

Biplanes with Flag-Transitive Automorphism Groups of Almost Simple Type, with Classical Socle.

Eugenia O'Reilly-Regueiro

February 8, 2007

Abstract

In this paper we prove that if a biplane D admits a flag-transitive automorphism group G of almost simple type with classical socle, that is, if X is the socle of G (the product of all its minimal normal subgroups) then $X \trianglelefteq G \leq \text{Aut } G$, and X is a simple classical group, then D is either the unique (11,5,2) or the unique (7,4,2) biplane, and $G \leq PSL_2(11)$ or $PSL_2(7)$, respectively.

1 Introduction

A *biplane* is a $(v, k, 2)$ -symmetric design, that is, an incidence structure of v points and v blocks such that every point is incident with exactly k blocks, and every pair of blocks is incident with exactly two points. Points and blocks are interchangeable in the previous definition, due to their dual role. A *nontrivial* biplane is one in which $2 < k < v - 1$. A *flag* of a biplane D is an ordered pair (p, B) where p is a point of D , B is a block of D , and they are incident. Hence if G is an automorphism group of D , then G is *flag-transitive* if it acts transitively on the flags of D .

The only values of k for which examples of biplanes are known are $k = 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11$, and 13 [7, pp.76]. Due to arithmetical restrictions on the parameters, there are no examples with $k = 7, 8, 10$, or 12 .

For $k = 3, 4$, and 5 the biplanes are unique up to isomorphism [6], for $k = 6$ there are exactly three non-isomorphic biplanes [13], for $k = 9$ there are exactly four non-isomorphic biplanes [29], for $k = 11$ there are five known biplanes [3, 10, 11], and for $k = 13$ there are two known biplanes [1], in this case, it is a biplane and its dual.

In [25] it is shown that if a biplane admits an imprimitive, flag-transitive automorphism group, then it has parameters $(16,6,2)$. There are three non-isomorphic biplanes with these parameters [4], two of which admit flag-transitive automorphism groups which are imprimitive on points, (namely 2^4S_4 and $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8)S_4$ [25]). Therefore, if any other biplane admits a flag-transitive automorphism group G , then G must be primitive. The O’Nan-Scott Theorem classifies primitive groups into five types [20]. It is shown in [25] that if a biplane admits a flag-transitive, primitive, automorphism group, it can only be of affine or almost simple type. The affine case was treated in [25]. The almost simple case when the socle of G is an alternating or a sporadic group was treated in [26], in which it is shown that no such biplane exists. Here we treat the almost simple case when the socle X of G is a classical group. We now state the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1 (Main Theorem). *If D is a nontrivial biplane with a primitive, flag-transitive automorphism group G of almost simple type with classical socle X , then D has parameters either $(7,4,2)$, or $(11,5,2)$, and is unique up to isomorphism.*

This, together with [25, Theorem 3] and [26, Theorem 1] yield the following:

Corollary 1. *If D is a nontrivial biplane with a flag-transitive automorphism group G , then one of the following holds:*

- (1) D has parameters $(7,4,2)$,
- (2) D has parameters $(11,5,2)$,
- (3) D has parameters $(16,6,2)$,
- (4) $G \leq \text{AGL}_1(q)$, for some odd prime power q , or
- (5) G is of almost simple type, and the socle X of G is an exceptional group of Lie type.

For the purpose of proving our Main Theorem, we will consider D to be a nontrivial biplane, with a primitive, flag-transitive, almost simple automorphism group G , with simple socle X , such that $X = X_d(q)$ is a simple classical group, with a natural projective action on a vector space V of dimension d over the field \mathbb{F}_q , where $q = p^e$, (p prime).

For this we will proceed as in [28], in which the case for finite linear spaces with almost simple flag-transitive automorphism groups of Lie type is treated.

2 Preliminary Results

In this section we state some preliminary results we will use throughout this paper.

Lemma 2. *If D is a $(v, k, 2)$ -biplane, then $8v - 7$ is a square.*

Proof. The result follows from [25, Lemma 3]. □

Corollary 3. *If D is a flag-transitive $(v, k, 2)$ -biplane, then $2v < k^2$, and hence $2|G| < |G_x|^3$.*

Proof. The equality $k(k - 1) = 2(v - 1)$, implies $k^2 = 2v - 2 + k$, so clearly $2v < k^2$. The result follows from $v = |G : G_x|$ and $k \leq |G_x|$. □

From [9] we get the following two lemmas:

Lemma 4. *If D is a biplane with a flag-transitive automorphism group G , then k divides $2d_i$ for every subdegree d_i of G .*

Lemma 5. *If G is a flag-transitive automorphism group of a biplane D , then k divides $2 \cdot \gcd(v - 1, |G_x|)$.*

Lemma 6 (Tits Lemma). [30, 1.6] *If X is a simple group of Lie type in characteristic p , then any proper subgroup of index prime to p is contained in a parabolic subgroup of X .*

Lemma 7. *If X is a simple group of Lie type in characteristic 2, ($X \not\cong A_5$ or A_6), then any proper subgroup H such that $|X : H|_2 \leq 2$ is contained in a parabolic subgroup of X .*

Proof. First assume $X = Cl_n(q)$ is classical (q a power of 2), and take H maximal in X . By Aschbacher's Theorem [2], H is contained in a member of the collection \mathcal{C} of subgroups of $\Gamma L_n(q)$, or in \mathcal{S} , that is, $H^{(\infty)}$ is quasisimple, absolutely irreducible, and not realisable over any proper subfield of $\mathbb{F}(q)$.

We check for every family \mathcal{C}_i that if H is contained in C_i , then $2|H|_2 < |X|_2$, except when H is parabolic.

Now we take $H \in \mathcal{S}$. Then by [18, Theorem 4.2], $|H| < q^{2n+4}$, or H and X are as in [18, Table 4]. If $|X|_2 \leq 2|H|_2 \leq q^{2n+4}$, then either $X = L_n^e(q)$ and $n \leq 6$, or $X = SP_n(q)$ or $P\Omega_n^e(q)$ and $n \leq 10$. We check the list of maximal subgroups of X for $n \leq 10$ in [15, Chapter 5], and we see that no group H satisfies $2|H|_2 \leq |X|_2$. We then check the list of groups in [18, Table 4], and again, none of them satisfy this bound.

Finally, assume X to be an exceptional group of Lie type in characteristic 2. By [23], if $2|H| \geq |X|_2$, then H is either contained in a parabolic subgroup, or H and X are as in [23, Table 1]. Again, we check all the groups in [23, Table 1], and in all cases $2|H|_2 < |X|_2$. \square

As a consequence, we have a strengthening of Corollary 3:

Corollary 8. *Suppose D is a biplane with a primitive, flag-transitive almost simple automorphism group G with simple socle X of Lie type in characteristic p , and the stabiliser G_x is not a parabolic subgroup of G . If p is odd then p does not divide k ; and if $p = 2$ then 4 does not divide k . Hence $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2$.*

Proof. We know from Corollary 3 that $|G| < |G_x|^3$. Now, by Lemma 6, p divides $v = [G : G_x]$. Since k divides $2(v - 1)$, if p is odd then $(k, p) = 1$, and if $p = 2$ then $(k, p) \leq 2$. Hence k divides $2|G_x|_{p'}$, and since $2v < k^2$, we have $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2$. \square

From the previous results we have the following lemma, which will be quite useful throughout this chapter:

Lemma 9. *Suppose p divides v , and G_x contains a normal subgroup H of Lie type in characteristic p which is quasisimple and $p \nmid |Z(H)|$; then k is divisible by $[H : P]$, for some parabolic subgroup P of H .*

Proof. The assumption that p divides v and the fact that k divides $2(v - 1)$ imply $(k, p) \leq (2, p)$. Also, we know $k = [G_x : G_{x,B}]$ (where B is a block incident with x), so $[H : H_B]$ divides k , and therefore $([H : H_B], p) \leq (2, p)$. By Lemmas 6 and 7 we conclude that H_B is contained in a parabolic subgroup P of H , and P maximal in H implies that H_B is contained in P , so k is divisible by $[H : P]$. \square

Lemma 10. *[22, 3.9] If X is a group of Lie type in characteristic p , acting on the set of cosets of a maximal parabolic subgroup, and X is not $PSL_d(q)$, $P\Omega_{2m}^+(q)$ (with m odd), nor $E_6(q)$, then there is a unique subdegree which is a power of p .*

3 X is a Linear Group

In this case we consider the socle of G to be $PSL_n(q)$, and $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ a basis for the natural n -dimensional vector space V for X .

Lemma 11. *If the group X is $PSL_2(q)$, then it is one of the following:*

- (1) $PSL_2(7)$ acting on the $(7,4,2)$ biplane with point stabiliser S_4 , or
- (2) $PSL_2(11)$ acting on a $(11,5,2)$ biplane with point stabiliser A_5 .

Proof. Suppose $X \cong PSL_2(q)$, ($q = p^m$) is the socle of a flag-transitive automorphism group of a biplane D , so $G \leq P\Gamma L_2(q)$. As G is primitive, G_x is a maximal subgroup of G , and hence X_x is isomorphic to one of the following [12]: (Note that $|G_x|$ divides $(2, q - 1)m|X_x|$):

- (1) A solvable group of index $q + 1$.
- (2) $D_{(2,q)(q-1)}$.
- (3) $D_{(2,q)(q+1)}$.
- (4) $L_2(q_0)$ if $(r > 2)$, or $PGL_2(q_0)$ if $(r = 2)$, where $q = q_0^r$, r prime.
- (5) S_4 if $q = p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$.
- (6) A_4 if $q = p \equiv 3, 5, 13, 27, 37 \pmod{40}$.
- (7) A_5 if $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{10}$.

(1) Here $v = q + 1$, so $k(k - 1) = 2(v - 1) = 2q$, hence $q = 3$, but $PSL_2(3)$ is not simple.

(2), and (3) The degrees in these cases are a triangular number, but the number of points on a biplane is always one more than a triangular number.

(4) First assume $r > 2$. Clearly, q_0 divides $v = q_0^{r-1} \left(\frac{q_0^{2r} - 1}{q_0^2 - 1} \right)$, so k divides $2(v - 1, m q_0 (q_0^2 - 1))$, hence $k = \frac{2m(q_0^2 - 1)}{n}$ for some n . Say $q_0 = p^b$, so $m = br$ and (except for $p = 2$ and $2 \leq b \leq 4$), we have $b < \sqrt{q_0}$, (since $b^2 < p^b = q_0$).

