New phenomena on examples of orthogonal matrix polynomials satisfying differential equations

Manuel D. de la Iglesia* Antonio J. Durán

Departamento de Análisis Matemático Universidad de Sevilla

2007 AMS Spring Western Section Meeting Tucson, AZ, April 22nd











- The theory of orthogonal matrix polynomials (OMP) was introduced in [Krein, 1949].
- Systematically studied in the last 15 years.
- In [Durán, 1997] it is raised the problem of characterizing OMP satisfying *second order differential equations*.
- Scalar case: [Bochner, 1929] \Rightarrow Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi.
- New (non-trivial) matrix examples: [Durán and Grünbaum, 2004] and [Grünbaum, Pacharoni and Tirao, 2003

- The theory of orthogonal matrix polynomials (OMP) was introduced in [Krein, 1949].
- Systematically studied in the last 15 years.
- In [Durán, 1997] it is raised the problem of characterizing OMP satisfying *second order differential equations*.
- Scalar case: [Bochner, 1929] \Rightarrow Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi.
- New (non-trivial) matrix examples: [Durán and Grünbaum, 2004] and [Grünbaum, Pacharoni and Tirao, 2003]

- The theory of orthogonal matrix polynomials (OMP) was introduced in [Krein, 1949].
- Systematically studied in the last 15 years.
- In [Durán, 1997] it is raised the problem of characterizing OMP satisfying *second order differential equations*.
- Scalar case: [Bochner, 1929] \Rightarrow Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi.
- New (non-trivial) matrix examples: [Durán and Grünbaum, 2004] and [Grünbaum, Pacharoni and Tirao, 2003]

- The theory of orthogonal matrix polynomials (OMP) was introduced in [Krein, 1949].
- Systematically studied in the last 15 years.
- In [Durán, 1997] it is raised the problem of characterizing OMP satisfying *second order differential equations*.
- Scalar case: [Bochner, 1929] \Rightarrow Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi.
- New (non-trivial) matrix examples: [Durán and Grünbaum, 2004] and [Grünbaum, Pacharoni and Tirao, 2003

- The theory of orthogonal matrix polynomials (OMP) was introduced in [Krein, 1949].
- Systematically studied in the last 15 years.
- In [Durán, 1997] it is raised the problem of characterizing OMP satisfying *second order differential equations*.
- Scalar case: [Bochner, 1929] \Rightarrow Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi.
- New (non-trivial) matrix examples: [Durán and Grünbaum, 2004] and [Grünbaum, Pacharoni and Tirao, 2003].

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

NEW PHENOMENA

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

NEW PHENOMENA

Several linearly independent second-order differential operators for a fixed family of OMP.

NEW PHENOMENA

Several linearly independent second-order differential operators for a fixed family of OMP.

OMP satisfying odd order differential operators (even first order).

- 日本 - 1 日本 - 1 日本 - 1 日本

NEW PHENOMENA

Several linearly independent second-order differential operators for a fixed family of OMP.

OMP satisfying odd order differential operators (even first order).

Richer behavior of the algebra of differential operators having a fixed family of OMP as eigenfunctions (usually non-commutative).

-

BACKGROUND I

• Given a self adjoint positive definite matrix weight function *W* we define a skew symmetric bilinear form (inner product):

$$(P,Q) = \int_a^b P(t) W(t) Q^*(t) dt$$

- This leads to a family of OMP $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ with deg $P_n = n$, non-singular leading coefficient and $(P_n, P_m) = \Theta, n \neq m$.
- We say that a weight matrix W₁ reduces to scalar weights if there exists a nonsingular constant matrix T with W₁ = TW₂T* and W₂ diagonal.
- Examples considered here satisfy

 $\ell_2(P_n) \equiv P_n''(t)A_2(t) + P_n'(t)A_1(t) + P_n(t)A_0 = \Gamma_n P_n(t)$

BACKGROUND I

• Given a self adjoint positive definite matrix weight function *W* we define a skew symmetric bilinear form (inner product):

$$(P,Q) = \int_a^b P(t) W(t) Q^*(t) dt$$

- This leads to a family of OMP $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ with deg $P_n = n$, non-singular leading coefficient and $(P_n, P_m) = \Theta, n \neq m$.
- We say that a weight matrix W₁ reduces to scalar weights if there exists a nonsingular constant matrix T with W₁ = TW₂T* and W₂ diagonal.
- Examples considered here satisfy

