

SOME RECENT DEVELOPMENTS ABOUT BIRTH-AND-DEATH MODELS AND ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS¹

Manuel Domínguez de la Iglesia

Instituto de Matemáticas, UNAM, México

VI Iberoamerican Workshop on
Orthogonal Polynomials and Applications
Uberaba, May 9-12, 2017

¹Joint work with Pablo Román

OUTLINE

- 1 MARKOV CHAINS AND OP
 - Markov chains
 - Bivariate Markov chains

- 2 THE NEW EXAMPLE
 - Matrix-valued spherical functions
 - Two birth-and-death models

OUTLINE

1 MARKOV CHAINS AND OP

- Markov chains
- Bivariate Markov chains

2 THE NEW EXAMPLE

- Matrix-valued spherical functions
- Two birth-and-death models

ONE DIMENSIONAL MARKOV CHAINS

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. A (1-D) Markov chain with **state space** $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a collection of S -valued random variables $\{X_t : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ indexed by a **parameter set** \mathcal{T} (time) such that they have the **Markov property**, i.e. the behavior of the future only depends on the present and not the past.

The main goal is to find a description of the **transition probabilities**

$$P_{ij}(t) \equiv \mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i), \quad i, j \in S \subset \mathbb{N}$$

- ① **Discrete time**: the process is characterized in terms of the **one-step transition probability matrix** P , which entries are given by

$$P_{ij} = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = j | X_0 = i) \quad \Rightarrow \quad P_{ij}(n) = (P^n)_{ij}$$

- ② **Continuous time**: in this case the process is characterized (among other properties) by the behavior near $t \rightarrow 0^+$, i.e.

$$P_{ij}(t) = ta_{ij} + o(t), \quad t \rightarrow 0^+, \quad a_{ij} \geq 0,$$

$$P_{ii}(t) = 1 - ta_i + o(t), \quad t \rightarrow 0^+, \quad a_i = \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}$$

If we denote by $\mathcal{A} = (a_{ij})$, then we have $P'(t) = \mathcal{A}P(t) = P(t)\mathcal{A}$.

ONE DIMENSIONAL MARKOV CHAINS

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. A (1-D) Markov chain with **state space** $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a collection of \mathcal{S} -valued random variables $\{X_t : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ indexed by a **parameter set** \mathcal{T} (time) such that they have the **Markov property**, i.e. the behavior of the future only depends on the present and not the past. The main goal is to find a description of the **transition probabilities**

$$P_{ij}(t) \equiv \mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i), \quad i, j \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}$$

- ① **Discrete time:** the process is characterized in terms of the **one-step transition probability matrix** P , which entries are given by

$$P_{ij} = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = j | X_0 = i) \quad \Rightarrow \quad P_{ij}(n) = (P^n)_{ij}$$

- ② **Continuous time:** in this case the process is characterized (among other properties) by the behavior near $t \rightarrow 0^+$, i.e.

$$P_{ij}(t) = ta_{ij} + o(t), \quad t \rightarrow 0^+, \quad a_{ij} \geq 0,$$

$$P_{ii}(t) = 1 - ta_i + o(t), \quad t \rightarrow 0^+, \quad a_i = \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}$$

If we denote by $\mathcal{A} = (a_{ij})$, then we have $P'(t) = \mathcal{A}P(t) = P(t)\mathcal{A}$.

ONE DIMENSIONAL MARKOV CHAINS

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. A (1-D) Markov chain with **state space** $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a collection of \mathcal{S} -valued random variables $\{X_t : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ indexed by a **parameter set** \mathcal{T} (time) such that they have the **Markov property**, i.e. the behavior of the future only depends on the present and not the past. The main goal is to find a description of the **transition probabilities**

$$P_{ij}(t) \equiv \mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i), \quad i, j \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}$$

- 1 **Discrete time**: the process is characterized in terms of the **one-step transition probability matrix** P , which entries are given by

$$P_{ij} = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = j | X_0 = i) \quad \Rightarrow \quad P_{ij}(n) = (P^n)_{ij}$$

- 2 **Continuous time**: in this case the process is characterized (among other properties) by the behavior near $t \rightarrow 0^+$, i.e.

$$P_{ij}(t) = ta_{ij} + o(t), \quad t \rightarrow 0^+, \quad a_{ij} \geq 0,$$

$$P_{ii}(t) = 1 - ta_i + o(t), \quad t \rightarrow 0^+, \quad a_i = \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}$$

If we denote by $\mathcal{A} = (a_{ij})$, then we have $P'(t) = \mathcal{A}P(t) = P(t)\mathcal{A}$.

ONE DIMENSIONAL MARKOV CHAINS

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. A (1-D) Markov chain with **state space** $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a collection of \mathcal{S} -valued random variables $\{X_t : t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ indexed by a **parameter set** \mathcal{T} (time) such that they have the **Markov property**, i.e. the behavior of the future only depends on the present and not the past. The main goal is to find a description of the **transition probabilities**

$$P_{ij}(t) \equiv \mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i), \quad i, j \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}$$

- 1 **Discrete time**: the process is characterized in terms of the **one-step transition probability matrix** P , which entries are given by

$$P_{ij} = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = j | X_0 = i) \quad \Rightarrow \quad P_{ij}(n) = (P^n)_{ij}$$

- 2 **Continuous time**: in this case the process is characterized (among other properties) by the behavior near $t \rightarrow 0^+$, i.e.

$$P_{ij}(t) = ta_{ij} + o(t), \quad t \rightarrow 0^+, \quad a_{ij} \geq 0,$$

$$P_{ii}(t) = 1 - ta_i + o(t), \quad t \rightarrow 0^+, \quad a_i = \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}$$

If we denote by $\mathcal{A} = (a_{ij})$, then we have $P'(t) = \mathcal{A}P(t) = P(t)\mathcal{A}$.

EXAMPLES RELATED TO OP

1. **Random walks:** $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathcal{T} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.
Transitions are only allowed between adjacent states, i.e.

$$P_{ij} = \begin{cases} p_i, & \text{if } j = i + 1 \\ r_i, & \text{if } j = i \\ q_i, & \text{if } j = i - 1 \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, P is a semi-infinite **tridiagonal** matrix (Jacobi matrix)

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} r_0 & p_0 & 0 & & \\ q_1 & r_1 & p_1 & 0 & \\ 0 & q_2 & r_2 & p_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad r_i \geq 0, p_i, q_i > 0, \quad p_i + r_i + q_i = 1$$

The **n -step transition probability matrix** is then given by $P^{(n)} = P^n$.
Some examples related to OP are the gambler's ruin (Jacobi) and urn models like the Ehrenfest model (Krawtchouk) or the Laplace-Bernoulli model (Hahn).