Now, $k^2 > 2v$ implies

$$\frac{4m^2 (q_0^2 - 1)^2}{n^2} > 2q_0^{r-1} \left(\frac{q_0^{2r} - 1}{q_0^2 - 1} \right),$$

so

$$n^2 < \frac{2m^2 (q_0^2 - 1)^3}{(q_0^{2r} - 1) q_0^{r-1}}.$$

First consider $r > 3$, so $(r \geq 5)$. Here $q_0^r > b^2 r^2 = m^2$. On the other hand, $2m^2 > \frac{q_0^{r-1}(q_0^{2r}-1)}{(q_0^2-1)^3}$, therefore

$$2q_0^r < \frac{q_0^{r-1}(q_0^{2r}-1)}{(q_0^2-1)^3},$$

which is a contradiction.

Next consider $r = 3$. From $k^2 > 2v$, we obtain $18b^2(q_0^2-1)^3 > n^2q_0^2(q_0^6-1)$, this together with $b^2 < q_0$, imply $n^2(q_0^6-1) < 18q_0^5$, therefore $q_0 \leq 17$. We check for all possible values of q_0 that $8v-7$ is not a square, contradicting Lemma 2.

Now assume $r = 2$. Then $v = \frac{q_0(q_0^2+1)}{(2, q_0-1)}$. As $q = q_0^2 \neq 2$, we have $m^2 < q$, so $4b^2 < q_0^2$, which implies $q_0 \neq 2$.

First consider q even. From $2(v-1) = k(k-1)$, we have $2(q_0^3+q_0-1) = \frac{2m(q_0^2-1)}{n} \left(\frac{2m(q_0^2-1)}{n} - 1 \right)$, however $\gcd(q_0^3+q_0-1, q_0^2-1)$ divides 3, which implies $k = \frac{6m}{t}$, with $t = 1, 3$.

If $t = 3$ then $q_0^3+q_0-1 = 2m^2 - m = m(2m-1) < 2m^2$, but $m < q_0$, so this is a contradiction.

If $t = 1$ then $q_0^3+q_0-1 = 18m^2 - 6m$, which implies $q_0 < 18$, that is $q_0 = 4, 8$, or 16 . However $m = 2b$ implies $k = 12b$, so $v-1$ is divisible by 6, but this is not the case for any of these values of q_0 .

Now consider q odd. The equality $2(v-1) = k(k-1)$ yields $q_0^3+q_0-2 = \frac{4m^2}{n^2}(q_0^2-1)^2 - \frac{2m}{n}(q_0^2-1)$, and the inequality $k^2 > 2v$ implies $\frac{4m^2}{n^2}(q_0^2-1)^2 > q_0(q_0^2+1)$. In this case $m = 2b$, so $k = \frac{4b(p^{2b}-1)}{n}$, and $v = \frac{p^{3b}+p^b}{2} > \frac{b^6+b^2}{2}$, hence we have the following inequalities:

$$b^6 + b^2 < p^{3b} + p^b < \frac{4b(p^{2b}-1)}{n} > \frac{4b \cdot p^{2b}}{n}.$$

This implies $\frac{n(p^{3b}+p^b)}{p^{2b}} < 4b$, so $n(p^b+p^{\frac{b}{2}}) < 4b < 4p^{\frac{b}{2}}$, therefore $n(p^{\frac{b}{2}}+1) < 4$ which implies $n = 1 = b$, and $p = 3, 5$, or 7 , but in all these cases $k > v$, which is a contradiction.

(5) In this case $q = p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, and $m = 1$, so $G_0 \cong S_4$. We have q odd, $v = \frac{q(q^2-1)}{48}$, and k divides $2 \left(\frac{q(q^2-1)-48}{48}, 24 \right)$, so $k \mid 48$. Now $k^2 > 2v$ implies $q \leq 37$, hence $q = 7, 17, 23$, or 31 . The only one of these values for which $8v-7$ is a square (Lemma 2) is $q = 7$, so $v = 7$ and $k = 4$, that is, we have the $(7,4,2)$ biplane and $G = X \cong PSL_2(7)$.

(6) Here $q = p \equiv 3, 5, 13, 27$, or $37 \pmod{40}$, so $m = 1$ and $G_x \cong A_4$. Here $v = \frac{q(q^2-1)}{24}$, and so k divides $2 \left(\frac{q(q^2-1)-24}{24}, 12 \right)$, so $k \mid 24$. As $2v < k^2$,

we have $q = 3, 5$, or 13 . For $q = 3$ we have $v = 1$, which is a contradiction. For $q = 5$ we have $v = 5$, but there is no such biplane. Finally, $q = 13$ implies $v = 91$, but then $8v - 7$ is not a square, contradicting Lemma 2.

(7) Here $q = p$ or $p^2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{10}$, and $v = \frac{q(q^2-1)}{120}$, so k divides $120m$, with $m = 1$ or 2 . The inequality $2v < k^2$ implies $q^3 - q < 60k^2 < 60(120)^2 m^2$, so $q = 9, 11, 19, 29, 31, 41, 49, 59, 61, 71, 79, 81, 89$, or 121 . Of these, the only value for which $8v - 7$ is a square is $q = 11$. In this case, $v = 11$ and $k = 5$, that is, we have a $(11,5,2)$ biplane, with $G = X \cong PSL_2(11)$, and $G_x \cong A_5$.

□

This completes the proof of Lemma 11.

Lemma 12. *The group X is not $PSL_n(q)$, with $n > 2$, and $(n, q) \neq (3, 2)$.*

Proof. Suppose $X \cong PSL_n(q)$, with $n > 2$ and $(n, q) \neq (3, 2)$ (since $PSL_3(2) \cong PSL_2(7)$). We have $q = p^m$, and take $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ to be a basis for the natural n -dimensional vector space V for X . Since G_x is maximal in G , then by Aschbacher's Theorem [2], the stabiliser G_x lies in one of the families \mathcal{C}_i of subgroups of $\Gamma L_n(q)$, or in the set \mathcal{S} of almost simple subgroups not contained in any of these families. We will analyse each of these cases separately. In describing the Aschbacher subgroups, we denote by \hat{H} the pre-image of the group H in the corresponding linear group.

\mathcal{C}_1) Here G_x is reducible. That is, $G_x \cong P_i$ stabilises a subspace of V of dimension i .

Suppose $G_x \cong P_1$. Then G is 2-transitive, and this case has already been done by Kantor [14].

Now suppose $G_x \cong P_i$ ($1 < i < n$) fixes W , an i -subspace of V . We will assume $i \leq \frac{n}{2}$ since our arguments are arithmetic, and for i and $n - i$ we have the same calculations. Considering the G_x -orbits of the i -spaces intersecting W in $i - 1$ -dimensional spaces, we see k divides

$$\frac{2q(q^i - 1)(q^{n-i} - 1)}{(q - 1)^2}.$$

Also,

$$v = \frac{(q^n - 1) \dots (q^{n-i+1} - 1)}{(q^i - 1) \dots (q - 1)} > q^{i(n-i)},$$

but $k^2 > 2v$, so either $i = 3$ and $n < 10$, or $i = 2$.

First assume $i = 3$ and $q = 2$.

If $n = 9$ then $k = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^2$, but the equation $k(k - 1) = 2(v - 1)$ does not hold.

If $n = 8$ then $k = 4 \cdot 7 \cdot 31$ but again the equation $k(k - 1) = 2(v - 1)$ does not hold.

For $n = 7$ $k = 420$ or 210 , but again, k does not divide $2(v - 1)$.

Finally, if $n = 6$ then $k = 196$ or 98 , but neither is a divisor of $2(v - 1)$.

Now assume $i = 3$ and $q > 2$. Then $n = 6$ or 7 .

If $n = 7$ then k divides

$$2 \left(\frac{q(q^3 - 1)(q^4 - 1)}{(q - 1)^2}, \frac{(q^7 - 1)(q^6 - 1)(q^5 - 1)}{(q^3 - 1)(q^2 - 1)(q - 1)} - 1 \right),$$

but then $k^2 < v$, which is a contradiction.

If $n = 6$ then k divides

$$2 \left(\frac{q(q^3 - 1)^2}{(q - 1)^2}, \frac{(q^6 - 1)(q^5 - 1)(q^4 - 1)}{(q^3 - 1)(q^2 - 1)(q - 1)} - 1 \right),$$

But again $k^2 < 2v$.

Hence $i = 2$. Here $v = \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1)}{(q^2 - 1)(q - 1)}$, and G has suborbits with sizes:

$$|\{2\text{-subspaces } H : \dim(H \cap W) = 1\}| = \frac{q(q+1)(q^{n-2} - 1)}{q-1} \text{ and}$$

$$|\{2\text{-subspaces } H : H \cap W = \bar{0}\}| = \frac{q^4(q^{n-2} - 1)(q^{n-3} - 1)}{(q^2 - 1)(q - 1)}.$$

If n is even then k divides $\frac{q(q^{n-2} - 1)}{(q^2 - 1)}$, since $q + 1$ is prime to $\frac{(q^{n-3} - 1)}{q - 1}$, this implies $k^2 < v$, which is a contradiction.

Hence n is odd, and k divides $\frac{2q(q^{n-2} - 1)}{q - 1} (q + 1, \frac{n-3}{2})$.

First assume $n = 5$. Then $v = (q^2 + 1)(q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)$, and k divides $2q(q^2 + q + 1)$. The fact that $k^2 > 2v$ forces $k = 2q(q^2 + q + 1)$.

The condition $k(k - 1) = 2(v - 1)$ implies

$$4q^2(q^2 + q + 1)^2 - 2q(q^2 + q + 1) = 2(q^6 + q^5 + 2q^4 + 2q^3 + 2q^2 + q),$$

so

$$(q^2 + q + 1)(2q(q^2 + q + 1) - 1) = (q^5 + q^4 + 2q^3 + 2q^2 + 2q + 1).$$

If we expand we get the following equality:

$$q^5 + 3q^4 + 4q^3 + q^2 - q - 2 = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $n \geq 7$. Here

$$v = (q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + \dots + q + 1) (q^{n-3} + q^{n-5} + \dots + q^2 + 1),$$

and k divides $2dc$, where $d = q(q^{n-3} + q^{n-4} + \dots + q + 1)$ and $c = (q + 1, \frac{n-3}{2})$. Say $k = \frac{2dc}{e}$, then $v < k^2$ forces $e \leq 2q$. We have the following equality:

$$\frac{v-1}{d} = q^{n-2} + q^{n-4} + \dots + q^3 + q + 1,$$

and also, since $k(k-1) = 2(v-1)$, we have

$$k = \frac{2(v-1)}{k} + 1 = \frac{2e(v-1)}{2dc} = \frac{eq^{n-2} + eq^{n-4} + \dots + eq^3 + eq + e + c}{c}.$$

Now, (kc, d) divides d , and also

$$\begin{aligned} & (kc, q(eq^{n-3} + eq^{n-5} + \dots + eq^2 + e)) = \\ & (eq^{n-2} + eq^{n-4} + \dots + eq + e + c, q(eq^{n-3} + eq^{n-5} + \dots + eq^2 + e)) = \\ & (eq^{n-2} + \dots + eq + e + c, e + c), \text{ and} \\ & \left(kc, \frac{ed}{q}\right) = \\ & (eq^{n-2} + \dots + eq + e + c, eq^{n-3} + eq^{n-4} + \dots + eq + e) = \\ & (eq^{n-2} + \dots + eq + e + c, (2e + c)q + e + c). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore k divides $c(e+c)((2e+c)q + e + c)$, and since $e \leq 2q$ and $c = (q + 1, \frac{n-3}{2})$, the only possibilities for n and q are $n = 7$ and $q \leq 3$, or $n = 9$ and $q = 2$. However in none of these possibilities is $8v - 7$ a square, again contradicting Lemma 2.