 $\ell_2(P_n) \equiv P_n''(t)A_2(t) + P_n'(t)A_1(t) + P_n(t)A_0 = \Gamma_n P_n(t)$

BACKGROUND I

• Given a self adjoint positive definite matrix weight function *W* we define a skew symmetric bilinear form (inner product):

$$(P,Q) = \int_a^b P(t) W(t) Q^*(t) dt$$

- This leads to a family of OMP $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ with deg $P_n = n$, non-singular leading coefficient and $(P_n, P_m) = \Theta, n \neq m$.
- We say that a weight matrix W₁ reduces to scalar weights if there exists a nonsingular constant matrix T with W₁ = TW₂T* and W₂ diagonal.
- Examples considered here satisfy

 $\ell_2(P_n) \equiv P_n''(t)A_2(t) + P_n'(t)A_1(t) + P_n(t)A_0 = \Gamma_n P_n(t)$

BACKGROUND I

• Given a self adjoint positive definite matrix weight function *W* we define a skew symmetric bilinear form (inner product):

$$(P,Q) = \int_a^b P(t) W(t) Q^*(t) dt$$

- This leads to a family of OMP $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ with deg $P_n = n$, non-singular leading coefficient and $(P_n, P_m) = \Theta, n \neq m$.
- We say that a weight matrix W₁ reduces to scalar weights if there exists a nonsingular constant matrix T with W₁ = TW₂T* and W₂ diagonal.
- Examples considered here satisfy

 $\ell_2(P_n) \equiv P_n''(t)A_2(t) + P_n'(t)A_1(t) + P_n(t)A_0 = \Gamma_n P_n(t)$

BACKGROUND I

• Given a self adjoint positive definite matrix weight function *W* we define a skew symmetric bilinear form (inner product):

$$(P,Q) = \int_a^b P(t) W(t) Q^*(t) dt$$

- This leads to a family of OMP $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ with deg $P_n = n$, non-singular leading coefficient and $(P_n, P_m) = \Theta, n \neq m$.
- We say that a weight matrix W₁ reduces to scalar weights if there exists a nonsingular constant matrix T with W₁ = TW₂T* and W₂ diagonal.
- Examples considered here satisfy

 $\ell_2(P_n) \equiv P_n''(t)A_2(t) + P_n'(t)A_1(t) + P_n(t)A_0 = \Gamma_n P_n(t)$

BACKGROUND II

Generating examples:

• [Durán and Grünbaum, 2004]:

Symmetry Equations

$$A_2 W = W A_2^*$$

 $2(A_2 W)' = A_1 W + W A_1^*,$
 $(A_2 W)'' - (A_1 W)' + A_0 W = W A_0^*$

Boundary Conditions

$$\lim_{t \to x} A_2(t) W(t) = 0 = \lim_{t \to x} (A_1(t) W(t) - W(t) A_1^*(t)), \text{ for } x = a, b.$$

 Matrix spherical functions associated with P_N(ℂ) = SU(N + 1)/U(N). [Grünbaum, Pacharoni and Tirao, 2003].

BACKGROUND II

Generating examples:

• [Durán and Grünbaum, 2004]:

Symmetry Equations

$$A_2 W = W A_2^*$$

 $2(A_2 W)' = A_1 W + W A_1^*,$
 $(A_2 W)'' - (A_1 W)' + A_0 W = W A_0^*$

BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

$$\lim_{t\to x} A_2(t)W(t) = 0 = \lim_{t\to x} (A_1(t)W(t) - W(t)A_1^*(t)), \text{ for } x = a, b.$$

• Matrix spherical functions associated with $P_N(\mathbb{C}) = SU(N+1)/U(N)$. [Grünbaum, Pacharoni and Tirao, 2003].

BACKGROUND II

Generating examples:

• [Durán and Grünbaum, 2004]:

Symmetry Equations

$$A_2 W = W A_2^*$$

 $2(A_2 W)' = A_1 W + W A_1^*,$
 $(A_2 W)'' - (A_1 W)' + A_0 W = W A_0^*$

BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

$$\lim_{t \to x} A_2(t) W(t) = 0 = \lim_{t \to x} (A_1(t) W(t) - W(t) A_1^*(t)), \text{ for } x = a, b.$$

• Matrix spherical functions associated with $P_N(\mathbb{C}) = SU(N+1)/U(N)$. [Grünbaum, Pacharoni and Tirao, 2003].

