

# Biplanes with Flag-Transitive Automorphism Groups of Almost Simple Type, with Exceptional Socle of Lie Type.

Eugenia O'Reilly-Regueiro

November 21, 2007

## Abstract

In this paper we prove that there is no biplane admitting a flag-transitive automorphism group of almost simple type, with exceptional socle of Lie type. A biplane is a  $(v, k, 2)$ -symmetric design, and a flag is an incident point-block pair. A group  $G$  is almost simple with socle  $X$  if  $X$  is the product of all the minimal normal subgroups of  $G$ , and  $X \trianglelefteq G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ .

Throughout this work we use the classification of finite simple groups, as well as results from P. B. Kleidman's Ph.D. thesis which have not been published elsewhere.

## 1 Introduction

A *biplane* is a  $(v, k, 2)$ -symmetric design, that is, an incidence structure of  $v$  points and  $v$  blocks such that every point is incident with exactly  $k$  blocks, and every pair of blocks is incident with exactly two points. Points and blocks are interchangeable in the previous definition, due to their dual role. A *nontrivial* biplane is one in which  $1 < k < v - 1$ . A *flag* of a biplane  $D$  is an ordered pair  $(p, B)$  where  $p$  is a point of  $D$ ,  $B$  is a block of  $D$ , and they are incident. Hence if  $G$  is an automorphism group of  $D$ , then  $G$  is *flag-transitive* if it acts transitively on the flags of  $D$ .

The only values of  $k$  for which examples of biplanes are known are  $k = 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11$ , and  $13$  [7, pp.76]. Due to arithmetical restrictions on the parameters, there are no examples with  $k = 7, 8, 10$ , or  $12$ .

For  $k = 3, 4,$  and  $5$  the biplanes are unique up to isomorphism [5], for  $k = 6$  there are exactly three non-isomorphic biplanes [11], for  $k = 9$  there are exactly four non-isomorphic biplanes [32], for  $k = 11$  there are five known biplanes [3, 9, 10], and for  $k = 13$  there are two known biplanes [1], in this case, it is a biplane and its dual.

In [29] it is shown that if a biplane admits an imprimitive, flag-transitive automorphism group, then it has parameters  $(16,6,2)$ . There are three non-isomorphic biplanes with these parameters [4], two of which admit flag-transitive automorphism groups which are imprimitive on points, (namely  $2^4S_4$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8)S_4$  [29]). Therefore, if any other biplane admits a flag-transitive automorphism group  $G$ , then  $G$  must be primitive. The O’Nan-Scott Theorem classifies primitive groups into five types [17]. It is shown in [29] that if a biplane admits a flag-transitive, primitive, automorphism group, it can only be of affine or almost simple type. The affine case was treated in [29]. The almost simple case when the socle of  $G$  is an alternating or a sporadic group was treated in [30], in which it is shown that no such biplane exists. The almost simple case with classical socle was treated in [31] where it was shown that if such a biplane exists, it must have parameters  $(7,4,2)$  or  $(11,4,2)$  and is unique up to isomorphism. In this paper we treat the almost simple case when the socle  $X$  of  $G$  is an exceptional group of Lie type, and we prove that no such biplane exists, namely:

**Theorem 1 (Main).** *There is no biplane admitting a flag-transitive, primitive almost simple automorphism group with exceptional socle of Lie type.*

In [31] the proof for biplanes follows the proof given in [33] for linear spaces. The last section in [33] is an appendix on exceptional groups of Lie type, the presentation of which is also followed here.

## 2 Preliminary Results

In this section we state some results that we will use in the proof of our Main Theorem.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $D$  is a  $(v, k, 2)$ -biplane, then  $8v - 7$  is a square.*

*Proof.* The result follows from [29, Lemma 3]. □

**Corollary 2.** *If  $D$  is a flag-transitive  $(v, k, 2)$ -biplane, then  $2v < k^2$ , and hence  $2|G| < |G_x|^3$ .*

*Proof.* The equality  $k(k-1) = 2(v-1)$ , implies  $k^2 = 2v - 2 + k$ , so clearly  $2v < k^2$ . Since  $v = |G : G_x|$ , and  $k \leq |G_x|$ , the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3 (Tits Lemma).** [34, 1.6] *If  $X$  is a simple group of Lie type in characteristic  $p$ , then any proper subgroup of index prime to  $p$  is contained in a parabolic subgroup of  $X$ .*

**Lemma 4.** *If  $X$  is a simple group of Lie type in characteristic 2, ( $X \not\cong A_5$  or  $A_6$ ), then any proper subgroup  $H$  such that  $[X : H]_2 \leq 2$  is contained in a parabolic subgroup of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* First assume that  $X = Cl_n(q)$  is classical ( $q$  a power of 2), and take  $H$  maximal in  $X$ . By a theorem of Aschbacher [2],  $H$  is contained in a member of the collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of subgroups of  $\Gamma L_n(q)$ , or in  $\mathcal{S}$ , that is,  $H^{(\infty)}$  is quasisimple, absolutely irreducible, not realisable over any proper subfield of  $\mathbb{F}(q)$ . (For a more precise description of this collection of subgroups, see [14]).

We check for every family  $\mathcal{C}_i$  that if  $H$  is contained in  $C_i$ , then  $2|H|_2 < |X|_2$ , except when  $H$  is parabolic.

Now we take  $H \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then by [15, Theorem 4.2],  $|H| < q^{2n+4}$ , or  $H$  and  $X$  are as in [15, Table 4]. If  $|X|_2 \leq 2|H|_2 \leq q^{2n+4}$ , then if  $X = L_n^\epsilon(q)$  we have  $n \leq 6$ , and if  $X = SP_n(q)$  or  $P\Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$  then  $n \leq 10$ . We check the list of maximal subgroups of  $X$  for  $n \leq 10$  in [12, Chapter 5], and we see that no group  $H$  satisfies  $2|H|_2 \leq |X|_2$ . We then check the list of groups in [15, Table 4], and again, none of them satisfy this bound.