C'_1) Here G contains a graph automorphism and G_x stabilises a pair $\{U, W\}$ of subspaces of dimension i and $n - i$, with $i < \frac{n}{2}$. Write G^0 for $G \cap P\Gamma L_n(q)$ of index 2 in G .

First assume $U \subset W$. By Lemma 10, there is a subdegree which is a power of p . On the other hand, if p is odd then the highest power of p dividing $v - 1$ is q , it is $2q$ if $q > 2$ is even, and is at most 2^{n-1} if $q = 2$. Hence $k^2 < v$, which is a contradiction.

Now suppose $V = U \oplus W$. Here p divides v , so $(k, p) \leq 2$. First assume $i = 1$. If $x = \{\langle v_1 \rangle, \langle v_2 \dots v_n \rangle\}$, then consider $y = \{\langle v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \rangle, \langle v_n \rangle\}$, so $[G_x : G_{xy}] = \frac{q^{n-2}(q^{n-1}-1)}{q-1}$ and k divides $\frac{2(q^{n-1}-1)}{q-1}$. However $v = \frac{q^{n-1}(q^n-1)}{q-1} > q^{2(n-1)}$, which implies $k^2 < v$, a contradiction.

Now assume $i > 1$. Consider $x = \{\langle v_1, \dots, v_i \rangle, \langle v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n \rangle\}$ and $y = \{\langle v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_i + v_n \rangle, \langle v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n \rangle\}$. Then $[G_x^0 : G_{xy}^0]_{p'}$ divides $2(q^i - 1)(q^{n-i} - 1)$, which implies $k < 2q^n$, but $v > q^{2i(n-i)}$, so again $k^2 < v$, a contradiction.

\mathcal{C}_2) Here G_x preserves a partition $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_a$, with each V_i of the same dimension, say, b , and $n = ab$.

First consider the case $b = 1$ and $n = a$, and let $x = \{\langle v_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle v_n \rangle\}$ and $y = \{\langle v_1 + v_2 \rangle, \langle v_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle v_n \rangle\}$. Since $n > 2$, we see k divides $4n(n-1)(q-1) = 2[G_x : G_{xy}]$. Now $v > \frac{q^{n(n-1)}}{n!}$ and $k^2 > v$, so $n = 3$ and $q \leq 4$, that is $v = \frac{q^3(q^3-1)(q+1)}{(3, q-1)6!}$. As $k \mid 2(v-1)$, only for $q = 2$ can $k > 2$, so consider $q = 2$. Then $k \mid 6$ and $v = 28$, but there is no such value of k satisfying $k(k-1) = 2(v-1)$.

Now let $b > 1$, and consider $x = \{\langle v_1, \dots, v_b \rangle, \langle v_{b+1}, \dots, v_{2b} \rangle, \dots\}$ and $y = \{\langle v_1, \dots, v_{b-1}, v_{b+1} \rangle, \langle v_b, v_{b+2}, \dots, v_{2b} \rangle, \dots, \langle v_{n-b+1}, \dots, v_n \rangle\}$. Then k divides $\frac{2a(a-1)(q^b-1)^2}{q-1}$, so $v > \frac{q^{n(n-b)}}{a!}$, forcing $n = 4$, $q \geq 5$, and $a = 2 = b$. In none of these cases can we obtain $k > 2$.

\mathcal{C}_3) In this case G_x is an extension field subgroup. Since $2|G_x||G_x|_p^2 > |G|$ by Corollary 8, either:

- (1) $n = 3$ and $X \cap G_x = (q^2 + q + 1) \cdot 3 < PSL_3(q) = X$, or
- (2) n is even and $G_x = N_G(PSL_{\frac{n}{2}}(q^2))$.

First consider case (1). Here $v = \frac{q^3(q^2-1)(q-1)}{3}$, so k divides $6(q^2 + q + 1)(\log_p q)$, and $k^2 > v$ implies $q = 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 13$, or 16 . In none of these cases is $8v - 7$ a square.

Now consider case (2) and write $n = 2m$. As p divides v , we have $(k, p) \leq 2$. First suppose $n \geq 8$, and let W be a 2-subspace of V considered as a vector space over the field of q^2 elements, so that W is a 4-subspace over a field of q elements. If we consider the stabiliser of W in G_x and in G then in $G_W \setminus G_x W$ there is an element g such that $G_x \cap G_x^g$ contains the pointwise stabiliser of W in G_x as a subgroup. Therefore k divides $2(q^n - 1)(q^{n-2} - 1)$, contrary to $2v < k^2$, which is a contradiction.

Now let $n = 6$. Then since $(k, p) \leq 2$, Lemma 9 implies k is divisible by the index of a parabolic subgroup of G_x , so it is divisible by the primitive prime divisor q_3 of $q^3 - 1$, but this divides the index of G_x in G , which is v , a contradiction.

Hence $n = 4$. Then $v = \frac{q^4(q^3-1)(q-1)}{2}$, and so k is odd and prime to $q-1$. The fact that $(v-1, q+1) = 1$ implies k is also prime to $q+1$, and hence $k \mid (q^2 + 1) \log_p q$, contrary to $k^2 > 2v$, another contradiction.

\mathcal{C}_4) Here G_x stabilises a tensor product of spaces of different dimensions, and $n \geq 6$. In all these cases $v > k^2$.

\mathcal{C}_5) In this case G_x is the stabiliser in G of a subfield space. So $G_x = N_G(PSL_n(q_0))$, with $q = q_0^m$ and m prime.

If $m > 2$ then $2|G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2 > |G|$ forces $n = 2$, a contradiction.

Hence $m = 2$. If $n = 3$ then $v = \frac{(q_0^3+1)(q_0^2+1)q_0^3}{(q_0+1,3)}$.

Since p divides v , we have $(k, p) \leq 2$, so Lemma 9 implies G_{xB} (where B is a block incident with x) is contained in a parabolic subgroup of G_x . Therefore $q_0^2+q_0+1$ divides k , and $(v-1, q_0^2+q_0+1)$ divides $2q_0+(q_0+1, 3)$, forcing $q_0 = 2$ and $v = 120$, but then $8v-7$ is not a square.

If $n = 4$, then by Lemma 9 we see q_0^2+1 divides k , but q_0^2+1 also divides v , which is a contradiction.

Hence $n \geq 5$. Considering the stabilisers of a 2-dimensional subspace of V , we see k divides $2(q_0^n-1)(q_0^{n-1}-1)$, but then $k^2 < v$, which is also a contradiction.

C_6) Here G_x is an extraspecial normaliser. Since $2|G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2 > |G|$, we have $n \leq 4$. Now, $n > 2$ implies that $G_x \cap X$ is either 2^4A_6 or 3^2Q_8 , with X either $PSL_4(5)$ or $PSL_3(7)$ respectively. Since k divides $2(v-1, |G_x|)$, we check that $k \leq 6$, contrary to $k^2 > 2v$.

If $n = 2$ then $G_x \cap X = A_4.a < L_2(p) = X$, with $a = 2$ precisely when $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, and $a = 1$ otherwise, (and there are a conjugacy classes in X). From $|G| < |G_x|^3$ we obtain $p \leq 13$. If $p = 7$ then the action is 2-transitive. The remaining cases are ruled out by the fact that k divides $2(v-1, |G_x|)$, and $k(k-1) = 2(v-1)$.

C_7) Here G_x stabilises the tensor product of a spaces of the same dimension, say b , and $n = b^a$. Since $|G_x|^3 > |G|$, we have $n = 4$ and $G_x \cap X = (PSL_2(q) \times PSL_2(q))2^d < X = PSL_4(q)$, with $d = (2, q-1)$. Then $v = \frac{q^4(q^2+1)(q^3-1)}{x} > \frac{q^9}{x}$, with $x = 2$ unless $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, in which case $x = 4$. Hence $4 \nmid k$, and so k divides $2(q^2-1)\log_p q$, and if q is odd then k divides $\frac{(q^2-1)\log_p q}{32}$.

If q is odd, then $k^2 < \frac{q^9}{32} < \frac{q^9}{x} = v$, a contradiction. Hence q is even, and so

$$k = \frac{2(q^2-1)^2 \log_p q}{r},$$

and since $k^2 > 2v$ we have $r^2 < \frac{4(q+1)^4 \log_p q}{q^5}$, therefore $q \leq 32$.

However, the five cases are dismissed by the fact that k divides $2(v-1)$.

C_8) Now consider G_x to be a classical group.

- (1) First assume G_x is a symplectic group, so n is even. By Lemma 6 k is divisible by a parabolic index in G_x . If $n = 4$ then $v = \frac{q^2(q^3-1)}{(2, q-1)}$, and $\frac{q^4-1}{q-1}$ divides k , however $(v-1, q^2+1)$ divides 2, which is a contradiction.

If $n = 6$ then $v = \frac{q^6(q^5-1)(q^3-1)}{(3,q-1)}$ and $q^3 + 1$ divides k , but $q^3 + 1$ divides $2(v - 1)$ only if $q = 2$, so $k = 9$, too small.

Now suppose $n \geq 8$. If we consider the stabilisers of a 4-dimensional subspace of G_x and G , we see that k divides twice the odd part of $(q^n - 1)(q^{n-2} - 1)$. Also, $(k, q - 1) \leq 2$, so k divides $2 \frac{(q^n-1)(q^{n-2}-1)}{(q-1)^2}$, and therefore $k \leq 8q^{2n-4}$. The inequality $k^2 > 2v$ forces $n = 8$. In this case $v = \frac{q^{12}(q^7-1)(q^5-1)(q^3-1)}{(q-1,4)}$ which implies $q \leq 3$, and in neither of these two cases is $8v - 7$ a square.