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The family of examples

The Matrix Weight

$$W_{\alpha,\nu_{1},\cdots,\nu_{N-1}}(t) = t^{\alpha} e^{-t} e^{At} t^{\frac{1}{2}J} t^{\frac{1}{2}J^{*}} e^{A^{*}t}, \ \alpha > -1, \ t > 0$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nu_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \nu_{N-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \nu_i \in \mathbb{C}, \ J = \begin{pmatrix} N-1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

FACTOR IZATION

$$W_{lpha,
u_1,\cdots,
u_{N-1}}(t) = t^{lpha}e^{-t}T(t)T^*(t)$$
, where
 $T'(t) = rac{1}{2}\left(A + rac{J}{t}
ight)T(t)$, $\operatorname{ad}_A J = [A, J] = -A.$

The family of examples

The Matrix Weight

$$W_{\alpha,\nu_{1},\cdots,\nu_{N-1}}(t) = t^{\alpha} e^{-t} e^{At} t^{\frac{1}{2}J} t^{\frac{1}{2}J^{*}} e^{A^{*}t}, \ \alpha > -1, \ t > 0$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nu_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \nu_{N-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \nu_i \in \mathbb{C}, \ J = \begin{pmatrix} N-1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

FACTORIZATION

$$W_{lpha,
u_1,\cdots,
u_{N-1}}(t) = t^{lpha}e^{-t}T(t)T^*(t)$$
, where $T'(t) = rac{1}{2}\left(A + rac{J}{t}
ight)T(t), \quad \mathrm{ad}_A J = [A, J] = -A.$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ 三 → ◆ 三 → のへぐ

SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

FIRST DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

$$\ell_{2,1} = D^2 t I + D^1 [(\alpha + 1)I + J + t(A - I)] + D^0 [(J + \alpha I)A - J]$$

SECOND DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

Assuming

$$i(N-i)|\nu_{N-1}|^{2} = (N-1)|\nu_{i}|^{2} + (N-i-1)|\nu_{i}|^{2}|\nu_{N-1}|^{2}, i = 1, \cdots, N-2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell_{2,2} = D^{2}A_{2} + D^{1}A_{1} + D^{0}A_{0}, \text{ where}$$

$$A_{2} = t(J - At),$$

$$A_{1} = (1 + \alpha + J)J + Y - t(J + (\alpha + 2)A + Y^{*} - \operatorname{ad}_{A}Y),$$

$$A_{0} = \frac{N-1}{|\nu_{N-1}|^{2}}[J - (\alpha + J)A],$$

where
$$(Y)_{i,i-1} = \frac{i(N-i)}{\nu_i}$$
, $i = 2, \dots, N$, and $(Y)_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise.

SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

FIRST DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

$$\ell_{2,1} = D^2 t I + D^1 [(\alpha + 1)I + J + t(A - I)] + D^0 [(J + \alpha I)A - J]$$

SECOND DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

Assuming

$$\begin{split} i(N-i)|\nu_{N-1}|^2 &= (N-1)|\nu_i|^2 + (N-i-1)|\nu_i|^2 |\nu_{N-1}|^2, \ i = 1, \cdots, N-2. \\ \Rightarrow \ell_{2,2} &= D^2 A_2 + D^1 A_1 + D^0 A_0, \text{ where} \\ A_2 &= t(J - At), \\ A_1 &= (1 + \alpha + J)J + Y - t(J + (\alpha + 2)A + Y^* - \mathrm{ad}_A Y), \\ A_0 &= \frac{N-1}{|\nu_{N-1}|^2} [J - (\alpha + J)A], \\ \end{split}$$
where $(Y)_{i,i-1} &= \frac{i(N-i)}{\nu_i}, \ i = 2, \cdots, N, \text{ and } (Y)_{i,j} = 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{split}$

The algebra of differential operators

The Weight Matrix for N = 2

$$W_{lpha, m{a}}(t) = t^lpha e^{-t} egin{pmatrix} t(1+|m{a}|^2t) & m{a}t \ ar{m{a}}t & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad lpha > -1, t > 0$$

The algebra of differential operators

Given a fixed family of OMP $(\mathcal{P}_n)_n$, we study the algebra over \mathbb{C}

$$\mathcal{D}(W_{\alpha,a}) = \left\{ \ell = \sum_{i=0}^{k} D^{i} A_{i}(t) : \ell(\mathcal{P}_{n}(t)) = \Gamma_{n}(\ell) \mathcal{P}_{n}(t), \ n \geq 0 \right\}$$

Why do we study this algebra?