Finally, assume  $X$  to be an exceptional group of Lie type in characteristic 2. Then by [20], if  $2|H| \geq |X|_2$ ,  $H$  is either contained in a parabolic subgroup, or  $H$  and  $X$  are as in [20, Table 1]. Again, we check all the groups in [20, Table 1], and in all cases  $2|H|_2 < |X|_2$ .  $\square$

As a consequence, we have a strengthening of Corollary 2:

**Corollary 5.** *Suppose  $D$  is a biplane with a primitive, flag-transitive almost simple automorphism group  $G$  with simple socle  $X$  of Lie type in characteristic  $p$ , and the stabiliser  $G_x$  is not a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ . If  $p$  is odd then  $p$  does not divide  $k$ ; and if  $p = 2$  then 4 does not divide  $k$ . Hence  $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2$ .*

*Proof.* We know from Corollary 2 that  $|G| < |G_x|^3$ . Now, by Lemma 3,  $p$  divides  $v = [G : G_x]$ . Since  $k$  divides  $2(v-1)$ , if  $p$  is odd then  $(k, p) = 1$ , and if  $p = 2$  then  $(k, p) \leq 2$ . Hence  $k$  divides  $2|G_x|_{p'}$ , and since  $2v < k^2$ , we have  $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2$ .  $\square$

From the previous results we have the following lemma, which will be quite useful throughout this paper:

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose  $p$  divides  $v$ , and  $G_x$  contains a normal subgroup  $H$  of Lie type in characteristic  $p$  which is quasisimple and  $p \nmid |Z(H)|$ ; then  $k$  is divisible by  $[H : P]$ , for some parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* As  $p$  divides  $v$ , then since  $k$  divides  $2(v - 1)$  we have  $(k, p) \leq (2, p)$ . Also, we have  $k = [G_x : G_{x,B}]$  (where  $B$  is a block incident with  $x$ ), so  $[H : H_B]$  divides  $k$ , and therefore  $([H : H_B], p) \leq (2, p)$ , so by Lemmas 3 and 4  $H_B$  is contained in a parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $G_x$ , and since  $P$  is maximal, we have  $G_{x,B}$  is contained in  $P$ , so  $k$  is divisible by  $[G_x : P]$ .  $\square$

We will also use the following two lemmas:

**Lemma 7.** *[18] If  $X$  is a simple group of Lie type in odd characteristic, and  $X$  is neither  $PSL_d(q)$  nor  $E_6(q)$ , then the index of any parabolic subgroup is even.*

**Lemma 8.** *[22, 3.9] If  $X$  is a group of Lie type in characteristic  $p$ , acting on the set of cosets of a maximal parabolic subgroup, and  $X$  is not  $PSL_d(q)$ ,  $P\Omega_{2m}^+(q)$  (with  $m$  odd), nor  $E_6(q)$ , then there is a unique subdegree which is a power of  $p$ .*

Before stating the next result, we give the following [21]:

**Definition 9.** Let  $H$  be a simple adjoint algebraic group over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , and  $\sigma$  be an endomorphism of  $H$  such that  $X = (H_\sigma)'$  is a finite simple exceptional group of Lie type over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $(q = p^a)$ . Let  $G$  be a group such that  $\text{Soc}(G) = X$ . The group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is generated by  $H_\sigma$ , together with field and graph automorphisms. If  $D$  is a  $\sigma$ -stable closed connected reductive subgroup of  $H$  containing a maximal torus  $T$  of  $H$ , and  $M = N_G(D)$ , then we call  $M$  a *subgroup of maximal rank* in  $G$ .

We now have the following theorem and table [24, Theorem 2, Table III]:

**Theorem 10.** *If  $X$  is a finite simple exceptional group of Lie type such that  $X \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ , and  $G_x$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $X_0 = \text{Soc}(G_x)$  is not simple, then one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $G_x$  is parabolic.
- (2)  $G_x$  is of maximal rank.

- (3)  $G_x = N_G(E)$ , where  $E$  is an elementary abelian group given in [6, Theorem 1(II)].
- (4)  $X = E_8(q)$ , ( $p > 5$ ), and  $X_0$  is either  $A_5 \times A_6$  or  $A_5 \times L_2(q)$ .
- (5)  $X_0$  is as in Table 1.

$X$	$X_0$
$F_4(q)$	$L_2(q) \times G_2(q)$ ( $p > 2, q > 3$ )
$E_6^c(q)$	$L_3(q) \times G_2(q), U_3(q) \times G_2(q)$ ( $q > 2$ )
$E_7(q)$	$L_2(q) \times L_2(q)$ ( $p > 3$ ), $L_2(q) \times G_2(q)$ ( $p > 2, q > 3$ ) $L_2(q) \times F_4(q)$ ( $q > 3$ ), $G_2(q) \times PSp_6(q)$
$E_8(q)$	$L_2(q) \times L_3^c(q)$ ( $p > 3$ ), $G_2(q) \times F_4(q)$ $L_2(q) \times G_2(q) \times G_2(q)$ ( $p > 2, q > 3$ ), $L_2(q) \times G_2(q^2)$ ( $p > 2, q > 3$ )

Table 1:

We will also use the following theorem [23, Theorem 3]:

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $X$  be a finite simple exceptional group of Lie type, with  $X \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ . Assume  $G_x$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\text{Soc}(G_x) = X_0(q)$  is a simple group of Lie type over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  ( $q > 2$ ) such that  $\frac{1}{2}\text{rk}(X) < \text{rk}(X_0)$ . Then one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $G_x$  is a subgroup of maximal rank.
- (2)  $X_0$  is a subfield or twisted subgroup.
- (3)  $X = E_6(q)$  and  $X_0 = C_4(q)$  ( $q$  odd) or  $F_4(q)$ .