- (2) Now let G_x be orthogonal. Then q is odd, since that is the case with odd dimension, and with even dimension it is a consequence of the maximality of G_x in G . The case in which $n = 4$ and G_x is of type O_4^+ will be investigated later, in all other cases Lemma 6 implies that k is divisible by a parabolic index in G_x and is therefore even, but it is not divisible by 4 since v is also even and $(k, v) \leq 2$. This and the fact that q does not divide k implies $k < v$, a contradiction.
- (3) Finally let G_x be a unitary group over the field of q_0 elements, where $q = q_0^2$. If $n \geq 4$ then considering the stabilisers of a nonsingular 2-subspace of V in G and G_x , we see k divides $2(q_0^n - (-1)^n)(q_0^{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1})$. The inequality $k^2 > 2v$ forces $n = 4$, and in this case $v = \frac{q_0^6(q_0^4+1)(q_0^3+1)(q_0^2+1)}{(q_0-1,4)}$. Since k divides $2(q_0^4 - 1)(q_0^3 + 1)$ and $(k, (q_0^2 + 1)(q_0 - 1)) \leq 2$, we see k divides $2(q_0^3 + 1)(q_0 + 1)$, so $k^2 \leq 2v$, a contradiction. Therefore $n = 3$, and by Lemma 6 $q_0^2 - q_0 + 1$ divides k , and k divides $2(v - 1)$ with $v = \frac{q_0^3(q_0^3-1)(q_0^2+1)}{x}$ with x either 1 or 3. This implies $q_0 = 2$, but then $v = 280$, and $8v - 7$ is not a square.

(S) We finally consider the case where G_x is an almost simple group, (modulo the scalars), not contained in the Aschbacher subgroups of G . From [18, Theorem 4.2] we have the possibilities $|G_x| < q^{2n+4}$, $G'_x = A_{n-1}$ or A_{n-2} , or $G_x \cap X$ and X are as in [18, Table 4].

Also, $|G| < |G_x|^3$ by Corollary 3 and $|G| \leq q^{n^2-n-1}$, so $n \leq 7$, and by the bound $2|G_x||G_x|_p^2 > |G|$ we need only consider the following possibilities [15, Chapter 5]:

$n = 2$, and $G_x \cap X = A_5$, with $q = 11, 19, 29, 31, 41, 59, 61$, or 121 .

$n = 3$, and $G_x \cap X = A_6 < PSL_3(4) = X$.

$n = 4$, and $G_x \cap X = U_4(2) < PSL_4(7) = X$.

In the first case, with $A_5 < L_2(11)$ the action is 2-transitive. In the remaining cases, the fact that k divides $2|G_x|$ and $2(v - 1)$ forces $k^2 < v$, which is a contradiction.

□

This completes the proof of Lemma 12.

4 X is a Symplectic Group

Here the socle of G is $X = PSp_{2m}(q)$, with $m \geq 2$ and $(m, q) \neq (2, 2)$. As a standard symplectic basis for V , we have $\beta = \{e_1, f_1, \dots, e_m, f_m\}$.

Lemma 13. *The group X is not $PSp_{2m}(q)$ with $m \geq 2$, and $(m, q) \neq (2, 2)$.*

Proof. We will consider G_x to be in each of the Aschbacher families of subgroups, and finally, an almost simple group not contained in any of the Aschbacher families of G . In each case we will arrive at a contradiction.

When $(p, n) = (2, 4)$ the group $Sp_4(2^f)$ admits a graph automorphism, this case will be treated separately after the eight Aschbacher families of subgroups.

\mathcal{C}_1) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_1$, then G_x is reducible, so either it is parabolic or it stabilises a nonsingular subspace of V .

First assume that $G_x = P_i$, the stabiliser of a totally singular i -subspace of V , with $i \leq m$. Then

$$v = \frac{(q^{2m} - 1)(q^{2m-2} - 1) \dots (q^{2m-2i+2} - 1)}{(q^i - 1)(q^{i-1} - 1) \dots (q - 1)}.$$

From this we see $v \equiv q + 1 \pmod{pq}$, so q is the highest power of p dividing $v - 1$. By Lemma 10 there is a subdegree which is a power of p , and since k divides twice every subdegree, k divides $2q$, contrary to $v < k^2$.

Now suppose that $G_x = N_{2i}$, the stabiliser of a nonsingular $2i$ -subspace U of V , with $m > 2i$. Then p divides v , so $(k, p) \leq 2$.

Take $U = \langle e_1, f_1, \dots, e_i, f_i \rangle$, and $W = \langle e_1, f_1, \dots, e_{i-1}, f_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, f_{i+1} \rangle$. The p' -part of the size of the G_x -orbit containing W is

$$\frac{(q^{2i} - 1)(q^{2m-2i} - 1)}{(q^2 - 1)^2}.$$

Since $v < q^{4i(m-i)}$, we can only have $v < k^2$ if $q = 2$ and $m = i + 1$, which is a contradiction.

\mathcal{C}_2) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_2$ then it preserves a partition $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_a$ of isomorphic subspaces of V .

First assume all the V_j 's to be totally singular subspaces of V of maximal dimension m . Then $G_x \cap X = \mathcal{G}L_m(q)$, and G_x maximal implies q is odd [17]. Then

$$v = \frac{q^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}}(q^m + 1)(q^{m-1} + 1) \dots (q + 1)}{2} > \frac{q^{m(m+1)}}{2},$$

and $(k, p) = 1$.

Let

$$x = \{\langle e_1, \dots, e_m \rangle, \langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle\},$$

and

$$y = \{\langle e_1, \dots, e_{m-1}, f_m \rangle, \langle f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, e_m \rangle\}.$$

Then the p' -part of the G_x -orbit of y divides $2(q^m - 1)$, and so k divides $4(q^m - 1)$, contrary to $v < k^2$.

Now assume that each of the V_j 's is nonsingular of dimension $2i$, so $G_x \cap X = \mathcal{S}p_{2i}(q) \text{ wr } S_t$, with $it = m$. Let

$$x = \{\langle e_1, f_1, \dots, e_i, f_i \rangle, \langle e_{i+1}, f_{i+1}, \dots, e_{2i}, f_{2i} \rangle, \dots\},$$

and take

$$y = \{\langle e_1, f_1, \dots, e_1, f_i + e_{i+1} \rangle, \langle e_{i+1}, f_{i+1} - e_i, e_{i+2}, \dots, e_{2i}, f_{2i}, \dots \rangle\}.$$

Considering the size of the G_x -orbit containing y , we see k divides

$$\frac{t(t-1)(q^{2i} - 1)^2}{q - 1}.$$

Now,

$$\frac{q^{2i^2 t(t-1)}}{t!} < v,$$

so $v < k^2$ implies $t!t^4 > q^{2i^2 t(t-1)+2-8i}$, hence $q^{2t(t-1)-6} < t^{t+4}$ and therefore $t < 4$.

First assume $t = 3$. Then by the above inequalities $i = 1$ and $q = 2$, but then G_x is not maximal [8, p.46], a contradiction.

Now let $t = 2$. Then $k < 2q^{4i-1}$, so $q^{4i^2-8i+2} < 8$ and therefore $i \leq 2$.

If $i = 2$ then $q = 2$ and $v = 45696 = 2^7 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$, but then $8v - 7$ is not a square, which is a contradiction.

If $i = 1$ then $X = PSp_4(q)$,

$$v = \frac{q^2(q^2 + 1)}{2},$$

and k divides $2(q+1)^2(q-1)$. Since k divides $2(v-1)$, we have k divides $(q^2(q^2+1)-2, 2(q+1)^2(q-1))$, that is, k divides

$$((q^2+2)(q^2-1), 2(q+1)^2(q-1)) = (q^2-1)(q^2+2, 2(q+1)) \leq 6(q^2-1).$$

Therefore

$$k = \frac{6(q^2-1)}{r},$$

with $1 \leq r \leq 6$. Now $2(v-1) = (q^2+2)(q^2-1)$, and also $2(v-1) = k(k-1)$, but we check that for all possible values of r this equality is not satisfied.

\mathcal{C}_3) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_3$, then it is an extension field subgroup, and there are two possibilities.

Assume first that $G_x \cap X = PSp_{2i}(q^t).t$, with $m = it$ and t a prime number. From $|G| < |G_x|^3$, we obtain $t = 2$ or 3 .

If $t = 3$, then $v < k^2$ implies $i = 1$, and so

$$G_x \cap X = PSp_2(q^3) < PSp_6(q) = X,$$

and

$$v = \frac{q^6(q^4-1)(q^2-1)}{3}.$$

This implies that k is coprime to $q+1$, but applying Lemma 9 to $PSp_2(q^3)$ yields q^3+1 divides k , which is a contradiction.

If $t = 2$, then

$$v = \frac{q^{2i^2}(q^{4i-2}-1)(q^{4i-6}-1)\dots(q^6-1)(q^2-1)}{2}.$$

Consider the subgroup $Sp_2(q^2) \circ Sp_{2i-2}(q^2)$ of $G_x \cap X$. This is contained in $Sp_4(q) \circ Sp_{4i-4}(q)$ in X . Taking $g \in Sp_4(q) \setminus Sp_2(q^2)$, we see $Sp_{2i-2}(q^2)$ is contained in $G_x \cap G_x^g$, so k divides $2(q^{4i}-1)\log_p q$. The inequality $v < k^2$ forces $i \leq 2$.

First assume $i = 2$. Then

$$v = \frac{q^8(q^6-1)(q^2-1)}{2}$$

and k divides $2(q^8-1)\log_p q$, but since $(k, v) \leq 2$ and q^2-1 divides v , we see k divides $2(q^4+1)(q^2+1)\log_p q$, forcing $q = 2$. In this case $v = 2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7 = 24192$, and $k = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 17 = 170$ (otherwise $k^2 < v$), but then k does not divide $2(v-1)$, which is a contradiction.

Hence $i = 1$, so

$$v = \frac{q^2(q^2 - 1)}{2},$$

and $G_x \cap X = PSp_2(q^2).2 < PSp_4(q) = X$, Therefore k divides $4q^2(q^4 - 1)$, but since $(k, v) \leq 2$, then k divides $4(q^2 + 1)$, so $k = \frac{4(q^2+1)}{r}$ for some $r \leq 8$ (since $v < k^2$). Now $2(v - 1) = k(k - 1)$, and also $2(v - 1) = (q^2 - 2)(q^2 + 1)$, so we have

$$r^2(q^2 - 2) = 16(q^2 + 1) - 4r,$$

that is,

$$(r + 4)(r - 4)q^2 = 2(8 + r(r - 2)).$$

This implies $4 < r \leq 8$, but solving the above equation for each of these possible values of r gives non-integer values of q , a contradiction.

