginal values are carpentry: 2, finishing 0, space 2.

i) Consider a new desk with requirements of 8 hours of carpentry, 2 hours finishing, and 6 sq. m of space with a net profit of \$26 per desk. Is it profitable to produce this desk?

Let x_7 denote the number of desks produced of this new type. We compute

$$c_7 - c_B^T A_B^{-1} A_7 = 26 - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{11}{4} & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 8\\ 2\\ 6 \end{pmatrix} = 2 > 0$$

Thus we will produce the new desk at optimality. Here is the final dictionary with variable x_7 added (we needed to compute $A_B^{-1}A_7$).

We have x_7 enter and x_1 leave:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_7 &=& \frac{75}{4} \\ x_2 &=& 75 & & * \\ x_6 &=& 137\frac{1}{2} \\ z &=& 1987\frac{1}{2} & -7x_3 & -\frac{51}{16}x_4 & -\frac{1}{4}x_5 & -\frac{1}{2}x_1 \end{array}$$

optimal solution:
$$x_7 = \frac{75}{4}, x_2 = 75, x_6 = 137.5$$

j) What is the optimal solution if we add the constraint $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 100$. Think of this as a constraint on market size. Obviously the current solution is no longer feasible. We add a slack variable x_7 to get $x_7 = 100 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3$ and then reexpress in terms of non basic variables to get $x_7 = -\frac{25}{2} + x_3 + \frac{5}{16}x_4 - \frac{1}{4}x_5$ and get the final dictionary:

We do a dual simplex pivot. We have x_7 leave. The largest t such that $\begin{pmatrix} -6 & -\frac{11}{4} & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{5}{16} & -\frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} t \leq \mathbf{0}$ is t = 6 and x_3 enters:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 &=& \frac{25}{2} \\ x_2 &=& 75 & & * \\ x_6 &=& 187\frac{1}{2} \\ x_3 &=& \frac{25}{2} & 77 \\ z &=& * & -6x_7 & -\frac{7}{8}x_4 & -\frac{5}{2}x_5 \\ \end{array}$$
optimal solution: $x_1 = \frac{25}{2}, x_2 = 75, x_3 = \frac{25}{2}, x_6 = 162\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$

The new marginal values are carpentry $\frac{7}{8}$, finishing $\frac{5}{2}$, space 0, market 6.

Many other questions can be asked such as changing an entry in A, the matrix of the constraints. For a nonbasic variable this is reasonable (try it!). In a test environment, only one pivot suffices to get you to optimality but this is unrealistic and for some changes it may be advisable to start from scratch.