

A DECOMPOSITION FORMULA FOR EQUIVARIANT STABLE HOMOTOPY CLASSES

WACŁAW MARZANTOWICZ AND CARLOS PRIETO

Dedicated to Albrecht Dold and Ed Fadell

ABSTRACT. For any compact Lie group G , we give a decomposition of the group $\{X, Y\}_G^k$ of (unpointed) stable G -homotopy classes as a direct sum of subgroups of fixed orbit types. This is done by interpreting the G -homotopy classes in terms of the generalized fixed-point transfer and making use of conormal maps.

0. INTRODUCTION

A description of the homotopy classes, or of the stable homotopy classes of maps between two topological spaces has been a classical question in topology. A variant of the question arises when we assume that a compact Lie group G acts on all spaces involved and that all the maps considered commute with the group action, that is, that the maps are G -equivariant $-G$ -maps for short. Then the corresponding question is to provide a description of the stable G -homotopy classes between G -spaces.

In this paper we give a decomposition of the group of equivariant stable homotopy classes of maps between two G -spaces X and Y , provided that X has trivial G -action (Theorem 1.8). A similar result was proven by Lewis, Jr., May, and McClure in [6, V.10.1] under other assumptions (they consider more general symmetry and their space X is a finite CW-complex) and using rather different methods. Using classical methods in algebraic topology, tom Dieck gives a decomposition of the equivariant homotopy groups in his book [2, II(7.7)]. An

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54H25; Secondary 55M20, 55M25, 55N91.

Key words and phrases. Equivariant stable homotopy groups, equivariant fixed-point transfer.

The first author was supported by KBN grant No. 1 PO3A 03929 and by CONACYT-KBN project on Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis III.

The second author was partially supported by CONACYT-KBN project on Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis III, PAPIIT-UNAM grant No. IN105106-3 and by CONACYT grant 43724.

advantage of our approach is that it gives a short proof showing the geometric interpretation of the maps that form a term of this decomposition, even in the unstable range as in [7]. In particular, we do not need the Adams and Wirthmüller isomorphisms to define the splitting homomorphism. To carry out the decomposition, we use the equivariant fixed-point transfer given by the second author in [9], which is the equivariant generalization of the classical Dold fixed-point transfer [3], and the fixed-point theoretical arguments used in [7]. We intend to make this result clear to nonlinear analysts.

A special case of our main theorem 1.8 yields a decomposition of the G -equivariant 1-stem, that was given using different methods by Kosniowski [5], Hauschild [4], and Balanov-Krawcewicz [1]. This decomposition was also used by us [8] to give a full description of the first G -stem as follows:

$$\pi_1^{G \text{ st}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{(H) \in \text{Or}_G \\ \dim W(H) \leq 1}} \Pi_1(H),$$

where, if $\dim W(H) = 0$,

$$\Pi_1(H) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus W(H)_{\text{ab}},$$

and $W(H)_{\text{ab}}$ is the abelianization of $W(H)$, and, if $\dim W(H) = 1$,

$$\Pi_1(H) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & W(H) \text{ is biorientable,} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } W(H) \text{ is not biorientable.} \end{cases}$$

1. THE GENERAL DECOMPOSITION FORMULA

In this section, we use the generalized fixed-point transfer to give a direct sum decomposition of $\{X, Y\}_G^k$. All along the paper, G will denote a compact Lie group. We shall assume that X and Y are metric spaces with a G -action.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let V, W, M , and N denote finite dimensional real G -modules, namely, orthogonal representations of G , and let ρ be the element $[M] - [N] \in \text{RO}(G)$. Then the elements of $\{X, Y\}_G^\rho$ are *stable homotopy classes* represented by equivariant maps of pairs

$$\alpha : (N \times V, N \times V - 0) \times X \longrightarrow (M \times V, M \times V - 0) \times Y.$$

Such a map will be *stably homotopic* to another

$$\alpha' : (N \times V', N \times V' - 0) \times X \longrightarrow (M \times V', M \times V' - 0) \times Y,$$

if after taking the product of each map with the identity maps of some pairs $(W, W - 0)$ and $(W', W' - 0)$, respectively, they become

G -homotopic, where $V \times W \cong_G V' \times W'$. Denote the class of α by $\{\alpha\}$.