There is a rich and deep connection between algebras of differential operators having a common set of eigenfunctions (not necessarily orthogonal polynomials) and certain algebraic-geometric object. See [Burchnall and Chaundy].

The algebra of differential operators

The Weight Matrix for N = 2

$$W_{lpha,m{a}}(t)=t^lpha {
m e}^{-t}egin{pmatrix}t(1+|m{a}|^2t) & m{a}t\ar{m{a}}t& 1\end{pmatrix}, \quad lpha>-1,t>0$$

The algebra of differential operators

Given a fixed family of OMP $(\mathcal{P}_n)_n$, we study the algebra over \mathbb{C}

$$\mathcal{D}(W_{\alpha,a}) = \left\{ \ell = \sum_{i=0}^{k} D^{i}A_{i}(t) : \ell(\mathcal{P}_{n}(t)) = \Gamma_{n}(\ell)\mathcal{P}_{n}(t), \ n \geq 0 \right\}$$

Why do we study this algebra?

There is a rich and deep connection between algebras of differential operators having a common set of eigenfunctions (not necessarily orthogonal polynomials) and certain algebraic-geometric object. See [Burchnall and Chaundy].

The algebra of differential operators

The Weight Matrix for N = 2

$$W_{lpha,m{a}}(t)=t^lpha {
m e}^{-t}egin{pmatrix}t(1+|m{a}|^2t) & m{a}t\ar{m{a}}t& 1\end{pmatrix}, \quad lpha>-1,t>0$$

The algebra of differential operators

Given a fixed family of OMP $(\mathcal{P}_n)_n$, we study the algebra over \mathbb{C}

$$\mathcal{D}(W_{\alpha,a}) = \left\{ \ell = \sum_{i=0}^{k} D^{i} A_{i}(t) : \ell(\mathcal{P}_{n}(t)) = \Gamma_{n}(\ell) \mathcal{P}_{n}(t), \ n \geq 0 \right\}$$

Why do we study this algebra?

There is a rich and deep connection between algebras of differential operators having a common set of eigenfunctions (not necessarily orthogonal polynomials) and certain algebraic-geometric object. See [Burchnall and Chaundy].

SCALAR CASE

If \mathcal{L} is the corresponding second order differential operator of the classical orthogonal polynomials (Hermite, Laguerre or Jacobi):

$$H_n(t)'' - 2tH_n(t)' = -2nH_n(t),$$

$$tL_n^{\alpha}(t)'' + (\alpha + 1 - t)L_n^{\alpha}(t)' = -nL_n^{\alpha}(t), \text{ and}$$

$$t(1 - t)P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)'' + (\alpha + 1 - (\alpha + \beta + 2)t)P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)' = -n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t),$$

then

$$\mathcal{U}=\sum_{i=0}^k c_i \mathcal{L}^i,$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and \mathcal{U} is an even order differential operator.

Then
$$\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}]$$

Introduction

The example

Conclusions

The algebra $\mathcal{D}(W_{\alpha,a})$

NEW LINEARLY INDEPENDENT DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

order	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
dimension	1	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

Basis for the second order differential operators

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = D^2 t I + D^1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + 2 - t & at \\ 0 & \alpha + 1 - t \end{pmatrix} + D^0 \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1 + |a|^2}{|a|^2} & (1 + \alpha)a \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{|a|^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{2} &= D^{2} \begin{pmatrix} t & -2at^{2} \\ 0 & -t \end{pmatrix} + D^{1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + 2 + t & -\frac{(2 + |a|^{2}(2\alpha + 5))t}{\bar{a}} \\ \frac{2}{a} & -t - \alpha - 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ D^{0} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 + |a|^{2}}{|a|^{2}} & -\frac{(1 + \alpha)(2 + |a|^{2})}{\bar{a}} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{|a|^{2}} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Introduction