Finally, we will use the following theorem [26, Theorem 1.2]:

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $X$  be a finite exceptional group of Lie type such that  $X \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ , and  $G_x$  a maximal subgroup of  $G$  with socle  $X_0 = X_0(q)$  a simple group of Lie type in characteristic  $p$ . Then if  $\text{rk}(X_0) \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{rk}(X)$ , we have the following bounds:*

- (1) If  $X = F_4(q)$  then  $|G_x| < q^{20} \cdot 4 \log_p(q)$ ,
- (2) If  $X = E_6^c$  then  $|G_x| < q^{28} \cdot 4 \log_p(q)$ ,
- (3) If  $X = E_7(q)$  then  $|G_x| < q^{30} \cdot 4 \log_p(q)$ , and
- (4) If  $X = E_8(q)$  then  $|G_x| < q^{56} \cdot 12 \log_p(q)$ .

In all cases,  $|G_x| < |G|^{\frac{5}{13}} \cdot 5 \log_p(q)$ .

### 3 Proof of our Main Theorem

**Lemma 13.** *The group  $X$  is not a Suzuki group  ${}^2B_2(q)$ , with  $q = 2^{2e+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that the socle  $X$  is a Suzuki group  ${}^2B_2(q)$ , with  $q = 2^{2e+1}$ . Then  $|G| = f|X| = f(q^2 + 1)q^2(q - 1)$ , where  $f \mid (2e + 1)$ , and so the order of any point stabiliser  $G_x$  is one of the following [35]:

- (1)  $fq^2(q - 1)$
- (2)  $4f(q + \sqrt{2q} + 1)$
- (3)  $4f(q - \sqrt{2q} + 1)$
- (4)  $f(q_0^2 + 1)q_0^2(q_0 - 1)$ , where  $8 \leq q_0^m = q$ , with  $m \geq 3$ .

**Case (1)** Here  $v = (q^2 + 1)$ , so from  $k(k - 1) = 2(v - 1)$  we obtain  $k(k - 1) = 2q^2$ , a power of 2, which is a contradiction.

**Cases (2) and (3)** From the inequality  $|G| < |G_x|^3$ , we have

$$f \cdot \frac{7}{8} q^5 < f(q^2 + 1)q^2(q - 1) < 4^4 f^3 (q \pm \sqrt{2q} + 1)^3 < 4^4 f^3 (2q + 1)^3 \leq 4^4 \left( \frac{17}{8} f q \right)^3,$$

so

$$q^2 < \frac{4^4 \cdot (17)^3 \cdot f^2}{8^2 \cdot 7} < 2808 f^2,$$

hence  $q \leq 128$ .

First assume  $q = 128$ . Then  $v = 58781696$  in case (2), and 75427840 in case (3), and  $|G_x| = 4060$  in case (2), and 3164 in case (3). We know  $k$  divides  $2(|G_x|, v - 1)$ , but here  $(|G_x|, v - 1) = 1015$  in case (2), and 113 in case (3). In both cases  $k^2 < v$ , which is a contradiction.

Next assume  $q = 32$ . Then  $v = 198400$  in case (2), and 325376 in case (3). In case (2),  $(|G - x|, v - 1) = 41$ , and in case (3)  $(|G_x|, v - 1) = 25$  or 125, depending on whether  $f = 1$  or 5. In all cases we see  $k^2 < v$ , a contradiction.

Finally assume  $q = 8$ . Then  $v = 560$  in case (2), and 1456 in case (3). In case (2),  $(|G_x|, v - 1) = 13$ , and in case (3)  $(|G_x|, v - 1) = 5f$ . Therefore  $k$  is again too small.

**Case (4)** Here  $|G_x| = f(q_0^2 + 1)q_0^2(q_0 - 1)$ , so  $q_0$  divides  $v$  and hence  $q_0$  and  $v - 1$  are relatively prime, so from  $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_p^2$  we obtain:

$$(q_0^{2m} + 1)q_0^{2m}(q_0^m - 1) < 4f^2(q_0^2 + 1)^3q_0^2(q_0 - 1)^3.$$

Now,  $q_0^{5m-1} < (q_0^{2m} + 1)q_0^{2m}(q_0^m - 1)$ , and also

$$4f^2(q_0^2 + 1)^3q_0^2(q_0 - 1)^3 = 4f^2q_0^2(q_0^3 - q_0^2 + q_0 - 1)^3 < f^2q_0^{13},$$

so

$$q_0^{5m-1} < f^2q_0^{13} < q_0^{13+m}.$$

Therefore  $5m - 1 < 13 + m$ , which forces  $m = 3$ . Then

$$v = (q_0^4 - q_0^2 + 1)q_0^4(q_0^2 + q_0 + 1),$$

and so  $k \leq 2(|G_x|, v - 1) \leq 2fq_0^3 < 2q_0^{\frac{9}{2}}$ . The inequality  $v < k^2$  forces  $q_0 = 2$ , and so  $q = 8$ . Then  $v = 1456$ , and  $|G_x| = 20f$ , with  $f = 1$  or  $3$ . Hence  $(|G_x|, v - 1) = 5f$ , and therefore  $k^2 < v$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

This completes the proof of Lemma 13.

**Lemma 14.** *The point stabiliser  $G_x$  is not a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* First assume  $X \neq E_6(q)$ . Then by Lemma 8 there is a unique subdegree which is a power of  $p$ . Therefore  $k$  divides twice a power of  $p$ , but it also divides  $2(v - 1)$ , so it is too small.