Now assume $G_x \cap X = GU_m(q).2$, with q odd. Since v is even, 4 does not divide k . Also, k is prime to p , so by the Lemma 9, the stabiliser in $G_x \cap X$ of a block is contained in a parabolic subgroup. But then $q + 1$ divides the indices of the parabolic subgroups in the unitary group, so $q + 1$ divides k , but $q + 1$ also divides v , which is a contradiction.

\mathcal{C}_4) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_4$, then G_x stabilises a decomposition of V as a tensor product of two spaces of different dimensions, and G_x is too small to satisfy

$$|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_p^2.$$

\mathcal{C}_5) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_5$, then $G_x \cap X = PSp_{2m}(q_0).a$, with $q = q_0^b$ for some prime b and $a \leq 2$, (with $a = 2$ if and only if $b = 2$ and q is odd). The inequality $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_p^2$ forces $b = 2$. Then

$$v = \frac{q^{\frac{m^2}{2}}(q^m + 1) \dots (q + 1)}{(2, q - 1)} > \frac{q^{\frac{m(2m+1)}{2}}}{2}.$$

Now G_x stabilises a $GF(q_0)$ -subspace W of V . Considering a nonsingular 2-dimensional subspace of W we see

$$Sp_2(q_0) \circ Sp_{2m-2}(q_0) < Sp_2(q) \circ Sp_{2m-2}(q) < X.$$

If we take $g \in Sp_2(q) \setminus Sp_2(q_0)$ then $Sp_{2m-2}(q_0) < G_x \cap G_x^g$. This implies that there is a subdegree of X with the p' -part dividing $q_0^{2m} - 1$, so k divides $2(q^m - 1) \log_p q$, contrary to $v < k^2$.

\mathcal{C}_6) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_6$ then $G_x \cap X = 2^{2^s} \Omega_{2^s}^-(2).a$, q is an odd prime, $2m = 2^s$, and $a \leq 2$. The inequality $|G| < |G_x|^3$ implies $s \leq 3$, and if $s = 3$ then

$q = 3$, but then k is too small. If $s = 2$ then $q \leq 11$, but again k is too small in each of these cases.

\mathcal{C}_7) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_7$ then $G_x = N_G(PSp_{2a}(q)^{2r}2^{r-1}A_r)$ and $2m = (2a)^r \geq 8$, but this is a contradiction since $|G| < |G_x|^3$.

\mathcal{C}_8) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_8$ then $G_x \cap X = O_{2m}^\epsilon(q)$, with q even and $2m \geq 4$. We can assume $q > 2$ as when $q = 2$ the action is 2-transitive and that has been done in [14]. Here

$$v = \frac{q^m(q^m + \epsilon)}{2},$$

and from the proof of [21, Prop.1] the subdegrees of X are $(q^m - \epsilon)(q^{m+1} + \epsilon)$ and $\frac{(q-2)}{2}q^{m-1}(q^m - \epsilon)$. This implies by Lemma 4 that k divides $2(q^m - \epsilon)(q - 2, q^{m-1} + \epsilon)$. However, Lemma 9 implies k is divisible by the index of a parabolic subgroup in $O_{2m}^\epsilon(q)$, which is not the case.

$p = m = 2$ Here $2m = 4$ and q is even, we have the following possibilities:

G_x normalises a Borel subgroup of X in G . Then $v = (q+1)(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)$ so $2q$ is the highest power of 2 dividing $v - 1$. But k is also a power of 2, contrary to $v < k^2$.

$G_x \cap X = D_{2(q \pm 1)} \text{wr} S_2$. So k divides $2(q \pm 1)^2 \log_2 q$, too small to satisfy $v < k^2$.

$G_x \cap X = (q^2 + 1).4$, which is too small.

\mathcal{S}) Finally consider the case in which $G_x \in \mathcal{S}$ is an almost simple group (modulo scalars) not contained in any of the Aschbacher subgroups of G . These subgroups are listed in [15] for $2m \leq 10$.

First assume $2m = 4$, so we have one of the following possibilities:

- (1) $G_x \cap X = Sz(q)$ with q even,
- (2) $G_x \cap X = PSL_2(q)$ with $q \geq 5$, or
- (3) $G_x \cap X = A_6.a$ with $a \leq 2$ and $q = p \geq 5$.

In case (1) $v = q^2(q^2 - 1)(q + 1)$. Applying Lemma 9 to $Sz(q)$, we see $q^2 + 1$ divides k . Now $(v - 1, q^2 + 1) = (q - 2, 5)$, so $q = 2$, contrary to our initial assumptions.

In case (2), since $(k, v) \leq 2$, we have $k \leq 2 \log_p q$, contrary to $v < k^2$.

In case (3), 4 does not divide k , so k must divide 90, contrary to $v < k^2$.

Now let $2m = 6$. As $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_p^2$, from [15] either $G_x \cap X = J_2 < PSp_6(5) = X$, or $G_x \cap X = G_2(q)$ with q even. In the first case k divides $2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$, which is too small. In the second case $v = q^3(q^4 - 1)4$, so $(k, q + 1) = 1$. Applying Lemma 9 to $G_2(q)$ we see that $\frac{q^6 - 1}{q - 1}$ divides k , a contradiction.

If $2m = 8$ or 10 , then by [15] either $G_x = S_{10} < Sp_8(2) = G$ or $G_x = S_{14} < Sp_{12}(2) = G$. In the first case k divides $2(v-1, |G_x|) = 70$, which is too small. In the second case $(k, v) \leq 2$ implies that k divides $2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$, also too small.

If $2m \geq 12$, then by [18] we have $|G_x| \leq q^{4(m+1)}$, $G'_x = A_{n+1}$ or A_{n+2} , or X or $G_x \cap X$ are $E_7(q) \leq PSp_{56}(q)$. The latter is not possible as here $k^2 < v$, and the bound $|G_x| < q^{4(m+1)}$ forces $m < 6$.

The only possibilities for the alternating groups are $q = 2$, and $m = 7$, 8 , or 9 , however in all these cases k is too small. \square

This completes the proof of Lemma 13.

5 X is an Orthogonal Group of Odd Dimension

Here we consider $X = P\Omega_{2m+1}(q)$, with q odd and $n = 2m + 1 \geq 7$, (since $\Omega_3(q) \cong L_2(q)$, and $\Omega_5(q) \cong PSp_4(q)$).

Lemma 14. *The group X is not $P\Omega_{2m+1}(q)$, with $n \geq 7$.*

Proof. Here, as in the symplectic case, we will consider G_x to be in each of the Aschbacher families of subgroups, and then to be a subgroup of G not contained in any of these families, and arrive at a contradiction in each case.

\mathcal{C}_1) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_1$, then G_x is either parabolic or it stabilises a nonsingular subspace of V .

First assume $G_x = P_i$, the stabiliser of a totally singular i -subspace of V . Then, as in the symplectic case, $v \equiv q + 1 \pmod{pq}$, so q is the highest power of p dividing $v - 1$. By Lemma 10 there is a subdegree which is a power of p , therefore k divides $2q$, contradicting $v < k^2$.

Now assume that $G_x = N_i^\epsilon$, the stabiliser of a nonsingular i -dimensional subspace W of V of sign ϵ (if i is odd ϵ is the sign of W^\perp).

First let $i = 1$. Then

$$v = \frac{q^m(q^m + \epsilon)}{2},$$

and the X -subdegrees are $(q^m - \epsilon)(q^m + \epsilon)$, $\frac{q^{m-1}(q^m - \epsilon)}{2}$, and $\frac{q^{m-1}(q^m - \epsilon)(q-3)}{2}$. This implies that k divides $q^m - \epsilon$, contrary to $v < k^2$.

Hence $i \geq 2$. Let W be the i -space stabilised by G_x and choose $w \in W$ with $Q(w) = 1$, and $u \in W^\perp$ with $Q(u) = -c$ for some non-square $c \in GF(q)$. Then $\langle v, w \rangle$ is of type N_2^- , and if $g \in G$ stabilises W^\perp pointwise but does not fix neither u nor w , then $G_x \cap G_x^g$ contains $SO_{i-1}(q) \times SO_{n-i-1}(q)$.

This implies $k \leq 4q^m \log_p q$, but $v > q^{\frac{i(n-i)}{4}}$ implies q is odd and $m \geq 3$, this is contrary to $v < k^2$.

\mathcal{C}_2) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_2$ then G_x is the stabiliser of a subspace decomposition into isometric nonsingular spaces. From the inequality $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2$ it follows that the only possibilities are either:

$$G_x \cap X = 2^6 A_7 < \Omega_7(q) \text{ with } q \text{ either } 3 \text{ or } 5, \text{ or}$$

$$G_x \cap X = 2^{n-1} A_n < \Omega_n(3) \text{ with } n = 7, 9, \text{ or } 11.$$

In each case the fact that k divides $2(v-1)$ forces $v > k^2$, a contradiction.

\mathcal{C}_3) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_3$ then $G_x \cap X = \Omega_a(q^t).t$ with $n = at$. Since a and t are odd, $a = 2r + 1 < \frac{n}{2}$, so

$$|G_x|_{p'} = t \prod_{i=1}^r (q^{2it} - 1),$$

and since k divides $2(|G_x|_{p'}, v-1)$, it is too small to satisfy $k^2 > v$.

\mathcal{C}_4) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_4$ then it stabilises a tensor product of nonsingular subspaces, but these have to be of odd dimension and so G_x is too small.

\mathcal{C}_5) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_5$ then $G_x \cap X = \Omega_n(q_0).a$, with $q = q_0^b$ for some prime b , and $a \leq 2$ with $a = 2$ if and only $b = 2$. The inequality $|G| < |G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2$ forces $b = 2$. If $n = 2m + 1$ then k divides $2|G_x \cap X| = q_0^{m^2} (q_0^{2m} - 1) \dots (q_0^2 - 1)$, but $v = q_0^{m^2} (q_0^{2m} + 1) \dots (q_0^2 + 1)$, so k is prime to q and therefore $(v-1, (q_0^{2m} - 1) \dots (q_0^2 - 1))$ is too small.