The example

Conclusions

The algebra $\mathcal{D}(W_{\alpha,a})$

NEW LINEARLY INDEPENDENT DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

order	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
dimension	1	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

BASIS FOR THE SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = D^2 t I + D^1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + 2 - t & at \\ 0 & \alpha + 1 - t \end{pmatrix} + D^0 \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1 + |a|^2}{|a|^2} & (1 + \alpha)a \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{|a|^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{2} &= D^{2} \begin{pmatrix} t & -2at^{2} \\ 0 & -t \end{pmatrix} + D^{1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + 2 + t & -\frac{(2 + |a|^{2}(2\alpha + 5))t}{\bar{a}} \\ \frac{2}{a} & -t - \alpha - 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ D^{0} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 + |a|^{2}}{|a|^{2}} & -\frac{(1 + \alpha)(2 + |a|^{2})}{\bar{a}} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{|a|^{2}} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

THIRD ORDER DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

BASIS FOR THE NON-COMMUTATIVE THIRD ORDER DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_3 &= D^3 A_{3,1}(t) + D^2 A_{2,1}(t) + D^1 A_{1,1}(t) + A_{0,1} \ \\ \mathcal{L}_4 &= D^3 A_{3,2}(t) + D^2 A_{2,2}(t) + D^1 A_{1,2}(t) + A_{0,2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the leading coefficients are given by:

$$A_{3,1}(t) = t \left(At - \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{t^n}{n!} \mathrm{ad}_A^n A^* \right) = \begin{pmatrix} -|a|^2 t^2 & at^2(1+|a|^2 t) \\ -\bar{a}t & |a|^2 t^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$A_{3,2}(t) = t \left(At + \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{t^n}{n!} \mathrm{ad}_A^n A^* \right) = \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 t^2 & at^2(-1+|a|^2 t) \\ \bar{a}t & -|a|^2 t^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The corresponding eigenvalues

EIGENVALUES

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_1 &= -\frac{1}{|a|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{n+1,a} & 0\\ 0 & \gamma_{n,a} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{L}_2 &= \frac{1}{|a|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{n+1,a} & 0\\ 0 & -\gamma_{n,a} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{L}_3 &= \frac{1}{|a|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a(1+\alpha+n)\gamma_{n,a}\gamma_{n+1,a}\\ \overline{a} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{L}_4 &= \frac{1}{|a|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a(1+\alpha+n)\gamma_{n,a}\gamma_{n+1,a}\\ \overline{a} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

where $\gamma_{n,a} = 1 + n|a|^2$.

FOUR QUADRATIC RELATIONS

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_1^2 &= \mathcal{L}_2^2, \qquad \mathcal{L}_3^2 = -\mathcal{L}_4^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_2 &= \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_4 = -\mathcal{L}_4 \mathcal{L}_3. \end{split}$$

Four permutational relations

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_3 - \mathcal{L}_2\mathcal{L}_4 &= 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_2\mathcal{L}_3 - \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_4 &= 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_3\mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_4\mathcal{L}_1 &= 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_3\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_4\mathcal{L}_2 &= 0. \end{split}$$

Four more quadratic relations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_3 &= \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_4 - \mathcal{L}_4 \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \mathcal{L}_4 &= \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_3 - \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_1 \\ \mathcal{L}_3 &= \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_2, \quad \mathcal{L}_4 &= \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_4 + \mathcal{L}_4 \mathcal{L}_2. \end{aligned}$$

CUBIC RELATIONS

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_3^2 = \mathcal{L}_3^2 \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_3^2 = \mathcal{L}_3^2 \mathcal{L}_2.$$

-

FOUR QUADRATIC RELATIONS

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_1^2 &= \mathcal{L}_2^2, \qquad \mathcal{L}_3^2 = -\mathcal{L}_4^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_2 &= \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_4 = -\mathcal{L}_4 \mathcal{L}_3. \end{split}$$

FOUR PERMUTATIONAL RELATIONS

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_3 - \mathcal{L}_2\mathcal{L}_4 &= 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_2\mathcal{L}_3 - \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_4 = 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_3\mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_4\mathcal{L}_1 &= 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_3\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_4\mathcal{L}_2 = 0. \end{split}$$