EXAMPLES RELATED TO OP

1. **Random walks:** $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathcal{T} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Transitions are only allowed between adjacent states, i.e.

$$P_{ij} = \begin{cases} p_i, & \text{if } j = i + 1 \\ r_i, & \text{if } j = i \\ q_i, & \text{if } j = i - 1 \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, P is a semi-infinite **tridiagonal** matrix (Jacobi matrix)

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} r_0 & p_0 & 0 & & \\ q_1 & r_1 & p_1 & 0 & \\ 0 & q_2 & r_2 & p_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad r_i \geq 0, p_i, q_i > 0, \quad p_i + r_i + q_i = 1$$

The n -step **transition probability matrix** is then given by $P^{(n)} = P^n$.

Some examples related to OP are the gambler's ruin (Jacobi) and urn models like the Ehrenfest model (Krawtchouk) or the Laplace-Bernoulli model (Hahn).

EXAMPLES RELATED TO OP

1. **Random walks**: $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathcal{T} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Transitions are only allowed between adjacent states, i.e.

$$P_{ij} = \begin{cases} p_i, & \text{if } j = i + 1 \\ r_i, & \text{if } j = i \\ q_i, & \text{if } j = i - 1 \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, P is a semi-infinite **tridiagonal** matrix (Jacobi matrix)

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} r_0 & p_0 & 0 & & \\ q_1 & r_1 & p_1 & 0 & \\ 0 & q_2 & r_2 & p_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad r_i \geq 0, p_i, q_i > 0, \quad p_i + r_i + q_i = 1$$

The **n -step transition probability matrix** is then given by $P^{(n)} = P^n$.

Some examples related to OP are the gambler's ruin (Jacobi) and urn models like the Ehrenfest model (Krawtchouk) or the Laplace-Bernoulli model (Hahn).

EXAMPLES RELATED TO OP

2. **Birth and death processes**: $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathcal{T} = [0, \infty)$.

The infinitesimal transitions are only allowed between adjacent states, i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} P_{ij}(t) = \begin{cases} t\lambda_i + o(t), & \text{if } j = i + 1 \\ 1 - (\lambda_i + \mu_i)t + o(t), & \text{if } j = i \\ t\mu_i + o(t), & \text{if } j = i - 1 \\ o(t), & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have a semi-infinite **tridiagonal** matrix \mathcal{A} (also a Jacobi matrix)

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_0 & \lambda_0 & 0 & & \\ \mu_1 & -(\mu_1 + \lambda_1) & \lambda_1 & 0 & \\ 0 & \mu_2 & -(\mu_2 + \lambda_2) & \lambda_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_i, \mu_i > 0$$

The transition probability matrix $P(t)$ satisfies the backward and forward **Kolmogorov equations** $P'(t) = \mathcal{A}P(t) = P(t)\mathcal{A}$, $P(0) = I$.

Some examples of birth-and-death processes related to OP are the $M/M/k$ queue (Chebychev) or linear birth-and-death processes (Charlier, Meixner, Krawtchouk, Laguerre).

EXAMPLES RELATED TO OP

2. **Birth and death processes**: $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathcal{T} = [0, \infty)$.

The infinitesimal transitions are only allowed between adjacent states, i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} P_{ij}(t) = \begin{cases} t\lambda_i + o(t), & \text{if } j = i + 1 \\ 1 - (\lambda_i + \mu_i)t + o(t), & \text{if } j = i \\ t\mu_i + o(t), & \text{if } j = i - 1 \\ o(t), & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have a semi-infinite **tridiagonal** matrix \mathcal{A} (also a Jacobi matrix)

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_0 & \lambda_0 & 0 & & & \\ \mu_1 & -(\mu_1 + \lambda_1) & \lambda_1 & 0 & & \\ 0 & \mu_2 & -(\mu_2 + \lambda_2) & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_i, \mu_i > 0$$

The transition probability matrix $P(t)$ satisfies the backward and forward **Kolmogorov equations** $P'(t) = \mathcal{A}P(t) = P(t)\mathcal{A}$, $P(0) = I$.

Some examples of birth-and-death processes related to OP are the $M/M/k$ queue (Chebychev) or linear birth-and-death processes (Charlier, Meixner, Krawtchouk, Laguerre).

EXAMPLES RELATED TO OP

2. **Birth and death processes**: $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathcal{T} = [0, \infty)$.

The infinitesimal transitions are only allowed between adjacent states, i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} P_{ij}(t) = \begin{cases} t\lambda_i + o(t), & \text{if } j = i + 1 \\ 1 - (\lambda_i + \mu_i)t + o(t), & \text{if } j = i \\ t\mu_i + o(t), & \text{if } j = i - 1 \\ o(t), & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have a semi-infinite **tridiagonal** matrix \mathcal{A} (also a Jacobi matrix)

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_0 & \lambda_0 & 0 & & \\ \mu_1 & -(\mu_1 + \lambda_1) & \lambda_1 & 0 & \\ 0 & \mu_2 & -(\mu_2 + \lambda_2) & \lambda_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_i, \mu_i > 0$$

The transition probability matrix $P(t)$ satisfies the backward and forward **Kolmogorov equations** $P'(t) = \mathcal{A}P(t) = P(t)\mathcal{A}$, $P(0) = I$.

Some examples of birth-and-death processes related to OP are the $M/M/k$ queue (Chebychev) or linear birth-and-death processes (Charlier, Meixner, Krawtchouk, Laguerre).

SPECTRAL METHODS

Spectral or Favard's Theorem: there exist a unique measure associated with P or \mathcal{A} . Therefore it is possible to find **spectral representations** of the transition probabilities (Karlin-McGregor formulas):

1. **Random walks:** the measure ω is supported on $[-1, 1]$. If we denote by (q_i) the sequence of OP generated by P then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = j | X_0 = i) = P_{ij}^n = \frac{1}{\|q_i\|^2} \int_{-1}^1 x^n q_i(x) q_j(x) d\omega(x)$$

2. **Birth-and-death processes:** now the measure ω is supported on $[0, \infty)$. If we denote by (q_i) the sequence of OP generated by \mathcal{A} then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i) = P_{ij}(t) = \frac{1}{\|q_i\|^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} q_i(x) q_j(x) d\omega(x)$$

**The spectral measure can either be discrete (finite or infinite) or continuous.

SPECTRAL METHODS

Spectral or Favard's Theorem: there exist a unique measure associated with P or \mathcal{A} . Therefore it is possible to find **spectral representations** of the transition probabilities (Karlin-McGregor formulas):

1. **Random walks:** the measure ω is supported on $[-1, 1]$. If we denote by (q_i) the sequence of OP generated by P then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = j | X_0 = i) = P_{ij}^n = \frac{1}{\|q_i\|^2} \int_{-1}^1 x^n q_i(x) q_j(x) d\omega(x)$$

2. **Birth-and-death processes:** now the measure ω is supported on $[0, \infty)$. If we denote by (q_i) the sequence of OP generated by \mathcal{A} then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i) = P_{ij}(t) = \frac{1}{\|q_i\|^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} q_i(x) q_j(x) d\omega(x)$$