REMARK 1.2. Taking the product of X with a pair $(L, L - 0)$ for some orthogonal representation L of G amounts to the same as smashing $X^+ = X \sqcup \{*\}$ with the sphere \mathbb{S}^L that is obtained as the one-point compactification of L (which is G -homeomorphic to the unit sphere $S(L \oplus \mathbb{R})$ in the representation $L \oplus \mathbb{R}$, with trivial action on the last coordinate). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \{X, Y\}_G^\rho &\cong \operatorname{colim}_V [\mathbb{S}^N \wedge \mathbb{S}^V \wedge X^+, \mathbb{S}^M \wedge \mathbb{S}^V \wedge Y^+]_G \\ &\cong \operatorname{colim}_V [\mathbb{S}^{N \oplus V} \wedge X^+, \mathbb{S}^{M \oplus V} \wedge Y^+]_G \\ &\cong \operatorname{colim}_V [X^+, \Omega_{N \oplus V} \mathbb{S}^{M \oplus V} \wedge Y^+]_G, \end{aligned}$$

where the colimit of pointed G -homotopy classes is taken over a cofinal system of G -representations V . Observe that this does not coincide with the usual definition, when X is infinite dimensional. For homotopy theoretical purposes, the definition is given by

$$G\text{-}\mathfrak{S}tab^\rho(X, Y) = [X^+, \operatorname{colim}_V \Omega_{N \oplus V} \mathbb{S}^{M \oplus V} \wedge Y^+]_G$$

with the colimit taken ‘inside’. However, for the purposes of nonlinear analysis, our definition seems to be more adequate.

In [9] (see also [10]) one proves that any $\{\alpha\} \in \{X, Y\}_G^\rho$ can be written as a composite

$$(1.3) \quad \{\alpha\} = \varphi \circ \tau(f),$$

where $\tau(f)$ is the equivariant fixed-point transfer of an equivariant fixed-point situation

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N \times E \supset \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{f} & M \times E \\ & \searrow p\text{-proj}_E & \swarrow p\text{-proj}_E \\ & X, & \end{array}$$

where $E \rightarrow X$ is a G -ENR $_X$ and the *fixed point set* $\operatorname{Fix}(f) = \{(s, e) \in \mathcal{U} \mid f(s, e) = (0, e) \in M \times E\}$ lies properly over X , $\rho = [M] - [N] \in \operatorname{RO}(G)$. The transfer is a stable map

$$\tau(f) : (N \times V, N \times V - 0) \times X \rightarrow (M \times V, M \times V - 0) \times \mathcal{U},$$

for some orthogonal representation V , and $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow Y$ is a nonstable equivariant map (by the localization property of the fixed-point transfer, \mathcal{U} can always be assumed to be a very small open G -neighborhood of the fixed point set $\operatorname{Fix}(f)$; see [10, 4.4]), (the composite is made after

suspending φ by taking its product with the identity of $(M \times V, M \times V - 0)$.

We denote by Or_G the set of orbit types of G , that is the set of conjugacy classes (H) of subgroups $H \subset G$. For any G -ENR $_X$ E , where X has trivial G -action, the set of orbit types in E , denoted by $\text{Or}_G(E)$, is always finite.

In what follows, we shall only be concerned with the special case $N = \mathbb{R}^n$, $M = \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and we shall assume that X is a space with trivial G -action.

For the statement of the main result of this section we need the following definitions. The first of them was originally given in [7, 5.4].

DEFINITION 1.5. Consider the fixed-point situation (1.4) above. We say that the map $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \times E$ is *conormal* if for every orbit type $(H) \in \text{Or}_G(\mathbb{R}^n \times E) = \text{Or}_G(E)$, there exist an open invariant neighborhood \mathcal{V} of $\mathcal{U}^{(H)}$ in $\mathcal{U}^{(H)}$ and an equivariant retraction $r : \overline{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{(H)}$ such that for the restricted map $f^{(H)} = f|_{\mathcal{U}^{(H)}}$ we have

$$f^{(H)}|_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}} = f \circ r : \overline{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \times E.$$

Here $\mathcal{U}^{(H)}$ consists of the points in \mathcal{U} with isotropy larger than (H) and $\mathcal{U}^{(H)}$ to those with isotropy **strictly** larger than (H) .

DEFINITION 1.6. For any subgroup $H \subset G$, we define the subgroup $\{X, Y\}_{(H)}^k$ of $\{X, Y\}_G^k$ as the subgroup of those classes $\{\alpha\}$ such that $\{\alpha\} = \varphi \circ \tau(f)$, where

- (a) f is a conormal map, and
- (b) $\text{Fix}(f) \subset \mathcal{U}_{(H)}$, where $\mathcal{U}_{(H)}$ consists of the points in \mathcal{U} with isotropy group conjugate to H .