FOUR MORE QUADRATIC RELATIONS

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_3 &= \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_4 - \mathcal{L}_4 \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \mathcal{L}_4 &= \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_3 - \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_1 \\ \mathcal{L}_3 &= \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_2, \quad \mathcal{L}_4 &= \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_4 + \mathcal{L}_4 \mathcal{L}_2. \end{aligned}$$

CUBIC RELATIONS

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_3^2 = \mathcal{L}_3^2 \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_3^2 = \mathcal{L}_3^2 \mathcal{L}_2.$$

FOUR QUADRATIC RELATIONS

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_1^2 &= \mathcal{L}_2^2, \qquad \mathcal{L}_3^2 = -\mathcal{L}_4^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_2 &= \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_4 = -\mathcal{L}_4 \mathcal{L}_3. \end{split}$$

FOUR PERMUTATIONAL RELATIONS

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_3 - \mathcal{L}_2\mathcal{L}_4 &= 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_2\mathcal{L}_3 - \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_4 &= 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_3\mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_4\mathcal{L}_1 &= 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_3\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_4\mathcal{L}_2 &= 0. \end{split}$$

FOUR MORE QUADRATIC RELATIONS

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_3 &= \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_4 - \mathcal{L}_4 \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \mathcal{L}_4 &= \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_3 - \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_1 \\ \mathcal{L}_3 &= \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_2, \quad \mathcal{L}_4 &= \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_4 + \mathcal{L}_4 \mathcal{L}_2. \end{aligned}$$

CUBIC RELATIONS

$$\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_3^2 = \mathcal{L}_3^2\mathcal{L}_1, \quad \mathcal{L}_2\mathcal{L}_3^2 = \mathcal{L}_3^2\mathcal{L}_2.$$

FOUR QUADRATIC RELATIONS

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_1^2 &= \mathcal{L}_2^2, \qquad \mathcal{L}_3^2 = -\mathcal{L}_4^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_2 &= \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_4 = -\mathcal{L}_4 \mathcal{L}_3. \end{split}$$

FOUR PERMUTATIONAL RELATIONS

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_3 - \mathcal{L}_2\mathcal{L}_4 &= 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_2\mathcal{L}_3 - \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_4 = 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_3\mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_4\mathcal{L}_1 &= 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_3\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_4\mathcal{L}_2 = 0. \end{split}$$

FOUR MORE QUADRATIC RELATIONS

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_3 &= \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_4 - \mathcal{L}_4 \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \mathcal{L}_4 &= \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_3 - \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_1 \\ \mathcal{L}_3 &= \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_2, \quad \mathcal{L}_4 &= \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{L}_4 + \mathcal{L}_4 \mathcal{L}_2. \end{aligned}$$

CUBIC RELATIONS

$$\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_3^2=\mathcal{L}_3^2\mathcal{L}_1,\quad \mathcal{L}_2\mathcal{L}_3^2=\mathcal{L}_3^2\mathcal{L}_2.$$

A SAMPLE OF RELATIONS II

Small basis

Considering $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3, \mathcal{L}_4 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{L}_1 \prec \mathcal{L}_2 \prec \mathcal{L}_3 \prec \mathcal{L}_4$ where \prec is the graded lex order and the two-side ideal generated by the 14 previous relations, we have that the following 9 relations form a small basis (minimal set of relations that generate the whole ideal):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_{2}^{2}-\mathcal{L}_{1}^{2}, & \mathcal{L}_{4}^{2}+\mathcal{L}_{3}^{2}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{2}\mathcal{L}_{1}-\mathcal{L}_{1}\mathcal{L}_{2}, & \mathcal{L}_{4}\mathcal{L}_{3}+\mathcal{L}_{3}\mathcal{L}_{4}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{2}\mathcal{L}_{4}-\mathcal{L}_{1}\mathcal{L}_{3}, & \mathcal{L}_{2}\mathcal{L}_{3}-\mathcal{L}_{1}\mathcal{L}_{4}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{4}\mathcal{L}_{1}-\mathcal{L}_{1}\mathcal{L}_{4}+\mathcal{L}_{3}, & \mathcal{L}_{3}\mathcal{L}_{1}-\mathcal{L}_{1}\mathcal{L}_{3}+\mathcal{L}_{4}, \checkmark \\ \mathcal{L}_{3}\mathcal{L}_{2}+\mathcal{L}_{1}\mathcal{L}_{4}-\mathcal{L}_{3} \end{array}$$