\mathcal{C}_6), \mathcal{C}_7), and \mathcal{C}_8) In the cases \mathcal{C}_6 and \mathcal{C}_8 , the classes are empty, and for \mathcal{C}_7 we see $G_x \cap X$ stabilises the tensor product power of a non-singular space, but it is too small to satisfy $|G| < |G_x|^3$.

\mathcal{S}) Now consider the case in which G_x is a simple group not contained in any of the Aschbacher collection of subgroups of G . As in the symplectic section, we only need to consider the following possibilities:

- (1) $G_x \cap X = G_2(q) < \Omega_7(q) = X$ with q odd,
- (2) $G_x \cap X = Sp_6(2) < \Omega_7(p)$ with p either 3 or 5, or
- (3) $G_x \cap X = S_9 < \Omega_7(3)$.

In all three cases as k divides $2(v-1, |G_x|)$ it is too small. □

This completes the proof of Lemma 14.

6 X is an Orthogonal Group of Even Dimension

In this section $X = P\Omega_{2m}^\epsilon(q)$, with $m \geq 4$. We write $\beta_+ = \{e_1, f_1, \dots, e_m, f_m\}$ for a standard basis for V in the O_{2m}^+ -case, and $\beta_- = \{e_1, f_1, \dots, e_{m-1}, f_{m-1}, d, d'\}$ in the O_{2m}^- -case.

Lemma 15. *The group X is not $P\Omega_{2m}^\epsilon(q)$, with $m \geq 4$.*

Proof. As before, we take G_x to be in one of the Aschbacher families of subgroups of G , or a simple group not contained in any of these families, and analyse each case separately. We postpone until the end of the proof the case where $(m, \epsilon) = (4, +)$ and G contains a triality automorphism.

\mathcal{C}_1) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_1$ then we have two possibilities.

First assume G_x stabilises a totally singular i -space, and suppose that $i < m$. If $i = m - 1$ and $\epsilon = +$, then $G_x = P_{m, m-1}$, otherwise $G_x = P_i$. In any case there is a unique subdegree of X that is a power of p (except in the case where $\epsilon = +$, m is odd, and $G_x = P_m$ or P_{m-1}). On the other hand, the highest power of p dividing $v - 1$ divides q^2 or 8 , so k is too small.

Now consider $G_x = P_m$ in the case $X = P\Omega_{2m}^+(q)$, and note that in this case P_{m-1} and P_m are the stabilisers of totally singular m -spaces from the two different X -orbits. If m is even then

$$x = \langle e_1, \dots, e_m \rangle, \quad y = \langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle$$

are in the same X -orbit, and the size of the G_x -orbit of y is a power of p . However the highest power of p dividing $v - 1$ is q , so k is too small.

If m is odd, $m \geq 5$, then $v = (q^{m-1} + 1)(q^{m-2} + 1) \dots (q + 1) > q^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}$. Let

$$x = \langle e_1, \dots, e_m \rangle, \quad y = \langle e_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \rangle.$$

Then x and y are in the same X -orbit, and the index of G_{xy} in G_x has p' -part dividing $q^m - 1$. The highest power of p dividing $v - 1$ is q so k divides $2q(q^m - 1)$, and the inequality $v < k^2$ implies $m = 5$. In this case the action is of rank three, with nontrivial subdegrees

$$\frac{q(q^2 + 1)(q^5 - 1)}{q - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{q^6(q^5 - 1)}{q - 1}.$$

Therefore k divides

$$\frac{2q(q^5 - 1)}{q - 1},$$

and $v < k^2$ implies k is either $2q(q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)$ or $q(q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)$, but neither of these satisfies the equality $k(k - 1) = 2(v - 1)$.

Now suppose $G_x = N_i$. First let $i = 1$. The subdegrees of X are (see [5]):

$$q^{2m-2} - 1, \frac{q^{m-1}(q^{m-1}+\epsilon)}{2}, \frac{q^{m-1}(q^{m-1}-\epsilon)(q-1)}{4}, \text{ and } \frac{q^{m-1}(q^{m-1}+\epsilon)(q-3)}{4} \text{ if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4},$$

$$q^{2m-2} - 1, \frac{q^{m-1}(q^{m-1}-\epsilon)}{2}, \frac{q^{m-1}(q^{m-1}-\epsilon)(q-3)}{4}, \text{ and } \frac{q^{m-1}(q^{m-1}+\epsilon)(q-3)}{4} \text{ if } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \text{ and}$$

$$q^{2m-2} - 1, \frac{q^m(q^{m-1}-\epsilon)}{2}, \text{ and } \frac{q^{m-1}(q^{m-1}+\epsilon)(q-2)}{2} \text{ if } q \text{ is even.}$$

Here k divides twice the highest common factor of the subdegrees, and in every case this is too small for k to satisfy $v < k^2$.

Now let $G_x = N_i^{\epsilon_1}$, with $1 < i \leq m$, and $\epsilon_1 = \pm$ present only if i is even. If q is odd, as in the odd-dimensional case $SO_{i-1}(q) \times SO_{n-i-1}(q) \leq G_x \cap G_x^g$ for some $g \in G \setminus G_x$. Since k and p are coprime $k < 8q^m \log_p q$, contrary to $v < k^2$. Now assume q is even. Then i is also even.

If $i = 2$ then we can find $g_1, g_2 \in G \setminus G_x \cap X$ such that $(G_x \cap X) \cap (G_x \cap X)^{g_1} \geq SO_{n-4}^+(q)$ and $(G_x \cap X) \cap (G_x \cap X)^{g_2} \geq SO_{n-4}^-(q)$. Therefore k divides $2(q - \epsilon_1)(q^{m-1} - \epsilon_1)(\log_2 q)_{2'}$, so $k^2 < v$.

If $2 < i \leq m$ then we can find $g \in G \setminus G_x \cap X$ such that $(G_x \cap X) \cap (G_x \cap X)^g \geq SO_{i-2}^{\epsilon_1}(q) \times SO_{n-i-2}^{\epsilon_2}(q)$, with $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon \epsilon_1$. It follows that k divides

$$\left(q^{\frac{i}{2}} - \epsilon_1\right) \left(q^{\frac{i-2}{2}} + \epsilon_1\right) \left(q^{\frac{n-i}{2}} + \epsilon_2\right) \left(q^{\frac{n-i-2}{2}} + \epsilon_2\right) (\log_2 q)_{2'},$$

forcing $k^2 < v$, a contradiction.

\mathcal{C}_2) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_2$ then G_x stabilises a decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_a$ of subspaces of equal dimension, say b , so $n = ab$. Here we have three possibilities.

First assume all the V_i are nonsingular and isometric. (Also, if b is odd then so is q). If $b = 1$ then the inequality $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_p^2$ implies $G_x \cap X = 2^{n-2}A_n$, with n being either 8 or 10 and X either $P\Omega_8^+(3)$ or $P\Omega_{10}^-(3)$ respectively. (Note that if $X = P\Omega_8^+(5)$ then the maximality of G_x in G forces $G \leq X.2$ ([16]), so G_x is too small). In the first case, k divides 112, and in the second it is a power of 2. Both contradict the inequality $v < k^2$.

Now let $b = 2$. If $q > 2$ then we can find $g \in G \setminus G_x$ so that $G_x \cap G_x^g$ contains the stabiliser of $V_3 \oplus \dots \oplus V_a$. From this it follows that $k \leq 2a(a-1) \cdot (2(q+1))^2 |\text{Out}X|$, and from $v < k^2$ we obtain $n = 8$ and $q = 3$. If $q = 2$ then we can find $g \in G \setminus G_x$ so that $G_x \cap G_x^g$ contains the stabiliser of $V_4 \oplus \dots \oplus V_a$, and in this case k is at most $2a(a-1)(a-2)(2(q+1))^3 |\text{Out}X|$, and so $n = 8$ or 10. Using the condition that k divides $2(v-1)$ we rule out these three cases.

Finally let $b > 2$. The inequality $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_p^2$ forces $b = m$, (and so $\epsilon = +$). Let δ be the type of the V_i if m is even. Assume first that $m = 4$. Then

$$v = \frac{q^8 (q^2 + 1)^2 (q^4 + q^2 + 1)}{4}$$

if $\delta = +$, and

$$v = \frac{q^8 (q^6 - 1) (q^2 - 1)}{4}$$

if $\delta = -$. In the first case, $(q^2 - 1, v - 1) \leq 2$ and 4 does not divide $v - 1$, so k divides $6(\log_p q)_{2'}$, contrary to $v < k^2$. In the latter case, v is even and divisible by $(q^2 - 1)$, and k divides the odd part of $3(q^2 + 1)^2 \log_p q$, again contrary to $v < k^2$. Hence $m \geq 5$, and we argue as in \mathcal{C}_1 .

In the case where m and q are odd, $a = 2$, and V_1, V_2 are similar but not isometric, we also argue as in \mathcal{C}_1 .

Now consider the case $\epsilon = +$, $a = 2$, and V_1 and V_2 totally singular. If $m = 4$, then we can apply a triality automorphism of X to get to the case $G_x = N_2^+$, which we have ruled out in \mathcal{C}_1 . Assume then that $m \geq 5$. Then

$$v = \frac{q^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} (q^{m-1} + 1) (q^{m-2} + 1) \dots (q + 1)}{2^e},$$

where e is either 0 or 1 ([17, 4.2.7]), so

$$v > \frac{q^{m(m-1)}}{2}.$$

However, there exists $g \in G \setminus G_x$ such that $GL_{m-2}(q) \leq G_x \cap G_x^g$, and so k divides $2(q^m - 1)(q^{m-1} - 1) \log_p q$, and in fact $(k, v) \leq 2$ implies k divides twice the odd part of $\frac{(q^m - 1)(q^{m-1} - 1) \log_p q}{q+1}$, which is contrary to $k^2 < v$.

\mathcal{C}_3) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_3$, then G_x is an extension field subgroup, and there are two possibilities ([17]).

First assume $G_x = N_G(\Omega_{\frac{n}{s}}^\delta(q^s))$, with s a prime and $\delta = \pm$ if $\frac{n}{s}$ is even (and empty otherwise). The inequality $|G| < |G_x|^3$ forces $s = 2$. If q is odd, then by Lemma 9 we see that a parabolic degree of G_x divides k , and so it follows that k is even, but since v is even then 4 does not divide k , which is a contradiction.

If q is even then m is also even, and

$$v = \frac{q^{\frac{m^2}{2}} (q^{2m-2} - 1) (q^{2m-2} - 1) \dots (q^2 - 1)}{2^e},$$

with $e \leq 2$ ([17, 4.3.14, 4.3.16]). As k divides $2(v-1)$ it is prime to q^2-1 , and it follows that $k^2 < v$, another contradiction.