Now assume  $X = E_6(q)$ . If  $G$  contains a graph automorphism or  $G_x = P_i$  with  $i = 2$  or  $4$ , then there is a unique subdegree which is a power of  $p$  and again  $k$  is too small. If  $G_x = P_3$ , the  $A_1A_4$  type parabolic, then

$$v = \frac{(q^3 + 1)(q^4 + 1)(q^{12} - 1)(q^9 - 1)}{(q^2 - 1)(q - 1)}.$$

Since  $k$  divides  $2(|G_x|, v - 1)$ , then  $k$  divides  $2q(q^5 - 1)(q - 1)^5 \log_p q$ , and hence  $k^2 < v$ , which is a contradiction. If  $G_x = P_1$ , then

$$v = \frac{(q^{12} - 1)(q^9 - 1)}{(q^4 - 1)(q - 1)},$$

and the nontrivial subdegrees are  $([19]) \frac{q(q^8 - 1)(q^3 + 1)}{(q - 1)}$ , and  $\frac{q^8(q^5 - 1)(q^4 + 1)}{(q - 1)}$ . The fact that  $k$  divides twice the highest common factor of these forces  $k^2 < v$ , again, a contradiction.  $\square$

This completes the proof of Lemma 14.

**Lemma 15.** *The group  $X$  is not a Chevalley group  $G_2(q)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $X = G_2(q)$ , with  $q > 2$  since  $G_2(q)' = U_3(3)$ . The list of maximal subgroups of  $G_2(q)$  with  $q$  odd can be found in [13], and in [8] for  $q$  even.

First consider the case where  $X \cap G_x = SL_3^\epsilon(q).2$ . Here

$$v = \frac{q^3(q^3 + \epsilon)}{2}.$$

From the factorization  $\Omega_7(q) = G_2(q)N_1^\epsilon$  ([16]), it follows that the sub-orbits of  $\Omega_7(q)$  are unions of  $G_2$ -suborbits, and so  $k$  divides each of the  $\Omega_7$ -subdegrees. Now  $q$  cannot be odd, since this is ruled out by the first case with  $i = 1$  in the section of orthogonal groups of odd dimension in [31]. For  $q$  even, the subdegrees for  $Sp_6(q)$ , given in the last case of the section on symplectic groups in [31] are  $(q^3 - \epsilon)(q^4 + \epsilon)$  and  $\frac{(q-2)q^2(q^3-\epsilon)}{2}$ . This implies that  $k$  divides  $2(q^3 - \epsilon)(q - 2, q^2 + \epsilon)$ , and since  $v < k^2$  then  $\epsilon = -$ , and so

$$v = \frac{q^3(q^3 - 1)}{2}.$$

So  $k$  divides  $2(q^3 + 1)(q - 2, q^2 - 1) \leq 6(q^3 + 1)$ , and  $k(k-1) = 2(v-1) = (q^3 + 1)(q^3 - 2)$ . This is impossible.

If  $X \cap G_x = G_2(q_0) < G_2(q)$  or  ${}^2G_2(q) < G_2(q)$  then  $p$  does not divide  $[G_x : G_{xB}]$ , so by Lemma 6  $k$  is divisible by the index of a parabolic subgroup of  $G_x$  which is  $\frac{q_0^6 - 1}{q_0 - 1}$  in the case of  $G_2(q_0)$ , or  $q^3 + 1$  in the case of  ${}^2G_2(q)$ . But this is not so since  $k$  also divides  $2(v - 1, |G_x|)$ .

If  $G_x = N_G(SL_2(q) \circ SL_2(q))$ , then

$$v = \frac{q^4(q^6 - 1)}{q^2 - 1}.$$

Now  $k$  divides  $2(q^2 - 1)^2 \log_p q$  but  $(q^2 - 1, v - 1) \leq 2$ , so  $k$  is too small.

If  $X \cap G_x = J_2 < G_2(4)$  then  $v = 416$ . But  $k$  divides  $2(|G_x|, 415)$ , which is too small.

Now suppose  $X \cap G_x = G_2(2)$ , with  $p = q \geq 5$ . Then the inequality  $v < k^2$  forces  $q = 5$  or  $7$ . In both cases  $(v - 1, |G_x|)$  is too small.

If  $X \cap G_x = PGL_2(q)$ , or  $L_2(8)$ , then the inequality  $|G| < |G_x|^3$  is not satisfied.

Next consider  $X \cap G_x = L_2(13)$ . Then the inequality  $|G| < |G_x|^3$  forces  $q \leq 5$ . If  $q = 5$  then  $v = 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 13 \cdot 31$ , so  $(v - 1, |G_x|) \leq 7$ , hence  $k$  is too small. If  $q = 3$  then  $v = 2^3 \cdot 3^5$ , and  $k$  divides  $2(v - 1, |G_x|) \leq 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ , this does not satisfy the equation  $k(k - 1) = 2(v - 1)$ .

Finally, if  $X \cap G_x = J_1$  with  $q = 11$  then the inequality  $v < k^2$  cannot be satisfied.

There is no other maximal subgroup  $G_x$  satisfying the inequality  $|G| < |G_x|$ .  $\square$

This completes the proof of Lemma 15.

**Lemma 16.** *The group  $X$  is not a Ree group  ${}^2G_2(q)$ , ( $q > 3$ ).*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X = {}^2G_2(q)$ , with  $q = 3^{2e+1} > 3$ . A complete list of maximal subgroups of  $G$  can be found in [13, p.61]. First suppose  $G_x \cap X = 2 \times SL_2(q)$ . Then

$$v = \frac{q^2(q^2 - q + 1)}{2},$$

so  $2(v - 1) = q^4 - q^3 + q^2 - 2$ , and  $k$  divides  $2(|G_x|, v - 1)$ . But  $(q(q^2 - 1), q^4 - q^3 + q^2 - 1) = q - 1$ , which is too small.