**The spectral measure can either be discrete (finite or infinite) or continuous.

SPECTRAL METHODS

Spectral or Favard's Theorem: there exist a unique measure associated with P or \mathcal{A} . Therefore it is possible to find **spectral representations** of the transition probabilities (Karlin-McGregor formulas):

1. **Random walks:** the measure ω is supported on $[-1, 1]$. If we denote by (q_i) the sequence of OP generated by P then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = j | X_0 = i) = P_{ij}^n = \frac{1}{\|q_i\|^2} \int_{-1}^1 x^n q_i(x) q_j(x) d\omega(x)$$

2. **Birth-and-death processes:** now the measure ω is supported on $[0, \infty)$. If we denote by (q_i) the sequence of OP generated by \mathcal{A} then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i) = P_{ij}(t) = \frac{1}{\|q_i\|^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} q_i(x) q_j(x) d\omega(x)$$

**The spectral measure can either be discrete (finite or infinite) or continuous.

BIVARIATE MARKOV CHAINS

Now consider a bivariate or 2-component Markov chain of the form

$$\{(X_t, Y_t) : t \in \mathcal{T}\}, \quad X_t \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}, \quad Y_t \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$$

The first component is the **level** and the second component is the **phase**.

Now the **transition probabilities** can be written in terms of **block** matrix (each block of dimension $N \times N$)

$$(P_{ij}(t))_{i',j'} = \mathbb{P}(X_t = j, Y_t = j' | X_0 = i, Y_0 = i')$$

- ① **Discrete time:** as in one dimensional case the process is characterized by its one-step transition probability **block** matrix P .
- ② **Continuous time:** as in one dimensional case the process is characterized by the Kolmogorov equations $P'(t) = \mathcal{A}P(t) = P(t)\mathcal{A}$ but now \mathcal{A} is a **block** matrix with same properties as before.

Ideas behind: *random evolutions*

(Griego-Hersh-Papanicolaou-Pinsky-Kurtz...60's and 70's).

BIVARIATE MARKOV CHAINS

Now consider a bivariate or 2-component Markov chain of the form

$$\{(X_t, Y_t) : t \in \mathcal{T}\}, \quad X_t \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}, \quad Y_t \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$$

The first component is the **level** and the second component is the **phase**.

Now the **transition probabilities** can be written in terms of **block** matrix (each block of dimension $N \times N$)

$$(P_{ij}(t))_{i',j'} = \mathbb{P}(X_t = j, Y_t = j' | X_0 = i, Y_0 = i')$$

- ① **Discrete time:** as in one dimensional case the process is characterized by its one-step transition probability **block** matrix P .
- ② **Continuous time:** as in one dimensional case the process is characterized by the Kolmogorov equations $P'(t) = \mathcal{A}P(t) = P(t)\mathcal{A}$ but now \mathcal{A} is a **block** matrix with same properties as before.

Ideas behind: *random evolutions*

(Griego-Hersh-Papanicolaou-Pinsky-Kurtz...60's and 70's).

BIVARIATE MARKOV CHAINS

Now consider a bivariate or 2-component Markov chain of the form

$$\{(X_t, Y_t) : t \in \mathcal{T}\}, \quad X_t \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}, \quad Y_t \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$$

The first component is the **level** and the second component is the **phase**.

Now the **transition probabilities** can be written in terms of **block** matrix (each block of dimension $N \times N$)

$$(P_{ij}(t))_{i',j'} = \mathbb{P}(X_t = j, Y_t = j' | X_0 = i, Y_0 = i')$$

- 1 **Discrete time**: as in one dimensional case the process is characterized by its one-step transition probability **block** matrix P .
- 2 **Continuous time**: as in one dimensional case the process is characterized by the Kolmogorov equations $P'(t) = \mathcal{A}P(t) = P(t)\mathcal{A}$ but now \mathcal{A} is a **block** matrix with same properties as before.

Ideas behind: *random evolutions*

(Griego-Hersh-Papanicolaou-Pinsky-Kurtz...60's and 70's).

BIVARIATE MARKOV CHAINS

Now consider a bivariate or 2-component Markov chain of the form

$$\{(X_t, Y_t) : t \in \mathcal{T}\}, \quad X_t \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}, \quad Y_t \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$$

The first component is the **level** and the second component is the **phase**.

Now the **transition probabilities** can be written in terms of **block** matrix (each block of dimension $N \times N$)

$$(P_{ij}(t))_{i',j'} = \mathbb{P}(X_t = j, Y_t = j' | X_0 = i, Y_0 = i')$$

- 1 **Discrete time**: as in one dimensional case the process is characterized by its one-step transition probability **block** matrix P .
- 2 **Continuous time**: as in one dimensional case the process is characterized by the Kolmogorov equations $P'(t) = \mathcal{A}P(t) = P(t)\mathcal{A}$ but now \mathcal{A} is a **block** matrix with same properties as before.

Ideas behind: *random evolutions*

(Griego-Hersh-Papanicolaou-Pinsky-Kurtz...60's and 70's).

BIVARIATE MARKOV CHAINS

Now consider a bivariate or 2-component Markov chain of the form

$$\{(X_t, Y_t) : t \in \mathcal{T}\}, \quad X_t \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}, \quad Y_t \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$$

The first component is the **level** and the second component is the **phase**.

Now the **transition probabilities** can be written in terms of **block** matrix (each block of dimension $N \times N$)

$$(\mathbf{P}_{ij}(t))_{i',j'} = \mathbb{P}(X_t = j, Y_t = j' | X_0 = i, Y_0 = i')$$

- 1 **Discrete time**: as in one dimensional case the process is characterized by its one-step transition probability **block** matrix \mathbf{P} .
- 2 **Continuous time**: as in one dimensional case the process is characterized by the Kolmogorov equations $\mathbf{P}'(t) = \mathcal{A}\mathbf{P}(t) = \mathbf{P}(t)\mathcal{A}$ but now \mathcal{A} is a **block** matrix with same properties as before.

Ideas behind: *random evolutions*

(Griego-Hersh-Papanicolaou-Pinsky-Kurtz...60's and 70's).

PROCESSES RELATED TO MATRIX-VALUED OP

Quasi-birth-and-death processes:

P and \mathcal{A} are now **block-tridiagonal** matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_0 & A_0 & & & \\ C_1 & B_1 & A_1 & & \\ & C_2 & B_2 & A_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Depending if time is discrete or continuous we will have special stochastic properties for the block entries of P or \mathcal{A} :

- Discrete time: all entries of B_n, A_n, C_{n+1} are nonnegative and $(B_0 + A_0)e_N = e_N$, $(C_n + B_n + A_n)e_N = e_N$, $n \geq 1$, where $e_N = (1, \dots, 1)^T$.
- Continuous time: all off-diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are nonnegative and $(B_0 + A_0)e_N = 0_N$, $(C_n + B_n + A_n)e_N = 0_N$, $n \geq 1$.