Now let $G_x = N_G(GU_m(q))$, with $\epsilon = (-1)^m$. If q is odd, then as in the symplectic case $q+1$ divides v and k , which is a contradiction.

So let q be even. If $m=4$ then applying a triality automorphism of X the action of G becomes that of N_2^- , which has been ruled out in the case \mathcal{C}_1 . So let $m \geq 5$. Now, G_x is the stabiliser of a hermitian form $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on V over $GF(q^2)$ such that the quadratic form Q preserved by X satisfies $Q(v) = [v, v]$ for $v \in V$. Let W be a nonsingular 2-dimensional hermitian subspace over $GF(q^2)$. Then W over $GF(q)$ is of type O_4^+ . The pointwise stabiliser of W^\perp in $G_x \cap X$ is $GU_2(q)$, which is properly contained in the pointwise stabiliser of W^\perp in X . Thus we can find $g \in G \setminus G_x$ so that $GU_{m-2}(q) \leq G_x \cap G_x^g$. Then k divides $2(q^m - (-1)^m)(q^{m-1} - (-1)^{m-1}) \log_p q$, contrary to $v < k^2$.

\mathcal{C}_4) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_4$ then G_x stabilises an asymmetric tensor product, so either $G_x = N_G(PSp_a(q) \times PSp_b(q))$ with a and b distinct even numbers, or $G_x = N_G(P\Omega_a^{\epsilon_1}(q) \times P\Omega_b^{\epsilon_2}(q))$ with $a, b \geq 3$ and $n = ab$. The inequality $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_p^2$ implies $n = 8$ and $G_x = N_G(PSp_2(q) \times PSp_4(q))$. Applying a triality automorphism of X , the action becomes that of N_3 , a case that has been ruled out in \mathcal{C}_1 .

\mathcal{C}_5) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_5$ then it is a subfield subgroup. The inequality $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_p^2$ implies $G_x \cap X = P\Omega_{2m}^\delta(q_0) \cdot 2^e < P\Omega_{2m}^+(q) = X$, with $q = q_0^2$ and $e \leq 2$ ([17, 4.5.10]), so

$$v > \frac{q_0^{2m^2-m}}{4}.$$

Now, G_x stabilises a $GF(q_0)$ -subspace V_0 of V . Let U_0 be a 2-subspace of V_0 of type $O_2^+(q_0)$, and U a subspace of V of type $O_2^+(q)$ containing U_0 . There exists an element $g \in G \setminus G_x$ that stabilises U^\perp pointwise, from this it follows that $G_x \cap G_x^g$ involves $P\Omega_{2m-2}^\delta(q_0)$. This implies that k divides $2(q_0^m - \delta)(q_0^{m-1} + \delta)|\text{Out} X|$, which contradicts the inequality $v < k^2$.

\mathcal{C}_6) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_6$, it is an extraspecial normaliser. From $|G| < |G_x|^3$ we have $G_x \cap X = 2^6 A_8 < P\Omega_8^+(3) = X$. Applying a triality automorphism of X , we have one of the cases already ruled out in \mathcal{C}_2 .

\mathcal{C}_7) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_7$, then it stabilises a symmetric tensor product of a spaces of dimension b , with $n = b^a$. Here G_x is too small.

\mathcal{C}_8) In this case this class is empty.

\mathcal{S}) Now consider the case in which G_x is an almost simple group (modulo scalars) not contained in any of the Aschbacher subgroups of G . For $n \leq 10$, the subgroups G_x are listed in [15] and [16]. Since $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_p^2$, we have one of the following:

- (1) $\Omega_7(q) < P\Omega_8^+(q)$,
- (2) $\Omega_8^+(q) < P\Omega_8^+(q)$ with $q = 3, 5$, or 7 , or
- (3) $A_9 < \Omega_8^+(q), A_{12} < \Omega_{10}^-(2), A_{12} < P\Omega_{10}^+(3)$.

In the first case applying a triality automorphism gives an action on N_1 , which was excluded in \mathcal{C}_1 . In the second case the fact that k divides $2(|G_x|, v - 1)$ implies k divides $20, 6$, and $2 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2$, and so is too small. In the third case since 6 divides v , again k is too small.

So $n \geq 12$. If $n > 14$, then by [18, Theorem 4.2] we need only consider the cases in which G'_x is alternating on the deleted permutation module, and in fact $A_{17} < \Omega_{16}^+(2)$ is the only group which is big enough. Again, since v is divisible by $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 17$ we conclude k is too small. Now let $n = 12$, respectively 14 . If X is alternating, we only have to consider $A_{13} < \Omega_{12}^-(2)$, respectively $A_{16} < \Omega_{14}^+(2)$, however k divides $2(v - 1, |G_x|)$, so $k^2 < v$, a contradiction. If X is not alternating, then again since $|G_x| < q^{2n+4}$ by [18, Theorem 4.2] it follows that $|G_x| < q^{28}$, respectively $|G_x| < q^{32}$. On the other hand, from $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2$ we obtain $|G_x|_{p'} > \frac{q^{19}}{\sqrt{2}}$, respectively $|G_x|_{p'} > q^{29}$. We can now see (cf. [19, Seccions 2,3, and 5]) that no sporadic or Lie type group will do for G_x .

Finally assume that $X = P\Omega_8^+(q)$, and G contains a triality automorphism. The maximal groups are determined in [16]. If $G_x \cap X$ is a parabolic subgroup of X , then it is either P_2 or P_{134} . The first was ruled out in \mathcal{C}_1 , so consider the latter. In this case

$$v = \frac{(q^6 - 1)(q^4 - 1)}{(q - 1)^3} > q^{11},$$

and $(3, q)q$ is the highest power of p dividing $v - 1$. Since X has a unique suborbit of size a power of p (by Lemma 10), we have $k < 2q(3, q)$, which contradicts $v < k^2$.

Now, by [16] and $|G| < |G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2$, the only cases we have to consider are $G_2(q)$ for any q and $(2^9)L_3(2)$ for $q = 3$. In the first case,

$$v = \frac{q^6 (q^4 - 1)^2}{(q - 1, 2)^2},$$

and Lemma 9 applied to $G_2(q)$ implies G_{xB} is contained a parabolic subgroup, so $\frac{(q^6 - 1)}{q - 1}$ divides k . However k is prime to $q + 1$, which is a contradiction. In the second case, k divides 28 , which is too small. □

This completes the proof of Lemma 15.

7 X is a Unitary Group

Here $X = U_n(q)$ with $n \geq 3$, and $(n, q) \neq (3, 2), (4, 2)$, since these are isomorphic to $3^2.Q_8$ and $PSp_4(3)$ respectively. We write $\beta = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ for an orthonormal basis of V .

Lemma 16. *The group X is not $U_n(q)$, with $n \geq 3$ and $(n, q) \neq (3, 2), (4, 2)$.*

Proof. As we have done throughout, we will consider G_x to be in one of the Aschbacher families of subgroups of G , or a nonabelian simple group not contained in any of these families, and analyse each of these cases separately.

\mathcal{C}_1) If G_x is reducible, then it is either a parabolic subgroup P_i , or the stabiliser N_i of a nonsingular subspace.

First assume $G_x = P_i$ for some $i \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Then

$$v = \frac{(q^n - (-1)^n)(q^{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1}) \dots (q^{n-2i+1} - (-1)^{n-2i+1})}{(q^{2i} - 1)(q^{2i-2} - 1) \dots (q^2 - 1)}.$$

There is a unique subdegree which is a power of p . The highest power of p dividing $v - 1$ is q^2 , unless n is even and $i = \frac{n}{2}$, in which case it is q , or n is odd and $i = \frac{n-1}{2}$, in which case it is q^3 . If $n = 3$ then the action is 2-transitive, so consider $n > 3$. Then $v > q^{i(2n-3i)}$, and so $v < k^2$, which is a contradiction.

Now suppose that $G_x = N_i$, with $i < \frac{n}{2}$, and take $x = \langle u_1, \dots, u_i \rangle$. If we consider $y = \langle u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_{i+1} \rangle$, then k divides $2(q^i - (-1)^i)(q^{n-i} - (-1)^{n-i})$. However in this case

$$v = \frac{q^{i(n-1)}(q^n - (-1)^n) \dots (q^{n-i+1} - (-1)^{n-i+1})}{(q^i - (-1)^i) \dots (q + 1)},$$

and $v < k^2$ implies $i = 1$. Therefore k divides $2(q + 1)(q^{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1})$. Applying Lemma 9 to $U_{n-1}(q)$, we see k is divisible by the degree of a parabolic action of $U_{n-1}(q)$. We check the subdegrees, and by the fact that k divides $|G_x|^2$ as well as $k^2 > v$ we conclude $n \leq 5$.

If $n = 5$ then k divides $2(q + 1)(q^4 - 1)$ and is divisible by $q^3 + 1$, which can only happen if $q = 2$, but in this case none of the possibilities for k satisfy the equality $2(v - 1) = k(k - 1)$.

If $n = 4$ then $q^3 + 1$ divides k , but $(2(v - 1), q^3 + 1) \leq 2(q^2 - q + 1)$, which is a contradiction.

Finally, if $n = 3$ then $q + 1$ divides k , but $q + 1$ is prime to $v - 1$, which is another contradiction.

\mathcal{C}_2) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_2$, then it preserves a partition $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_a$ of subspaces of the same dimension, say b , so $n = ab$ and either the v_i are nonsingular and the partition is orthogonal, or $a = 2$ and the V_i are totally singular.

First assume that the V_i are nonsingular. If $b > 1$, then taking

$$x = \{\langle u_1, \dots, u_b \rangle, \langle u_{b+1}, \dots, u_{2b} \rangle, \dots\}$$

and

$$y = \{\langle u_1, \dots, u_{b-1}, u_{b+1} \rangle, \langle u_b, u_{b+2}, \dots, u_{2b} \rangle, \dots\},$$

we see k divides $2a(a-1)(q^b - (-1)^b)^2$. From the inequality $v < k^2$ we have $n = 4$ and $b = 2$. Therefore

$$v = \frac{q^4 (q^4 - 1) (q^3 + 1)}{2 (q^2 - 1) (q + 1)},$$

and k divides $4(q^2 - 1)^2$. However, $(v - 1, q + 1) = (2, q + 1)$, so k divides $16(q - 1)^2$, which is contrary to $v < k^2$.