A SAMPLE OF RELATIONS III

\mathcal{L}_2 in terms of \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_3

$$\begin{split} \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (2+\alpha) - 1 \right] \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (\alpha - 1) - 1 \right] \mathcal{L}_2 &= 2|\mathbf{a}|^2 \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (2\alpha + 1) - 2 \right] \mathcal{L}_1 \\ &+ \left[|\mathbf{a}|^4 (\alpha^2 + \alpha - 5) - |\mathbf{a}|^2 (2\alpha + 1) + 1 \right] \mathcal{L}_1^2 \\ &- 2|\mathbf{a}|^2 \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (2\alpha + 1) - 2 \right] \mathcal{L}_1^3 + 3|\mathbf{a}|^4 \mathcal{L}_1^4 \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (2\alpha + 1) - 2 \right] \mathcal{L}_3^2 + \frac{15}{2} |\mathbf{a}|^2 \mathcal{L}_3^2 \mathcal{L}_1 - \frac{9}{2} |\mathbf{a}|^2 \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_3 \end{split}$$

Conjecture

 $\mathcal{D}(W_{\alpha,a}) = \mathbb{C}\langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_3 \rangle$

Note

For the exceptional values of $\alpha = 1 + \frac{1}{|a|^2}$ or $\alpha = -2 + \frac{1}{|a|^2}$ ("cusps") \Rightarrow CONJECTURE: $\mathcal{D}(W_{\alpha,a}) = \mathbb{C}\langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3 \rangle$

A SAMPLE OF RELATIONS III

\mathcal{L}_2 in terms of \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_3

$$\begin{split} \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (2+\alpha) - 1 \right] \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (\alpha-1) - 1 \right] \mathcal{L}_2 &= 2|\mathbf{a}|^2 \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (2\alpha+1) - 2 \right] \mathcal{L}_1 \\ &+ \left[|\mathbf{a}|^4 (\alpha^2 + \alpha - 5) - |\mathbf{a}|^2 (2\alpha+1) + 1 \right] \mathcal{L}_1^2 \\ &- 2|\mathbf{a}|^2 \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (2\alpha+1) - 2 \right] \mathcal{L}_1^3 + 3|\mathbf{a}|^4 \mathcal{L}_1^4 \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (2\alpha+1) - 2 \right] \mathcal{L}_3^2 + \frac{15}{2} |\mathbf{a}|^2 \mathcal{L}_3^2 \mathcal{L}_1 - \frac{9}{2} |\mathbf{a}|^2 \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_3 \end{split}$$

Conjecture

$$\mathcal{D}(W_{\alpha,a}) = \mathbb{C}\langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_3 \rangle$$

Note

For the exceptional values of $\alpha = 1 + \frac{1}{|a|^2}$ or $\alpha = -2 + \frac{1}{|a|^2}$ ("cusps") \Rightarrow CONJECTURE: $\mathcal{D}(W_{\alpha,a}) = \mathbb{C}\langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3 \rangle$

\mathcal{L}_2 in terms of \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_3

$$\begin{split} \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (2+\alpha) - 1 \right] \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (\alpha-1) - 1 \right] \mathcal{L}_2 &= 2|\mathbf{a}|^2 \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (2\alpha+1) - 2 \right] \mathcal{L}_1 \\ &+ \left[|\mathbf{a}|^4 (\alpha^2 + \alpha - 5) - |\mathbf{a}|^2 (2\alpha+1) + 1 \right] \mathcal{L}_1^2 \\ &- 2|\mathbf{a}|^2 \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (2\alpha+1) - 2 \right] \mathcal{L}_1^3 + 3|\mathbf{a}|^4 \mathcal{L}_1^4 \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left[|\mathbf{a}|^2 (2\alpha+1) - 2 \right] \mathcal{L}_3^2 + \frac{15}{2} |\mathbf{a}|^2 \mathcal{L}_3^2 \mathcal{L}_1 - \frac{9}{2} |\mathbf{a}|^2 \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_3 \end{split}$$