The **main tool** to study spectral methods will be the theory of matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials.

PROCESSES RELATED TO MATRIX-VALUED OP

Quasi-birth-and-death processes:

\mathbf{P} and \mathcal{A} are now **block-tridiagonal** matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}_0 & \mathbf{A}_0 & & & \\ \mathbf{C}_1 & \mathbf{B}_1 & \mathbf{A}_1 & & \\ & \mathbf{C}_2 & \mathbf{B}_2 & \mathbf{A}_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Depending if time is discrete or continuous we will have special stochastic properties for the block entries of \mathbf{P} or \mathcal{A} :

- ① **Discrete time:** all entries of $\mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{C}_{n+1}$ are nonnegative and $(\mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{A}_0)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{e}_N$, $(\mathbf{C}_n + \mathbf{B}_n + \mathbf{A}_n)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{e}_N$, $n \geq 1$, where $\mathbf{e}_N = (1, \dots, 1)^T$.
- ② **Continuous time:** all off-diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are nonnegative and $(\mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{A}_0)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{0}_N$, $(\mathbf{C}_n + \mathbf{B}_n + \mathbf{A}_n)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{0}_N$, $n \geq 1$.

The **main tool** to study spectral methods will be the theory of matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials.

PROCESSES RELATED TO MATRIX-VALUED OP

Quasi-birth-and-death processes:

\mathbf{P} and \mathcal{A} are now **block-tridiagonal** matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}_0 & \mathbf{A}_0 & & & \\ \mathbf{C}_1 & \mathbf{B}_1 & \mathbf{A}_1 & & \\ & \mathbf{C}_2 & \mathbf{B}_2 & \mathbf{A}_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Depending if time is discrete or continuous we will have special stochastic properties for the block entries of \mathbf{P} or \mathcal{A} :

- ① **Discrete time:** all entries of $\mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{C}_{n+1}$ are nonnegative and $(\mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{A}_0)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{e}_N, (\mathbf{C}_n + \mathbf{B}_n + \mathbf{A}_n)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{e}_N, n \geq 1$, where $\mathbf{e}_N = (1, \dots, 1)^T$.
- ② **Continuous time:** all off-diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are nonnegative and $(\mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{A}_0)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{0}_N, (\mathbf{C}_n + \mathbf{B}_n + \mathbf{A}_n)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{0}_N, n \geq 1$.

The **main tool** to study spectral methods will be the theory of matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials.

PROCESSES RELATED TO MATRIX-VALUED OP

Quasi-birth-and-death processes:

\mathbf{P} and \mathcal{A} are now **block-tridiagonal** matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}_0 & \mathbf{A}_0 & & & \\ \mathbf{C}_1 & \mathbf{B}_1 & \mathbf{A}_1 & & \\ & \mathbf{C}_2 & \mathbf{B}_2 & \mathbf{A}_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Depending if time is discrete or continuous we will have special stochastic properties for the block entries of \mathbf{P} or \mathcal{A} :

- ① **Discrete time:** all entries of $\mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{C}_{n+1}$ are nonnegative and $(\mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{A}_0)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{e}_N, (\mathbf{C}_n + \mathbf{B}_n + \mathbf{A}_n)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{e}_N, n \geq 1$, where $\mathbf{e}_N = (1, \dots, 1)^T$.
- ② **Continuous time:** all off-diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are nonnegative and $(\mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{A}_0)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{0}_N, (\mathbf{C}_n + \mathbf{B}_n + \mathbf{A}_n)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{0}_N, n \geq 1$.

The **main tool** to study spectral methods will be the theory of matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials.

PROCESSES RELATED TO MATRIX-VALUED OP

Quasi-birth-and-death processes:

\mathbf{P} and \mathcal{A} are now **block-tridiagonal** matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}_0 & \mathbf{A}_0 & & & \\ \mathbf{C}_1 & \mathbf{B}_1 & \mathbf{A}_1 & & \\ & \mathbf{C}_2 & \mathbf{B}_2 & \mathbf{A}_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Depending if time is discrete or continuous we will have special stochastic properties for the block entries of \mathbf{P} or \mathcal{A} :

- ① **Discrete time:** all entries of $\mathbf{B}_n, \mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{C}_{n+1}$ are nonnegative and $(\mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{A}_0)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{e}_N, (\mathbf{C}_n + \mathbf{B}_n + \mathbf{A}_n)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{e}_N, n \geq 1$, where $\mathbf{e}_N = (1, \dots, 1)^T$.
- ② **Continuous time:** all off-diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are nonnegative and $(\mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{A}_0)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{0}_N, (\mathbf{C}_n + \mathbf{B}_n + \mathbf{A}_n)\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{0}_N, n \geq 1$.

The **main tool** to study spectral methods will be the theory of matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials.

SPECTRAL METHODS

Spectral or Favard's Theorem: under certain symmetry conditions on the coefficients of the block entries of \mathbf{P} or \mathcal{A} there exists a unique **weight matrix** \mathbf{W} . Therefore we will have again **spectral representations** of the transition probabilities:

1. **Quasi-birth-and-death processes** (discrete time): the weight matrix \mathbf{W} is supported on $[-1, 1]$. If we denote by (\mathbf{Q}_i) the sequence of MVOP generated by \mathbf{P} then we have (Grünbaum and Dette-Reuther-Studden-Zygmunt, 2007).

$$P_{ij}^n = \left(\int_{-1}^1 x^n \mathbf{Q}_i(x) d\mathbf{W}(x) \mathbf{Q}_j^*(x) \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 \mathbf{Q}_j(x) d\mathbf{W}(x) \mathbf{Q}_j^*(x) \right)^{-1}$$

2. **Quasi-birth-and-death processes** (continuous time): now the weight matrix \mathbf{W} is supported on $[0, \infty)$. If we denote by (\mathbf{Q}_i) the sequence of MVOP generated by \mathcal{A} then we have (Dette-Reuther, 2010):

$$P_{ij}(t) = \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-xt} \mathbf{Q}_i(x) d\mathbf{W}(x) \mathbf{Q}_j^*(x) \right) \left(\int_0^\infty \mathbf{Q}_j(x) d\mathbf{W}(x) \mathbf{Q}_j^*(x) \right)^{-1}$$

**Again, the spectral weight matrix can either be discrete (finite or infinite) or continuous.

SPECTRAL METHODS

Spectral or Favard's Theorem: under certain symmetry conditions on the coefficients of the block entries of \mathbf{P} or \mathcal{A} there exists a unique **weight matrix** \mathbf{W} . Therefore we will have again **spectral representations** of the transition probabilities:

1. **Quasi-birth-and-death processes** (discrete time): the weight matrix \mathbf{W} is supported on $[-1, 1]$. If we denote by (\mathbf{Q}_i) the sequence of MVOP generated by \mathbf{P} then we have (Grünbaum and Dette-Reuther-Studden-Zygmunt, 2007).

$$P_{ij}^n = \left(\int_{-1}^1 x^n \mathbf{Q}_i(x) d\mathbf{W}(x) \mathbf{Q}_j^*(x) \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 \mathbf{Q}_j(x) d\mathbf{W}(x) \mathbf{Q}_j^*(x) \right)^{-1}$$

2. **Quasi-birth-and-death processes** (continuous time): now the weight matrix \mathbf{W} is supported on $[0, \infty)$. If we denote by (\mathbf{Q}_i) the sequence of MVOP generated by \mathcal{A} then we have (Dette-Reuther, 2010):

$$P_{ij}(t) = \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-xt} \mathbf{Q}_i(x) d\mathbf{W}(x) \mathbf{Q}_j^*(x) \right) \left(\int_0^\infty \mathbf{Q}_j(x) d\mathbf{W}(x) \mathbf{Q}_j^*(x) \right)^{-1}$$

**Again, the spectral weight matrix can either be discrete (finite or infinite) or continuous.

SPECTRAL METHODS

Spectral or Favard's Theorem: under certain symmetry conditions on the coefficients of the block entries of \mathbf{P} or \mathcal{A} there exists a unique **weight matrix** \mathbf{W} . Therefore we will have again **spectral representations** of the transition probabilities:

1. **Quasi-birth-and-death processes** (discrete time): the weight matrix \mathbf{W} is supported on $[-1, 1]$. If we denote by (\mathbf{Q}_i) the sequence of MVOP generated by \mathbf{P} then we have (Grünbaum and Dette-Reuther-Studden-Zygmunt, 2007).

$$P_{ij}^n = \left(\int_{-1}^1 x^n \mathbf{Q}_i(x) d\mathbf{W}(x) \mathbf{Q}_j^*(x) \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 \mathbf{Q}_j(x) d\mathbf{W}(x) \mathbf{Q}_j^*(x) \right)^{-1}$$

2. **Quasi-birth-and-death processes** (continuous time): now the weight matrix \mathbf{W} is supported on $[0, \infty)$. If we denote by (\mathbf{Q}_i) the sequence of MVOP generated by \mathcal{A} then we have (Dette-Reuther, 2010):

$$P_{ij}(t) = \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-xt} \mathbf{Q}_i(x) d\mathbf{W}(x) \mathbf{Q}_j^*(x) \right) \left(\int_0^\infty \mathbf{Q}_j(x) d\mathbf{W}(x) \mathbf{Q}_j^*(x) \right)^{-1}$$

**Again, the spectral weight matrix can either be discrete (finite or infinite) or continuous.

OUTLINE

- 1 MARKOV CHAINS AND OP
 - Markov chains
 - Bivariate Markov chains

- 2 THE NEW EXAMPLE
 - Matrix-valued spherical functions
 - Two birth-and-death models

MATRIX-VALUED SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS

Spherical functions associated with groups of the form G/K where (G, K) is a Gel'fand pair are very much related with OP (Helgason, Vilenkin, Klimyk). They are eigenfunctions of the **Casimir operator** associated with the group. The extension to the **matrix-valued case** was started by Tirao (1977). The connection with MVOP was discovered by Grünbaum-Pacharoni-Tirao (2003).

1. **Complex projective space**: $P_n(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(n+1)/\text{U}(n)$. Grünbaum-Pacharoni-Tirao (2002). Later it was found the **relation with stochastic processes** by Grünbaum-Mdl (2008), Grünbaum-Pacharoni-Tirao (2012) and Mdl (2012).
2. **Complex hyperbolic plane**: $H_2(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(2,1)/\text{U}(2)$. Pacharoni-Román-Tirao (2006). Dual to the complex projective plane $P_2(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(3)/\text{U}(2)$.
3. **Real sphere**: $S^n = \text{SO}(n+1)/\text{O}(n)$. Tirao-Zurrián (2013). Also connected with the real projective space $P_n(\mathbb{R}) = \text{SO}(n+1)/\text{O}(n)$.

In all cases (and others not mentioned) an explicit expression of the weight matrix, the second-order differential operator, the three-term recurrence relation and other structural formulas were derived for the matrix-valued spherical functions. In most of the cases the relation with MVOP was also given.

MATRIX-VALUED SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS

Spherical functions associated with groups of the form G/K where (G, K) is a Gel'fand pair are very much related with OP (Helgason, Vilenkin, Klimyk). They are eigenfunctions of the **Casimir operator** associated with the group. The extension to the **matrix-valued case** was started by Tirao (1977). The connection with MVOP was discovered by Grünbaum-Pacharoni-Tirao (2003).

1. **Complex projective space**: $P_n(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(n+1)/\text{U}(n)$.

Grünbaum-Pacharoni-Tirao (2002). Later it was found the **relation with stochastic processes** by Grünbaum-Mdl (2008), Grünbaum-Pacharoni-Tirao (2012) and Mdl (2012).

2. **Complex hyperbolic plane**: $H_2(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(2,1)/\text{U}(2)$. Pacharoni-Román-Tirao (2006). Dual to the complex projective plane $P_2(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(3)/\text{U}(2)$.

3. **Real sphere**: $S^n = \text{SO}(n+1)/\text{O}(n)$. Tirao-Zurrián (2013). Also connected with the real projective space $P_n(\mathbb{R}) = \text{SO}(n+1)/\text{O}(n)$.

In all cases (and others not mentioned) an explicit expression of the weight matrix, the second-order differential operator, the three-term recurrence relation and other structural formulas were derived for the matrix-valued spherical functions. In most of the cases the relation with MVOP was also given.

MATRIX-VALUED SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS

Spherical functions associated with groups of the form G/K where (G, K) is a Gel'fand pair are very much related with OP (Helgason, Vilenkin, Klimyk). They are eigenfunctions of the **Casimir operator** associated with the group. The extension to the **matrix-valued case** was started by Tirao (1977). The connection with MVOP was discovered by Grünbaum-Pacharoni-Tirao (2003).

1. **Complex projective space**: $P_n(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(n+1)/\text{U}(n)$. Grünbaum-Pacharoni-Tirao (2002). Later it was found the **relation with stochastic processes** by Grünbaum-Mdl (2008), Grünbaum-Pacharoni-Tirao (2012) and Mdl (2012).
2. **Complex hyperbolic plane**: $H_2(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(2,1)/\text{U}(2)$. Pacharoni-Román-Tirao (2006). Dual to the complex projective plane $P_2(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(3)/\text{U}(2)$.
3. **Real sphere**: $S^n = \text{SO}(n+1)/\text{O}(n)$. Tirao-Zurrián (2013). Also connected with the real projective space $P_n(\mathbb{R}) = \text{SO}(n+1)/\text{O}(n)$.