If $b = 1$ then $G_x \cap X = (q + 1)^{n-1} S_n$. First let $n = 3$, with $q > 2$. Then

$$v = \frac{q^3 (q^3 + 1) (q^2 - 1)}{6(q + 1)^2},$$

and k divides $12(q + 1)^2 \log_p q$. The inequality $v < k^2$ forces $q \leq 17$, but by the fact that k divides $2(v - 1)$ we rule out all these values. Now let $n > 3$, and let $x = \{\langle u_1 \rangle, \langle u_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle u_n \rangle\}$. If $q > 3$ let $W = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$. If we take $g \in G \setminus G_x$ acting trivially on W^\perp we see k divides $n(n-1)(q+1)^2$, contrary to $v < k^2$. If $q \leq 3$ then let $W = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle$. Taking $g \in G \setminus G_x$ acting trivially on W^\perp we see that now k divides $\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(q+1)^3}{3}$, so $n \leq 6$ if $q = 2$, or $n \leq 4$ if $q = 3$. By the fact that k divides $2(v - 1)$ we rule these cases out.

Now assume that $a = 2$ and both the V_i 's are totally singular. Let $\{e_1, f_1, \dots, e_b, f_b\}$ be a standard unitary basis. Take

$$x = \{\langle e_1, \dots, e_b \rangle, \langle f_1, \dots, f_b \rangle\}, \text{ and } y = \{\langle e_1, \dots, e_{b-1}, f_b \rangle, \langle f_1, \dots, f_{b-1}, e_b \rangle\}.$$

Then k divides $4(q^n - 1)$. The inequality $v < k^2$ forces $n = 4$, but then

$$v = \frac{q^4 (q^3 + 1) (q + 1)}{2},$$

so in fact k divides $2(q^2 + 1)(q - 1)$, contrary to $v < k^2$.

\mathcal{C}_3) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_3$ then it is a field extension group for some field extension of $GF(q)$ of odd degree b . From the inequality $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2$ we have $b = 3$ and $n = 3$. Then

$$v = \frac{q^3(q^2 - 1)(q + 1)}{3}.$$

Therefore 4 does not divide k , and so $k < 6q^2(\log_p q)_{2'}$. Since $v < k^2$, we have $q \leq 9$. With the condition that k divides $2(v - 1)$ we rule out these cases.

\mathcal{C}_4) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_4$ then it is the stabiliser of a tensor product of two nonsingular subspaces of dimensions $a > b > 1$, but then the inequality $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2$ is not satisfied.

\mathcal{C}_5) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_5$ then it is a subfield subgroup. We have three possibilities:

If G_x is a unitary group of dimension n over $GF(q_0)$, where $q = q_0^b$ with b an odd prime, then $|G| < |G_x|^3$ implies $b = 3$. However $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2$ forces $q = 8$ and $n \leq 4$, but in these cases since k divides $2(v - 1)$ it is too small.

If $G_x \cap X = PSO_n^e(q)$, with n even and q odd, then by Lemma 6 k is divisible by the degree of a parabolic action of G_x . Here $q + 1$ divides k , and $\frac{q+1}{(4, q+1)}$ divides v . The fact that k divides $2(v - 1)$ forces $q = 3$, so $v = 2835$, but then $8v - 7$ is not a square, which is a contradiction.

Finally, if $G_x = N(PSp_n(q))$, with n even, then by Lemma 9 G_{xB} is contained on some parabolic subgroup, so k is divisible by the degree of some parabolic action of G_x , and so is divisible by $q + 1$. However v is divisible by $\frac{q+1}{(q+1, 2)}$, contradicting the fact that k divides $2(v - 1)$.

\mathcal{C}_6) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_6$, then it is an extraspecial normaliser, and since $|G| < |G_x|^3$, we only have to consider the cases $G_x \cap X = 3^2Q_8$, 2^4A_6 , or 2^4S_6 , and $X = U_3(5)$, $U_4(3)$, and $U_4(7)$ respectively. In all cases the fact that k divides $2(|G_x|, v - 1)$ forces $k^2 < v$, a contradiction.

\mathcal{C}_7) If $G_x \in \mathcal{C}_7$, then it stabilises a tensor product decomposition of $V_n(q)$ into t subspaces V_i of dimension m each, so $n = m^t$. Since $m \geq 3$ and $t \geq 3$, we see $|G_x|$ is too small to satisfy $|G| < |G_x|^3$.

\mathcal{C}_8) This class is empty.

\mathcal{S}) Finally consider the case in which G_x is an almost simple group (modulo the scalars) not contained in any of the Aschbacher families of subgroups. For $n \leq 10$ the subgroups G_x are listed in [15, Chapter 5]. Since $|G| < |G_x|^3$, we only need to consider the following possibilities:

$L_2(7)$ in $U_3(3)$,

$A_6.2$, $L_2(7)$, and A_7 in $U_3(5)$,
 A_6 in $U_3(11)$,
 $L_2(7)$, A_7 , and $L_2(4)$ in $U_4(3)$,
 $U_4(2)$ in $U_4(5)$,
 $L_2(11)$ in $U_5(2)$, and
 $U_4(3)$ and M_{22} in $U_6(2)$.

Since k divides $2(|G_x|, v - 1)$, we have $k^2 < v$ in all cases except in the case $L_2(7) < U_3(3)$. In this last case $v = 36$, but then there is no k such that $k(k - 1) = 2(v - 1)$, which is a contradiction.

If $n \geq 14$, then by [18] we have $|G| > |G_x|^3$, a contradiction. Hence $n = 11, 12$, or 13 . By [18], $|G_x|$ is bounded above by q^{4n+8} , and $|G| < 2|G_x||G_x|_{p'}^2$ implies $|G_x|_{p'}$ is bounded below by q^{33} , q^{43} , or q^{53} respectively. Using the methods in [18, 19] we rule out all the almost simple groups G_x . \square

This completes the proof of Lemma 16, and hence if X is a simple classical group, then it is either $PSL_2(7)$ or $PSL_2(11)$.

Acknowledgements

The results in the present paper were obtained with a grant from the Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico, UNAM, during the course of my Ph.D. under the supervision of Martin W. Liebeck. I am very grateful to Martin for his most helpful ideas and guidance. I would also like to thank Jan Saxl for allowing me to view his notes before [28] was published, and Sasha Ivanov for providing me with these notes. Finally I thank the referees for their observations and suggestions, which have greatly improved this article.

References

- [1] M. Aschbacher, On Collineation Groups of Symmetric Block Designs., *J. Combin. Theory* (11) (1971) 272-281.
- [2] M. Aschbacher, "On the Maximal Subgroups of the Finite Classical Groups", *Invent. Math.* **76** (1984), 469-514.

- [3] E.F. Assmus Jr., J.A. Mezzaroba, and C.J. Salwach, Planes and Biplanes, *Proceedings of the 1976 Berlin Combinatorics Conference, Vancerverredle, 1977*.
- [4] E.F. Assmus Jr., and C.J. Salwach, The (16,6,2) Designs, *International J. Math. and Math. Sci.* Vol. 2 No. 2 (1979) 261-281.
- [5] E. Bannai, S.Hao, and S-Y. Song, Character tables of the association schemes of finite orthogonal groups on the non-isotropic points, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* **54** (1990) 164-200.
- [6] P.J. Cameron, Biplanes, *Math. Z.* **131** (1973) 85-101.
- [7] C.J. Colburn, and J.H. Dinitz, "The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs", CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1996.
- [8] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker, and R.A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups* Oxford University Press, London, 1985.
- [9] H. Davies, Flag-Transitivity and Primitivity, *Discrete Math.* **63** (1987) 91-93.
- [10] R.H.F. Denniston, On Biplanes with 56 points., *Ars. Combin.* **9** (1980) 167-179.
- [11] M. Hall Jr., R. Lane, and D. Wales, Designs derived from Permutation Groups, *J. Combin. Theory* **8** (1970) 12-22.
- [12] B.Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [13] Q.M. Hussain, On the Totality of the Solutions for the Symmetrical Incomplete Block Designs $\lambda = 2$, $k = 5$ or 6 , *Sankhya* **7** (1945) 204-208.
- [14] W. Kantor, "Classification of 2-Transitive Symmetric Designs", *Graphs and Combinatorics* **1** (1985), 165-166.
- [15] P.B. Kleidman, *The Subgroup Structure of Some Finite Simple Groups*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Cambridge, 1987.
- [16] P. B. Kleidman, The Maximal Subgroups of the Finite 8-dimensional Orthogonal Groups $P\Omega_8^+(q)$ and of their Automorphism Groups, *J. Algebra* **110** (1987) 172-242.
- [17] P.B. Kleidman and M.W. Liebeck, *The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Vol. 129, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.

- [18] M.W. Liebeck, On the Orders of Maximal Subgroups of the Finite Classical Groups, *Proc. London Math Soc.* **50** (1985) 426-446.
- [19] M.W. Liebeck, The Affine Permutation Groups of Rank 3, *Proc. London Math Soc.* **54** (1987) 477-516.
- [20] M.W. Liebeck, C.E. Praeger, J. Saxl, On the O’Nan-Scott Theorem for Finite Primitive Permutation Groups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **44** (1988) 389-396.
- [21] M.W. Liebeck, C.E. Praeger, and J. Saxl, On the 2-closures of Finite Permutation Groups”, *J. London Math. Soc.* **37** (1988), 241-264.
- [22] M.W. Liebeck, J. Saxl, and G.M. Seitz, “On the Overgroups of Irreducible Subgroups of the Finite Classical Groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1987) 507-537.
- [23] M.W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, “On the Orders of Maximal Subgroups of the Finite Exceptional Groups of Lie Type” *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), 299-330.
- [24] E. O’Reilly Regueiro, Flag-Transitive Symmetric Designs, Ph.D. Thesis, University of London, 2003.
- [25] E. O’Reilly Regueiro, On Primitivity and Reduction for Flag-Transitive Symmetric Designs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **109** (2005) 135-148.
- [26] E. O’Reilly Regueiro, Biplanes with Flag-Transitive Automorphism Groups of Almost Simple Type, with Alternating or Sporadic Socle, *European Journal of Combinatorics* **26** (2005) 577-584.
- [27] E. O’Reilly-Regueiro, Biplanes with Flag-Transitive Automorphism Groups of Almost Simple Type, with Exceptional Socle of Lie Type, in preparation.
- [28] J. Saxl, On Finite Linear Spaces with Almost Simple Flag-Transitive Automorphism Groups, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **100** 2 (2002) 322-348.
- [29] C.J. Salwach, and J.A. Mezzaroba, The Four Biplanes with $k = 9$, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **24** (1978) 141-145.
- [30] G.M. Seitz, “Flag-Transitive subgroups of Chevalley Groups” *Annals of Math.* **97** 1, (1973), 27-56.