Conjecture

$$\mathcal{D}(W_{\alpha,a}) = \mathbb{C}\langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_3 \rangle$$

Note

For the exceptional values of $\alpha = 1 + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{a}|^2}$ or $\alpha = -2 + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{a}|^2}$ ("cusps") \Rightarrow CONJECTURE: $\mathcal{D}(W_{\alpha,\mathbf{a}}) = \mathbb{C}\langle \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3 \rangle$

- It is remarkable that weight matrices corresponding to N = 3 and 4 yield to fifth and seventh order (respectively) symmetric differential operators, but no third order.
- This is the first example of weight matrix which does not reduce to scalar weights having symmetric odd order differential operators.
- We hope to find theoretical proofs of these new phenomena and illustrate new ones in future publications.
- The matrix case is much richer than the scalar one.

- It is remarkable that weight matrices corresponding to N = 3 and 4 yield to fifth and seventh order (respectively) symmetric differential operators, but no third order.
- This is the first example of weight matrix which does not reduce to scalar weights having symmetric odd order differential operators.
- We hope to find theoretical proofs of these new phenomena and illustrate new ones in future publications.
- The matrix case is much richer than the scalar one.

- It is remarkable that weight matrices corresponding to N = 3 and 4 yield to fifth and seventh order (respectively) symmetric differential operators, but no third order.
- This is the first example of weight matrix which does not reduce to scalar weights having symmetric odd order differential operators.
- We hope to find theoretical proofs of these new phenomena and illustrate new ones in future publications.
- The matrix case is much richer than the scalar one.

- It is remarkable that weight matrices corresponding to N = 3 and 4 yield to fifth and seventh order (respectively) symmetric differential operators, but no third order.
- This is the first example of weight matrix which does not reduce to scalar weights having symmetric odd order differential operators.
- We hope to find theoretical proofs of these new phenomena and illustrate new ones in future publications.
- The matrix case is much richer than the scalar one.

Possible Applications

QUANTUM MECHANICS

[Durán and Grünbaum, 2006] *P A M Dirac meets M G Krein: matrix orthogonal polynomials and Dirac's equation*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. (2006).

RANDOM WALKS

[Grünbaum, 2007] *Random walks and orthogonal polynomials: some challenges*, arXiv: math.PR/0703375v1, (2007).

TIME-AND-BAND LIMITING

[Durán and Grünbaum, 2005] *A survey on orthogonal matrix polynomials satisfying second order differential equations*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. (2005).

Possible Applications

QUANTUM MECHANICS

[Durán and Grünbaum, 2006] *P A M Dirac meets M G Krein: matrix orthogonal polynomials and Dirac's equation*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. (2006).

RANDOM WALKS

[Grünbaum, 2007] *Random walks and orthogonal polynomials: some challenges*, arXiv: math.PR/0703375v1, (2007).

TIME-AND-BAND LIMITING

[Durán and Grünbaum, 2005] *A survey on orthogonal matrix polynomials satisfying second order differential equations*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. (2005).

Possible Applications

QUANTUM MECHANICS

[Durán and Grünbaum, 2006] *P A M Dirac meets M G Krein: matrix orthogonal polynomials and Dirac's equation*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. (2006).

RANDOM WALKS

[Grünbaum, 2007] *Random walks and orthogonal polynomials: some challenges*, arXiv: math.PR/0703375v1, (2007).

TIME-AND-BAND LIMITING

[Durán and Grünbaum, 2005] A survey on orthogonal matrix polynomials satisfying second order differential equations, J. Comput. Appl. Math. (2005).

References

Bochner,

Über Sturm-Liouvillesche Polynomsysteme, Math Z., **29** (1929), 730-736.

Durán,

Matrix inner product having a matrix simmetric second order differential operator, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **27** (1997), 585-600.

Durán and Grünbaum,

Orthogonal matrix polynomials satisfying second order differential equations, Internat. Math. Research Notices, 2004: **10** (2004), 461-484.

📔 Grünbaum, Pacharoni and Tirao,

Matrix valued orthogonal polynomials of the Jacobi type, Indag. Mathem. **14** nrs. 3,4 (2003), 353 – 366.

📔 Krein,

Infinite J-matrices and a matrix moment problem, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR, **69**, (1949), no.2, 125–128.