The groups  $X \cap G_x = N_X(S_2)$ , (where  $S_2$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $X$  of order 8), of order  $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$  and  $L_2(8)$  are not allowed since  $|G| < |G_x|^3$  forces  $q = 3$ .

If  $X \cap G_x = {}^2G_2(q_0)$ , with  $q_0^m = q$  and  $m$  prime, then

$$v = q_0^{3(m-1)} \left( q_0^{3(m-1)} - q_0^{3(m-2)} + \dots + (-1)^m q_0^3 + (-1)^{m-1} \right) (q_0^{m-1} + q_0^{m-2} + \dots + 1).$$

Now  $k$  divides  $2mq_0^3(q_0^3 + 1)(q_0 - 1)$ , but since  $q_0$  and  $v - 1$  are relatively prime,  $q_0$  does not divide  $k$ , so in fact  $k \leq 2m(q_0^3 + 1)(q_0 - 1)$ , and the inequality  $v < k^2$  forces  $m = 2$ , which is a contradiction.

If  $X \cap G_x = \mathbb{Z}_{q \pm \sqrt{3q+1}} : \mathbb{Z}_6$ , since  $q \geq 27$  we have that the inequality  $|G| < |G_x|^3$  is not satisfied.

Finally, if  $X \cap G_x = \left( 2^2 \times D_{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(q+1)} \right) : 3$ , since  $q \geq 27$  then the inequality  $|G| < |G_x|^3$  is not satisfied.  $\square$

This completes the proof of Lemma 16.

**Lemma 17.** *The group  $X$  is not a Ree group  ${}^2F_4(q)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X = {}^2F_4(q)$ . Then from [27] we see there are no maximal subgroups  $G_x$  that are not parabolic satisfying the inequality  $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_2^2$ , except for the case  $q = 2$ . In this case  $G_x \cap X = L_3(3).2$  or  $L_2(25)$ . In both cases, since  $k$  must divide  $2(v - 1, |G_x|)$  it is too small.  $\square$

**Lemma 18.** *The group  $X$  is not  ${}^3D_4(q)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X = {}^3D_4(q)$ . If  $X \cap G_x = G_2(q)$  or  $SL_2(q^3) \circ SL_2(q) \cdot (2, q-1)$  then  $v = q^e (q^8 + q^4 + 1)$ , where  $e = 6$  or  $8$  respectively. By Lemma 6,  $k$  is divisible by  $q + 1$ , which forces  $q = 3$  (since  $q + 1$  also divides  $2(v - 1)$ ), but then in neither case is  $8v - 7$  a square.

If  $X \cap G_x = PGL_3^e(q)$  then the inequality  $|G| < |G_x|^3$  is not satisfied.  $\square$

**Lemma 19.** *The group  $X$  is not  $F_4(q)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X = F_4(q)$ . First assume that  $X_0 = \text{Soc}(X \cap G_x)$  is not simple. Then by Theorem 10 and Table 1,  $G_x \cap X$  is one of the following,

- (1) Parabolic.
- (2) Maximal rank.
- (3)  $3^3.SL_3(3)$ .

or  $X_0 = L_2(q) \times G_2(q) (p > 2, q > 3)$ .

The parabolic subgroups have been ruled out by Lemma 14.

The possibilities for the second case are given in [21, Table 5.1]. We check that in every case there is a large power of  $q$  dividing  $v$ , and since  $(k, v) \leq 2$ , then  $q$  does not divide  $k$  (unless  $q = 2$ , but then 4 does not divide  $k$ ). Therefore  $k$  divides  $2(|G_x|, v - 1)$ , and in each case  $(|G_x|_{p'}, v - 1)$  is too small for  $k$  to satisfy  $k^2 > v$ .

The local subgroup is too small to satisfy the bound  $|G_x|^3 > |G|$ .

Finally,  $|L_2(q) \times G_2(q)| \leq q^7 (q^2 - 1)^2 (q^6 - 1) < |F_4(q)|^{\frac{1}{3}}$ . Therefore  $X_0$  is simple.

First suppose  $X_0 \notin \text{Lie}(p)$ . Then by [25, Table 1], it is one of the following:

$A_7, A_8, A_9, A_{10}, L_2(17), L_2(25), L_2(27), L_3(3), U_4(2), Sp_6(2), \Omega_8^+(2), {}^3D_4(2), J_2, A_{11}(p = 11), L_3(4)(p = 3), L_4(3)(p = 2), {}^2B_2(8)(p = 5), M_{11}(p = 11)$ .

The only possibilities for  $X_0$  that could satisfy the bound  $|G_x|^3 > |G|$  are  $A_9, A_{10}(q = 2), Sp_6(2)(q = 2), \Omega_8^+(2)(q = 2, 3), {}^3D_4(2)(q = 3), J_2(q = 2)$ , and  $L_4(3)(q = 2)$ . However, since  $k$  divides  $2(|G_x|, v - 1)$ , in all these cases  $k^2 < v$ .

Now assume  $X_0 \in \text{Lie}(p)$ . First consider the case  $\text{rk}(X_0) > \frac{1}{2}\text{rk}(G)$ , where  $X_0 = X_0(r)$ . If  $r > 2$ , then by Theorem 11 it is a subfield subgroup.

We have seen earlier that the only subgroups which could satisfy the bound  $|G_x|^3 > |G|$  are  $F_4\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$  and  $F_4\left(q^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)$ . If  $q_0 = q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then

$$v = q^{12} (q^6 + 1) (q^4 + 1) (q^3 + 1) (q + 1) > q^{26}.$$

Now  $k$  divides  $2F_4\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ , and  $(k, v) \leq 2$ . Since  $(q, k) \leq 2$ , then  $k$  divides

$$2(2(q^6 - 1)(q^4 - 1)(q^3 - 1)(q - 1), v - 1) < q^{13},$$

so  $k^2 < v$ , a contradiction.