In all cases (and others not mentioned) an explicit expression of the weight matrix, the second-order differential operator, the three-term recurrence relation and other structural formulas were derived for the matrix-valued spherical functions. In most of the cases the relation with MVOP was also given.

MATRIX-VALUED SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS

Spherical functions associated with groups of the form G/K where (G, K) is a Gel'fand pair are very much related with OP (Helgason, Vilenkin, Klimyk). They are eigenfunctions of the **Casimir operator** associated with the group. The extension to the **matrix-valued case** was started by Tirao (1977). The connection with MVOP was discovered by Grünbaum-Pacharoni-Tirao (2003).

1. **Complex projective space**: $P_n(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(n+1)/\text{U}(n)$. Grünbaum-Pacharoni-Tirao (2002). Later it was found the **relation with stochastic processes** by Grünbaum-Mdl (2008), Grünbaum-Pacharoni-Tirao (2012) and Mdl (2012).
2. **Complex hyperbolic plane**: $H_2(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(2,1)/\text{U}(2)$. Pacharoni-Román-Tirao (2006). Dual to the complex projective plane $P_2(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(3)/\text{U}(2)$.
3. **Real sphere**: $S^n = \text{SO}(n+1)/\text{O}(n)$. Tirao-Zurrián (2013). Also connected with the real projective space $P_n(\mathbb{R}) = \text{SO}(n+1)/\text{O}(n)$.

In all cases (and others not mentioned) an explicit expression of the weight matrix, the second-order differential operator, the three-term recurrence relation and other structural formulas were derived for the matrix-valued spherical functions. In most of the cases the relation with MVOP was also given.

THE PAIR $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2), \mathrm{DIAG} \mathrm{SU}(2))$

Koornwinder (1985) studied spherical functions associated with pairs of the form $(K \times K, K)$, where the subgroup is **diagonally embedded** and $K = \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

More recently Koelink-van Pruijssen-Román (2012) studied with a different approach this example and give the relation with MVOP.

For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N = 2\ell + 1$ they produced a one-parameter family of $N \times N$ MVOP where the **weight matrix** is

$$W(y) = [y(1-y)]^{\nu-1/2} \Psi_0(y) T(\Psi_0(y))^*, \quad T_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \binom{2\ell}{i} \frac{(\nu)_i}{(\nu+2\ell-i)_i}$$

where $\Psi_0(y)$ is certain matrix-valued function containing spherical functions.

The corresponding **symmetric second-order differential operator** is given by

$$D = y(1-y)\partial_y^2 + (C + \nu - y(2\ell + 2\nu + 1))\partial_y - (V - (\nu - 1)(2\ell + \nu + 1))$$

where C is tridiagonal and V diagonal with **eigenvalue**

$$\Lambda_n = -n(n-1) - n(2\ell + 2\nu + 1) - (V - (\nu - 1)(2\ell + \nu + 1))$$

THE PAIR $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2), \mathrm{DIAG} \mathrm{SU}(2))$

Koornwinder (1985) studied spherical functions associated with pairs of the form $(K \times K, K)$, where the subgroup is **diagonally embedded** and $K = \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

More recently Koelink-van Pruijssen-Román (2012) studied with a different approach this example and give the relation with MVOP.

For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N = 2\ell + 1$ they produced a one-parameter family of $N \times N$ MVOP where the **weight matrix** is

$$W(y) = [y(1-y)]^{\nu-1/2} \Psi_0(y) T(\Psi_0(y))^*, \quad T_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \binom{2\ell}{i} \frac{(\nu)_i}{(\nu+2\ell-i)_i}$$

where $\Psi_0(y)$ is certain matrix-valued function containing spherical functions.

The corresponding **symmetric second-order differential operator** is given by

$$D = y(1-y)\partial_y^2 + (C + \nu - y(2\ell + 2\nu + 1))\partial_y - (V - (\nu - 1)(2\ell + \nu + 1))$$

where C is tridiagonal and V diagonal with **eigenvalue**

$$\Lambda_n = -n(n-1) - n(2\ell + 2\nu + 1) - (V - (\nu - 1)(2\ell + \nu + 1))$$

THE PAIR $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2), \mathrm{DIAG} \mathrm{SU}(2))$

Koornwinder (1985) studied spherical functions associated with pairs of the form $(K \times K, K)$, where the subgroup is **diagonally embedded** and $K = \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

More recently Koelink-van Pruijssen-Román (2012) studied with a different approach this example and give the relation with MVOP.

For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N = 2\ell + 1$ they produced a one-parameter family of $N \times N$ MVOP where the **weight matrix** is

$$W(y) = [y(1-y)]^{\nu-1/2} \Psi_0(y) T(\Psi_0(y))^*, \quad T_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \binom{2\ell}{i} \frac{(\nu)_i}{(\nu+2\ell-i)_i}$$

where $\Psi_0(y)$ is certain matrix-valued function containing spherical functions.

The corresponding **symmetric second-order differential operator** is given by

$$D = y(1-y)\partial_y^2 + (C + \nu - y(2\ell + 2\nu + 1))\partial_y - (V - (\nu - 1)(2\ell + \nu + 1))$$

where C is tridiagonal and V diagonal with **eigenvalue**

$$\Lambda_n = -n(n-1) - n(2\ell + 2\nu + 1) - (V - (\nu - 1)(2\ell + \nu + 1))$$

THE PAIR $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2), \mathrm{DIAG} \mathrm{SU}(2))$

Koornwinder (1985) studied spherical functions associated with pairs of the form $(K \times K, K)$, where the subgroup is **diagonally embedded** and $K = \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

More recently Koelink-van Pruijssen-Román (2012) studied with a different approach this example and give the relation with MVOP.

For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N = 2\ell + 1$ they produced a one-parameter family of $N \times N$ MVOP where the **weight matrix** is

$$W(y) = [y(1-y)]^{\nu-1/2} \Psi_0(y) T(\Psi_0(y))^*, \quad T_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \binom{2\ell}{i} \frac{(\nu)_i}{(\nu+2\ell-i)_i}$$

where $\Psi_0(y)$ is certain matrix-valued function containing spherical functions.

The corresponding **symmetric second-order differential operator** is given by

$$D = y(1-y)\partial_y^2 + (C + \nu - y(2\ell + 2\nu + 1))\partial_y - (V - (\nu - 1)(2\ell + \nu + 1))$$

where C is tridiagonal and V diagonal with **eigenvalue**

$$\Lambda_n = -n(n-1) - n(2\ell + 2\nu + 1) - (V - (\nu - 1)(2\ell + \nu + 1))$$

TWO IMPORTANT FACTS

1. The structure of the group induces the existence of a constant matrix Y such that we can **decompose by blocks** the weight matrix W in the form

$$\widetilde{W}(y) = YW(y)Y^* = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} W_1(y) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & W_2(y) \end{array} \right)$$

where W_1 is $(\ell + 1) \times (\ell + 1)$ and W_2 is $\ell \times \ell$. So we will study the probabilistic aspects of these two independent processes ($\ell = 1$).