REMARK 1.7. The fact that $\{X, Y\}_{(H)}^k$ is a subgroup of $\{X, Y\}_G^k$ follows easily by observing that both properties (a) and (b) are preserved by the sum of two elements $\{\alpha\} = \varphi \circ \tau(f)$, $\{\beta\} = \psi \circ \tau(g)$, that, by the additivity property of the fixed-point transfer, corresponds to the disjoint union $f + g$ of the fixed-point situations (see [11, 1.17]).

The main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 1.8. *Let X be a space with trivial G -action. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\{X, Y\}_G^k \cong \bigoplus_{(H)} \{X, Y\}_{(H)}^k.$$

For the proof we need some preliminary results. Consider a fixed-point situation as (1.4). First note that it is always possible to provide

$\text{Or}_G(E)$ with an order (H_j) , $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ such that $(H_i) \subset (H_j)$ implies $j \leq i$. Define $E_i \subset E$ as $\bigcup_{i \leq j} E^{(H_j)}$. These G -subspaces determine a filtration of E such that $E_i - E_{i-1} = E_{(H_i)}$. Let $f_i = f|_{\mathcal{U}_i} : \mathcal{U}_i \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \times E_i$, where $\mathcal{U}_i = \mathcal{U} \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \times E_i)$.

Proposition 1.9. *For every $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ there exists an invariant neighborhood \mathcal{V}_i of E_{i-1} in E_i and an equivariant retraction $r_i : \overline{\mathcal{V}}_i \longrightarrow E_{i-1}$ that is admissibly homotopic to the identity. Thus f_i is admissibly homotopic to $f'_{i-1} = f_{i-1} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \times r_i)$.*

The proof is similar to those of [7, 5.3 and 5.7]. □

Proposition 1.10. *The following hold:*

- (a) *f is equivariantly homotopic by an admissible homotopy f_τ to a conormal map $f' = f_1 : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times E$. Moreover, if $A \subset \mathcal{U}$ is a closed G -ENR subspace, then this homotopy can be taken relative to A .*
- (b) *Furthermore, if f_0 and f_1 are equivariantly homotopic by an admissible homotopy, and each of them is equivariantly homotopic by an admissible homotopy to two conormal maps $f'_0, f'_1 : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times E$, respectively, then these two conormal maps are equivariantly homotopic by an admissible conormal homotopy.*

The proof is the same as that of [7, 5.7] (see also [11, 2.10 and 2.11] or [12, II.6.8 and III.5.2]). □

We also need a lemma.

Lemma 1.11. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \times E$ be a fixed-point situation over X such that f is a conormal map and take $(H) \in \text{Or}_G(E)$. Then there is a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of $\text{Fix}(f|_{\mathcal{U}_{(H)}})$ such that $g = f|_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \times E$ is a conormal map with $\text{Fix}(g) = \text{Fix}(f|_{\mathcal{U}_{(H)}})$. Denote g by $f_{(H)}$. Consequently,*

$$(1.12) \quad \tau(f) = \sum_{(H) \in \text{Or}_G(E)} \tau(f_{(H)}).$$

Proof. Since f is conormal, the set $F = \text{Fix}(f|_{\mathcal{U}_{(H)}})$ is separated from all other fixed points. Then there is a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of F in \mathcal{U} such that $\text{Fix}(f) \cap \mathcal{V} = F$. Hence $g = f|_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \times E$ is a conormal map with the desired properties. By the additivity property of the transfer we obtain the decomposition (1.12). □

We now pass to the proof of Theorem 1.8.

Proof. Any $\{\alpha\} \in \{X, Y\}_G^k$ can be written as the composite (1.3) $\varphi \circ \tau(f)$, where $\tau(f)$ is the equivariant fixed-point transfer of an equivariant fixed-point situation (1.4). By Proposition 1.10 (a), f can be assumed to be a conormal map, and by Lemma 1.11, $\tau(f) = \sum_{(H) \in \text{Or}_G(E)} \tau(f(H))$. Defining $\{\alpha(H)\}$ by $\{\alpha(H)\} = \varphi|_{U(H)} \circ \tau(f(H))$, we have immediately

$$(1.13) \quad \{\alpha\} = \sum_{(H) \in \text{Or}_G(E)} \{\alpha(H)\},$$

where $\{\alpha(H)\} \in \{X, Y\}_{(H)}^k$. So, by Proposition 1.10 (b), we may define

$$\Phi : \{X, Y\}_G^k \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{(H)} \{X, Y\}_{(H)}^k \quad \text{by} \quad \Phi(\{\alpha\}) = \bigoplus_{(H)} \{\alpha(H)\}.$$