If  $q_0 = q^{\frac{1}{3}}$ , then

$$v = \frac{q^{16} (q^{12} - 1) (q^4 + 1) (q^6 - 1)}{(q^{\frac{8}{3}} - 1) (q^{\frac{2}{3}} - 1)},$$

but  $k < q^{10}$  so  $k^2 < v$ , which is a contradiction.

If  $r = 2$ , then the subgroups  $X_0(2)$  with  $\text{rk}(X_0) > \frac{1}{2}\text{rk}(G)$  that satisfy the bound  $|G_x|^3 > |G|$  are  $A_4^\epsilon(2)$ ,  $B_3(2)$ ,  $B_4(2)$ ,  $C_3(2)$ ,  $C_4(2)$ , and  $D_4^\epsilon(2)$ . Again, in all cases the fact that  $k$  divides  $2(|G_x|, v - 1)$  forces  $k^2 < v$ , a contradiction.

Now consider the case  $\text{rk}(X_0) \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{rk}(G)$ . Theorem 12 implies  $|G_x| < q^{20.4 \log_p q}$ . Looking at the orders of groups of Lie type, we see that if  $|G_x| < q^{20.4 \log_p q}$ , then  $|G_x|_{p'} < q^{12}$ , so  $2|G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2 < |G|$ , contrary to Corollary 5.  $\square$

This completes the proof of Lemma 19.

**Lemma 20.** *The group  $X$  is not  $E_6^\epsilon(q)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X = E_6^\epsilon(q)$ . As in the previous lemma, assume first that  $X_0$  is not simple. Then Theorem 10 implies  $G_x \cap X$  is one of the following,

- (1) Parabolic.
- (2) Maximal rank.
- (3)  $3^6.SL_3(3)$ .

or  $X_0 = L_3(q) \times G_2(q)$ ,  $U_3(q) \times G_2(q)$  ( $q > 2$ ).

The first case was ruled out in Lemma 14.

The possibilities for the second case are given in [21, Table 5.1]. In some cases  $|G_x|^3 < |G|$ , and in each of the remaining cases, calculating  $2(|G_x|, v - 1)$  we obtain  $k^2 < v$ .

The local subgroup for the third case is too small.

Finally, the order of the groups in the last case is less than  $q^{17} < |E_6^\epsilon|^{\frac{1}{3}}$ .

Now assume  $X_0$  is simple. If  $X_0 \notin \text{Lie}(p)$ , then we find the possibilities in [25, Table 1]. However, the only two cases which satisfy Corollary 2 have order that does not divide  $|E_6^\epsilon|$ . Hence  $X_0 = X_0(r) \in \text{Lie}(p)$ .

If  $\text{rk}(X_0) > \frac{1}{2}\text{rk}(G)$ , then when  $r > 2$  by Theorem 11 are  $E_6^\epsilon \left( q^{\frac{1}{s}} \right)$  with  $s = 2$  or  $3$ ,  $C_4(q)$ , and  $F_4(q)$ . In all cases  $k$  is too small. When  $q = 2$  then the possibilities satisfying  $|G_x|^3 > |G|$  with order dividing  $E_6^\epsilon(2)$  are  $A_5^\epsilon(2)$ ,  $B_4(2)$ ,  $C_4(2)$ ,  $D_4^\epsilon(2)$ , and  $D_5^\epsilon(2)$ . However since  $k$  divides  $2(|G_x|, v - 1)$ , in all cases  $k^2 < v$ , a contradiction.

If  $\text{rk}(X_0) \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{rk}(G)$ , then Theorem 12 implies  $|G_x| < q^{28} \cdot 4 \log_p q$ . Looking at the  $p$  and  $p'$  parts of the orders of the possible subgroups, we see that the  $p'$ -part is always less than  $q^{17}$ . Hence  $|G_x|_{p'} < q^{17}$ , so  $2|G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2 < |G|$ , contradicting Corollary 5.  $\square$

This completes the proof of Lemma 20.

**Lemma 21.** *The group  $X$  is not  $E_7(q)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X = E_7(q)$ . First assume  $X_0$  is not simple. Then by Theorem 10,  $G_x \cap X$  is one of the following,

- (1) Parabolic.
- (2) Maximal rank.
- (3)  $2^2.S_3$ .

or  $X_0 = L_2(q) \times L_2(q) (p > 3)$ ,  $L_2(q) \times G_2(q) (p > 2, q > 3)$ ,  $L_2(q) \times F_4(q) (q > 3)$ , or  $G_2(q) \times PSp_6(q)$ .

The parabolic subgroups have been ruled out in Lemma 14. The subgroups of maximal rank can be found in [21, Table 5.1]. Of these, the only ones with order greater than  $|E_7(q)|^{\frac{1}{3}}$  are  $d \cdot (L_2(q) \times P\Omega_{12}^+(q)) \cdot d$  and  $f \cdot L_8^\epsilon(q) \cdot g \cdot \left( 2 \times \left( \frac{2}{f} \right) \right)$ , where  $d = (2, q - 1)$ ,  $f = \left( 4, \frac{q-\epsilon}{d} \right)$ , and  $g = \left( 8, \frac{q-\epsilon}{d} \right)$ . However in both cases the fact that  $(k, v) \leq 2$  forces  $k^2 < v$ , a contradiction.

The local subgroup is too small to satisfy  $|G_x|^3 > |G|$ .

In the last case, the only group that is not too small to satisfy  $|G_x|^3 > |G|$  is  $L_2(q) \times F_4(q)$ , but here  $q^{38}$  divides  $v$ , and since  $(v, k) \leq 2$ , then  $k^2 < v$ . So  $X_0$  is simple.