2. We look for certain family of MVOP such that the corresponding block tridiagonal Jacobi matrix \mathcal{A} has a “**stochastic**” interpretation, meaning that the sum of each row of \mathcal{A} is ≤ 0 and the off-diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are ≥ 0 (therefore the infinitesimal operator of a continuous-time Markov chain).

TWO IMPORTANT FACTS

1. The structure of the group induces the existence of a constant matrix Y such that we can **decompose by blocks** the weight matrix W in the form

$$\widetilde{W}(y) = YW(y)Y^* = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} W_1(y) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & W_2(y) \end{array} \right)$$

where W_1 is $(\ell + 1) \times (\ell + 1)$ and W_2 is $\ell \times \ell$. So we will study the probabilistic aspects of these two independent processes ($\ell = 1$).

2. We look for certain family of MVOP such that the corresponding block tridiagonal Jacobi matrix \mathcal{A} has a **“stochastic”** interpretation, meaning that the sum of each row of \mathcal{A} is ≤ 0 and the off-diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are ≥ 0 (therefore the infinitesimal operator of a continuous-time Markov chain).

TWO BIRTH-AND-DEATH MODELS ($\ell = 1$)

Let $W_1(y)$ (2×2) and $w_2(y)$ (scalar) be the corresponding block weight matrices and denote by $Q_{n,1}$ and $q_{n,2}$ the corresponding families of MVOP satisfying $Q_{n,1}(0)\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}_2$, $\mathbf{e}_2 = (1, 1)^T$ and $q_{n,2}(0) = 1$.

1. A birth-and-death process: The polynomials $q_{n,2}$ satisfy the three-term recurrence relation

$$-yq_{n,2}(y) = a_nq_{n+1,2}(y) - (a_n + c_n)q_{n,2}(y) + c_nq_{n-1,2}(y)$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$a_n = \frac{2\nu + n + 2}{4(\nu + n + 1)}, \quad c_n = \frac{n}{4(\nu + n + 1)}$$

Therefore the Jacobi matrix is

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4(\nu+2)} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{2\nu+3}{4(\nu+2)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2(\nu+3)} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\nu+2}{2(\nu+3)} & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nu > -3/2$$

and it is the infinitesimal operator of a birth-and-death process.

TWO BIRTH-AND-DEATH MODELS ($\ell = 1$)

Let $W_1(y)$ (2×2) and $w_2(y)$ (scalar) be the corresponding block weight matrices and denote by $Q_{n,1}$ and $q_{n,2}$ the corresponding families of MVOP satisfying $Q_{n,1}(0)\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}_2$, $\mathbf{e}_2 = (1, 1)^T$ and $q_{n,2}(0) = 1$.

1. **A birth-and-death process:** The polynomials $q_{n,2}$ satisfy the three-term recurrence relation

$$-yq_{n,2}(y) = a_n q_{n+1,2}(y) - (a_n + c_n)q_{n,2}(y) + c_n q_{n-1,2}(y)$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$a_n = \frac{2\nu + n + 2}{4(\nu + n + 1)}, \quad c_n = \frac{n}{4(\nu + n + 1)}$$

Therefore the Jacobi matrix is

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & & & \\ \frac{1}{4(\nu+2)} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{2\nu+3}{4(\nu+2)} & 0 & & \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2(\nu+3)} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\nu+2}{2(\nu+3)} & 0 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nu > -3/2$$

and it is the infinitesimal operator of a birth-and-death process.

The **potential coefficients** (inverse of the norms of $q_{n,2}$) are

$$\pi_0 = 1, \quad \pi_n = \frac{2(\nu + n + 1)(2\nu + 3)_{n-1}}{n!}, \quad n \geq 1$$

while the (normalized) **weight** is given by

$$w_2(y) = \frac{4^{\nu+1}\Gamma(\nu+2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\nu+3/2)} [y(1-y)]^{\nu+1/2}, \quad y \in (0,1), \quad \nu > -3/2$$

Therefore we have the **Karlin-McGregor representation**

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}^{(2)}(t) &= \mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i) = \pi_j \int_0^1 e^{-yt} q_{i,2}(y) q_{j,2}(y) w_2(y) dy \\ &= \frac{2(\nu + j + 1)(2\nu + 3)_{j-1} 4^{\nu+1} \Gamma(\nu + 2)}{j! \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\nu + 3/2)} \int_0^1 e^{-yt} q_{i,2} q_{j,2} [y(1-y)]^{\nu+1/2} dy \end{aligned}$$

Since we have the explicit expression of the weight $w_2(y)$ we can study the **recurrence** of the process. For $-3/2 < \nu \leq -1/2$ the process is *null recurrent* (since $\sum \pi_n = \infty$), while if $\nu > -1/2$ then the process is *transient*.

This birth-and-death process can be seen as a *rational variant of the one-server queue* as the length of the queue increases.

The **potential coefficients** (inverse of the norms of $q_{n,2}$) are

$$\pi_0 = 1, \quad \pi_n = \frac{2(\nu + n + 1)(2\nu + 3)_{n-1}}{n!}, \quad n \geq 1$$

while the (normalized) **weight** is given by

$$w_2(y) = \frac{4^{\nu+1}\Gamma(\nu+2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\nu+3/2)} [y(1-y)]^{\nu+1/2}, \quad y \in (0,1), \quad \nu > -3/2$$

Therefore we have the **Karlin-McGregor representation**

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}^{(2)}(t) &= \mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i) = \pi_j \int_0^1 e^{-yt} q_{i,2}(y) q_{j,2}(y) w_2(y) dy \\ &= \frac{2(\nu + j + 1)(2\nu + 3)_{j-1} 4^{\nu+1} \Gamma(\nu + 2)}{j! \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\nu + 3/2)} \int_0^1 e^{-yt} q_{i,2} q_{j,2} [y(1-y)]^{\nu+1/2} dy \end{aligned}$$

Since we have the explicit expression of the weight $w_2(y)$ we can study the **recurrence** of the process. For $-3/2 < \nu \leq -1/2$ the process is *null recurrent* (since $\sum \pi_n = \infty$), while if $\nu > -1/2$ then the process is *transient*.

This birth-and-death process can be seen as a *rational variant of the one-server queue* as the length of the queue increases.