If $(H) \neq (K)$, then $\{X, Y\}_{(H)}^k \cap \{X, Y\}_{(K)}^k = 0$ as easily follows with the same argument used in the proof of [7, 6.2]. Thus we may also define

$$\Psi : \bigoplus_{(H)} \{X, Y\}_{(H)}^k \longrightarrow \{X, Y\}_G^k \quad \text{by} \quad \Psi(\bigoplus_{(H)} \{\alpha(H)\}) = \sum_{(H)} \{\alpha(H)\}.$$

Then Φ and Ψ are inverse isomorphisms. \square

REMARK 1.14. For any fixed-point situation f (see (1.4)), it is proven in [10, 4.4] that the transfer

$$\tau(f) = \sum_{(H) \in \text{O}(G)} (\tau(f^{(H)}) - \tau(f^{(\underline{H})})),$$

where

$$f^{(H)} = f|_{U(H)} : U^{(H)} \longrightarrow (M \times E)^{(H)}$$

and $U^{(H)} \subset (N \times E)^{(H)} = \mathbb{R}^n \times E^{(H)}$, resp.

$$f^{(\underline{H})} = f|_{U(\underline{H})} : U^{(\underline{H})} \longrightarrow (M \times E)^{(\underline{H})}$$

and $U^{(\underline{H})} \subset (N \times E)^{(\underline{H})} = \mathbb{R}^n \times E^{(\underline{H})}$.

As in (1.3) any $\{\alpha\} = \varphi \circ \tau(f)$ for some fixed-point situation f as above. As in the proof of [10, 4.4], we have

$$\{\alpha^{(H)}\} = \varphi^{(H)} \circ \tau(f^{(H)}) : X \longrightarrow Y^{(H)} \subset Y,$$

$$\{\alpha^{(\underline{H})}\} = \varphi^{(\underline{H})} \circ \tau(f^{(\underline{H})}) : X \longrightarrow Y^{(\underline{H})} \subset Y.$$

Thus $\{\alpha\} = \sum_{(H) \in \text{O}(G)} (\{\alpha^{(H)}\} - \{\alpha^{(\underline{H})}\})$. Hence it is each difference $\{\alpha^{(H)}\} - \{\alpha^{(\underline{H})}\} = \{\alpha(H)\}$; that is, $\alpha(H)$, as given by the conormal map, realizes the (stable) difference $\{\alpha^{(H)}\} - \{\alpha^{(\underline{H})}\}$ for each orbit type (H) (cf. also [12, III.5]).

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. BALANOV, W. KRAWCEWICZ, Remarks on the equivariant degree theory, *Topol. Meth. in Nonlinear Analysis*, **13** (1999), no. 1, 91–103.
- [2] T. TOM DIECK, *Transformation Groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin - New York 1987
- [3] A. DOLD, The fixed point transfer of fiber-preserving maps, *Math. Z.* **148** (1976), 215–244.
- [4] H. HAUSCHILD, Zerspaltung äquivarianter Homotopiemengen, *Math. Ann.* **230** (1977), no. 3, 279–292.
- [5] CZ. KOSNIOWSKI, Equivariant cohomology and stable cohomotopy, *Math. Ann.* **210** (1974), 83–104.
- [6] L. G. LEWIS, JR., J. P. MAY, M. STEINBERGER, with contributions of J. E. McClure, *Equivariant Stable Homotopy Theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1213**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1986.
- [7] W. MARZANTOWICZ, C. PRIETO, The unstable equivariant fixed point index and the equivariant degree, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **69** (2004), no. 1, 214–230.
- [8] W. MARZANTOWICZ, C. PRIETO, Computation of the equivariant 1-stem, *Nonlinear Anal.* **63** (2005), no. 4, 513–524.
- [9] C. PRIETO, Transfers generate the equivariant stable homotopy category, *Top. and its Appl.* **58**, (1994), 181–191.
- [10] C. PRIETO, A sum formula for stable equivariant maps, *Nonlinear Anal.* **30** No 6, (1997), 3475–3480.
- [11] C. PRIETO, H. ULRICH, Equivariant fixed point index and fixed point transfer in nonzero dimensions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **328**, (1991), 731–745.
- [12] H. ULRICH, *Fixed Point Theory of Parametrized Equivariant Maps*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1343**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1988.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ADAM MICKIEWICZ
UNIVERSITY OF POZNAŃ, POZNAŃ, POLAND
E-mail address: marzan@main.amu.edu.pl

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO,
04510 MÉXICO, D.F., MEXICO
E-mail address: cprieto@math.unam.mx