First assume  $X_0 \notin \text{Lie}(p)$ . Then by [25, Table 1], the possibilities are  $A_{14}(p = 7)$ ,  $M_{22}(p = 5)$ ,  $Ru(p = 5)$ , and  $HS(p = 5)$ . None of these groups satisfy Corollary 2.

Now assume  $X_0 = X_0(r) \in \text{Lie}(p)$ . If  $\text{rk}(X_0) \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{rk}(G)$ , then by Theorem 12,  $|G_x|^3 < |G|$ , which is a contradiction.

If  $\text{rk}(X_0) > \frac{1}{2}\text{rk}(G)$  then if  $r > 2$  Theorem 11 implies  $X \cap G_x = E_7\left(q^{\frac{1}{s}}\right)$ , with  $s = 2$  or  $3$ . However in both cases  $(v, k) \leq 2$  forces  $k^2 < v$ , a contradiction. If  $r = 2$  then the possible subgroups satisfying the bound  $|G_x|^3 > |G|$  and having order dividing  $|E_7(2)|$  are  $A_6^\epsilon(2)$ ,  $A_7^\epsilon(2)$ ,  $B_5(2)$ ,  $C_5(2)$ ,  $D_5^\epsilon(2)$ , and  $D_6^\epsilon(2)$ . However in all of these cases  $(v, k) \leq 2$  forces  $k^2 < v$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 22.** *The group  $X$  is not  $E_8(q)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X = E_8(q)$ . First suppose that  $X_0$  is not simple. Then by Theorem 10  $G_x \cap X$  is one of the following,

- (1) Parabolic.
- (2) Maximal rank.
- (3)  $(2^{15}).L_5(2)$  ( $q$  odd) or  $5^3.SL_3(5)$  ( $5|q^2 - 1$ ).
- (4)  $G_x \cap X = (A_5 \times A_6).2^2$ .

or  $X_0 = L_2(q) \times L_3^\epsilon(q)$  ( $p > 3$ ),  $G_2(q) \times F_4(q)$ ,  $L_2(q) \times G_2(q) \times G_2(q)$  ( $p > 2, q > 3$ ), or  $L_2(q) \times G_2(q^2)$  ( $p > 2, q > 3$ ).

We know from Lemma 14 that the first case does not hold.

From [21, Table 5.1] the only subgroups of maximal rank such that  $|G_x|^3 \geq |G|$  are  $d.P\Omega_{16}^+(q).d$ ,  $d.(L_2(q) \times E_7(q)).d$ ,  $f.L_9^\epsilon(q).e.2$ , and  $e.(L_3^\epsilon(q) \times E_6^\epsilon(q)).e.2$ , (where  $d = (2, q - 1)$ ,  $e = (3, q - \epsilon)$ , and  $f = \frac{(9, q - \epsilon)}{e}$ ). In all cases,  $(k, v) \leq 2$  implies  $k^2 < v$ , which is a contradiction.

In all other cases, for all possible groups we have that  $|G_x|^3 < |G|$ , a contradiction. Hence  $X_0$  is simple.

First consider the case  $X_0 \notin \text{Lie}(p)$ . Then by [25, Table 1] the possibilities are  $Alt_{14}$ ,  $Alt_{15}$ ,  $Alt_{16}$ ,  $Alt_{17}$ ,  $Alt_{18}$  ( $p = 3$ ),  $L_2(16)$ ,  $L_2(31)$ ,  $L_2(32)$ ,  $L_2(41)$ ,  $L_2(49)$ ,  $L_2(61)$ ,  $L_3(5)$ ,  $L_4(5)$  ( $p = 2$ ),  $PSp_4(5)$ ,  $G_2(3)$ ,  ${}^2B_2(8)$ ,  ${}^2B_2(32)$  ( $p = 5$ ), and  $Th$  ( $p = 3$ ). In every case the inequality  $|G_x|^3 > |G|$  is not satisfied.

Now consider the case  $X_0 \in \text{Lie}(p)$ . If  $\text{rk}(X_0) \leq \frac{1}{2}\text{rk}(G)$ , then by Theorem 12 we have  $|G_x|^3 \geq |G|$ , which is a contradiction.

So  $\text{rk}(X_0) > \frac{1}{2}\text{rk}(G)$ . If  $r > 2$ , then by Theorem 11  $G_x \cap X$  is a subfield subgroup. The only cases in which  $|G_x|^3 > |G|$  can be satisfied are when  $q = q_0^2$  or  $q = q_0^3$ , but in all cases since  $(v, k) \leq 2$  then  $k$  is too small.

If  $r = 2$ , then  $\text{rk}(X_0) \geq 5$ . The groups for which  $|G| < |G_x|^3$  are  $A_8^\epsilon(2)$ ,  $B_8(2)$ ,  $B_7(2)$ ,  $C_8(2)$ ,  $C_7(2)$ ,  $D_8^\epsilon(2)$ , and  $D_7^\epsilon(2)$ . However, in all cases  $(v, k) \leq 2$  forces  $k^2 < v$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

This completes the proof of Lemma 22, completing thus the proof of our Main Theorem. As a consequence of this and the results in [30, 31] we have the following:

**Theorem 2.** *If  $D$  is a biplane with a primitive, flag-transitive automorphism group of almost simple type, then  $D$  has parameters either  $(7,4,2)$ , or  $(11,5,2)$ , and is unique up to isomorphism.*

## Acknowledgements

The results in the present paper were obtained during the course of my Ph.D. under the supervision of Martin W. Liebeck, with a grant from the Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. I am very grateful to Martin for his guidance and help. I would also like to thank Jan Saxl for allowing me to view his notes before [33] was published, and Sasha Ivanov for providing me with these notes.

## References

- [1] M. Aschbacher, On Collineation Groups of Symmetric Block Designs, *J. Combin. Theory* (11) (1971) 272-281.
- [2] M. Aschbacher, On the Maximal Subgroups of the Finite Classical Groups, *Invent. Math.* **76** (1984), 469-514.
- [3] E.F. Assmus Jr., J.A. Mezzaroba, and C.J. Salwach, Planes and Biplanes, *Proceedings of the 1976 Berlin Combinatorics Conference, Vancerverredle, 1977*.
- [4] E.F. Assmus Jr., and C.J. Salwach, The  $(16,6,2)$  Designs, *International J. Math. and Math. Sci.* Vol. 2 No. 2 (1979) 261-281.
- [5] P.J. Cameron, Biplanes, *Math. Z.* **131** (1973) 85-101.
- [6] A.M. Cohen, M.W. Liebeck, J. Saxl, and G.M. Seitz, The Local Maximal Subgroups of Exceptional Groups of Lie Type, Finite and Algebraic, *Proc. London Math. Soc* (3) **64** (1992) 21-48.

- [7] C.J. Colburn, and J.H. Dinitz, The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1996.
- [8] B. N. Cooperstein, Minimal Degree for a Permutation Representation of a Classical Group, *Israel J. Math* **30** (1978), 213-235.
- [9] R.H.F. Denniston, On Biplanes with 56 points., *Ars. Combin.* **9** (1980) 167-179.
- [10] M. Hall Jr., R. Lane, and D. Wales, Designs derived from Permutation Groups, *J. Combin. Theory* **8** (1970) 12-22.
- [11] Q.M. Hussain, On the Totality of the Solutions for the Symmetrical Incomplete Block Designs  $\lambda = 2$ ,  $k = 5$  or  $6$ , *Sankhya* **7** (1945) 204-208.
- [12] P.B. Kleidman, *The Subgroup Structure of Some Finite Simple Groups*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Cambridge, 1987.
- [13] P.B. Kleidman, The Maximal Subgroups of the Chevalley Groups  $G_2(q)$  with  $q$  Odd, the Ree Groups  ${}^2G_2(q)$ , and Their Automorphism Groups, *J. Algebra* **117** (1998), 30-71.
- [14] P.B. Kleidman and M.W. Liebeck, *The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Vol. 129, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- [15] M.W. Liebeck, On the Orders of Maximal Subgroups of the Finite Classical Groups, *Proc. London Math Soc.* **50** (1985), 426-446.
- [16] M.W. Liebeck, C.E. Praeger, and J. Saxl, The Maximal Factorizations of the Finite Simple Groups and their Automorphism Groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **86** No. 432 (1990), 1-151.
- [17] M.W. Liebeck, C.E. Praeger, J. Saxl, On the O’Nan-Scott Theorem for Finite Primitive Permutation Groups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **44** (1988) 389-396.
- [18] M.W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, The Primitive Permutation Groups of Odd Degree, *J. London Math. Soc.* **31** (1985), 250-264
- [19] M.W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, The Finite Primitive Permutation Groups of Rank Three, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **18** (1986), 165-172.

- [20] M.W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, On the Orders of Maximal Subgroups of the Finite Exceptional Groups of Lie Type, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), 299-330.
- [21] M.W. Liebeck, J. Saxl, and G.M. Seitz, Subgroups of Maximal Rank in Finite Exceptional Groups of Lie Type, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **65** (1992), 297-325.
- [22] M.W. Liebeck, J. Saxl, and G.M. Seitz, On the Overgroups of Irreducible Subgroups of the Finite Classical Groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), 507-537.
- [23] M.W. Liebeck, J. Saxl, and D.M. Testerman, Simple Subgroups of Large Rank in Groups of Lie Type, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **72** (1996), 425-457.
- [24] M.W. Liebeck and G.M. Seitz, Maximal Subgroups of Exceptional Groups of Lie Type, Finite and Algebraic, *Geometriae Dedicata* **35** (1990), 353-387.
- [25] M.W. Liebeck and G.M. Seitz, On Finite Subgroups of Exceptional Algebraic Groups, *J. reine. angew. Math.* **515** (1999), 25-72.
- [26] M.W. Liebeck and A. Shalev, The Probability of Generating a Finite Simple Group, *Geometriae Dedicata* **56** (1995), 103-113.
- [27] G. Malle, The Maximal Subgroups of  ${}^2F_4(q^2)$ , *J. Algebra* **139** (1991), 53-69.
- [28] E. O'Reilly Regueiro, Flag-Transitive Symmetric Designs, Ph.D. Thesis, University of London, 2003.
- [29] E. O'Reilly Regueiro, On Primitivity and Reduction for Flag-Transitive Symmetric Designs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **109** (2005) 135-148.
- [30] E. O'Reilly Regueiro, Biplanes with Flag-Transitive Automorphism Groups of Almost Simple Type, with Alternating or Sporadic Socle, *European Journal of Combinatorics* **26** (2005) 577-584.
- [31] E. O'Reilly-Regueiro, Biplanes with Flag-Transitive Automorphism Groups of Almost Simple Type, with Classical Socle, submitted.
- [32] C.J. Salwach, and J.A. Mezzaroba, The Four Biplanes with  $k = 9$ , *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **24** (1978) 141-145.

- [33] J. Saxl, On Finite Linear Spaces with Almost Simple Flag-Transitive Automorphism Groups, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **100** 2 (2002) 322-348.
- [34] G.M. Seitz, Flag-Transitive subgroups of Chevalley Groups, *Annals of Math.* **97** 1, (1973), 27-56.
- [35] M. Suzuki, On a Class of Doubly Transitive Groups, *Annals of Math.* **75** (1962), 105-145.