The **potential coefficients** (inverse of the norms of $q_{n,2}$) are

$$\pi_0 = 1, \quad \pi_n = \frac{2(\nu + n + 1)(2\nu + 3)_{n-1}}{n!}, \quad n \geq 1$$

while the (normalized) **weight** is given by

$$w_2(y) = \frac{4^{\nu+1}\Gamma(\nu+2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\nu+3/2)} [y(1-y)]^{\nu+1/2}, \quad y \in (0,1), \quad \nu > -3/2$$

Therefore we have the **Karlin-McGregor representation**

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}^{(2)}(t) &= \mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i) = \pi_j \int_0^1 e^{-yt} q_{i,2}(y) q_{j,2}(y) w_2(y) dy \\ &= \frac{2(\nu + j + 1)(2\nu + 3)_{j-1} 4^{\nu+1} \Gamma(\nu + 2)}{j! \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\nu + 3/2)} \int_0^1 e^{-yt} q_{i,2} q_{j,2} [y(1-y)]^{\nu+1/2} dy \end{aligned}$$

Since we have the explicit expression of the weight $w_2(y)$ we can study the **recurrence** of the process. For $-3/2 < \nu \leq -1/2$ the process is *null recurrent* (since $\sum \pi_n = \infty$), while if $\nu > -1/2$ then the process is *transient*.

This birth-and-death process can be seen as a *rational variant of the one-server queue* as the length of the queue increases.

The **potential coefficients** (inverse of the norms of $q_{n,2}$) are

$$\pi_0 = 1, \quad \pi_n = \frac{2(\nu + n + 1)(2\nu + 3)_{n-1}}{n!}, \quad n \geq 1$$

while the (normalized) **weight** is given by

$$w_2(y) = \frac{4^{\nu+1}\Gamma(\nu+2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\nu+3/2)} [y(1-y)]^{\nu+1/2}, \quad y \in (0,1), \quad \nu > -3/2$$

Therefore we have the **Karlin-McGregor representation**

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}^{(2)}(t) &= \mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_0 = i) = \pi_j \int_0^1 e^{-yt} q_{i,2}(y) q_{j,2}(y) w_2(y) dy \\ &= \frac{2(\nu + j + 1)(2\nu + 3)_{j-1} 4^{\nu+1} \Gamma(\nu + 2)}{j! \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\nu + 3/2)} \int_0^1 e^{-yt} q_{i,2} q_{j,2} [y(1-y)]^{\nu+1/2} dy \end{aligned}$$

Since we have the explicit expression of the weight $w_2(y)$ we can study the **recurrence** of the process. For $-3/2 < \nu \leq -1/2$ the process is *null recurrent* (since $\sum \pi_n = \infty$), while if $\nu > -1/2$ then the process is *transient*.

This birth-and-death process can be seen as a *rational variant of the one-server queue* as the length of the queue increases.

2. A quasi-birth-and-death process: The polynomials $Q_{n,1}(y)$ satisfy the three-term recurrence relation

$$-yQ_{n,1}(y) = A_n Q_{n+1,1}(y) + B_n Q_{n,1}(y) + C_n Q_{n-1,1}(y)$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\nu+n+2}{4(\nu+n+2)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(n+\nu)(2\nu+n+2)}{4(\nu+n+1)^2} \end{pmatrix}, B_n = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\nu}{2(\nu+n)(\nu+n+2)} \\ \frac{1+\nu}{2(\nu+n+1)^2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, C_n = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n}{4(\nu+n)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{n(\nu+n+2)}{4(\nu+n+1)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore the Jacobi matrix (pentadiagonal) is

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2(\nu+2)} & \frac{\nu+1}{2(\nu+2)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{1}{2(\nu+1)} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{\nu}{2(\nu+1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{1}{4(\nu+1)} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\nu}{2(\nu+1)(\nu+3)} & \frac{2\nu+3}{4(\nu+3)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \frac{\nu+3}{4(\nu+2)^2} & \frac{1+\nu}{2(\nu+2)^2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{(1+\nu)(2\nu+3)}{4(\nu+2)^2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2(\nu+2)} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\nu}{2(\nu+2)(\nu+4)} & \frac{\nu+2}{2(\nu+4)} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\nu+4}{2(\nu+3)^2} & \frac{1+\nu}{2(\nu+3)^2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{(2+\nu)^2}{2(\nu+3)^2} & \cdots \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

and it is the infinitesimal operator of a quasi-birth-and-death process ($\nu \geq 0$).

The (normalized) **weight matrix** is given by

$$W_1(y) = \frac{4^{\nu+1/2}\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\nu+1/2)} [y(1-y)]^{\nu-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{2(1+\nu)}{\nu+1/2}y(1-y) & \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2}(1-2y) \\ \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2}(1-2y) & \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2} \left(1 - \frac{2\nu}{\nu+1/2}y(1-y)\right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nu \geq 0$$

Each block entry (i, j) of $P^{(1)}(t)$ admits a **Karlin-McGregor representation**

$$P_{ij}^{(1)}(t) = \left(\int_0^1 e^{-yt} Q_{i,1}(y) W_1(y) Q_{j,1}^*(y) dx \right) \Pi_j$$

$$\Pi_0 = I, \quad \Pi_n = \left(\|Q_{n,1}\|_{W_1}^2 \right)^{-1} = \frac{2(2\nu+3)_{n-1}}{n!} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\nu+1)^2}{\nu+n+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\nu(\nu+2)(\nu+n+1)}{(\nu+n)(\nu+n+2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

We can also compute *explicitly* the **invariant measure** of the process

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= \left((\Pi_0 \mathbf{e}_2)^T; (\Pi_1 \mathbf{e}_2)^T; (\Pi_2 \mathbf{e}_2)^T; \dots \right), \quad \mathbf{e}_2^T = (1, 1), \\ &= \left(1, 1; \frac{2(\nu+1)^2}{\nu+2}, \frac{2\nu(\nu+2)^2}{(\nu+1)(\nu+3)}; \frac{(2\nu+3)(\nu+1)^2}{\nu+3}, \frac{(2\nu+3)\nu(\nu+3)}{\nu+4}; \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way studied in the scalar case, the process is *null recurrent* for $0 \leq \nu \leq 1/2$, while if $\nu > 1/2$ then the process will be *transient*.

The (normalized) **weight matrix** is given by

$$W_1(y) = \frac{4^{\nu+1/2}\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\nu+1/2)} [y(1-y)]^{\nu-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{2(1+\nu)}{\nu+1/2}y(1-y) & \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2}(1-2y) \\ \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2}(1-2y) & \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2} \left(1 - \frac{2\nu}{\nu+1/2}y(1-y)\right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nu \geq 0$$

Each block entry (i, j) of $P^{(1)}(t)$ admits a **Karlin-McGregor representation**

$$P_{ij}^{(1)}(t) = \left(\int_0^1 e^{-yt} Q_{i,1}(y) W_1(y) Q_{j,1}^*(y) dx \right) \Pi_j$$

$$\Pi_0 = I, \quad \Pi_n = \left(\|Q_{n,1}\|_{W_1}^2 \right)^{-1} = \frac{2(2\nu+3)n-1}{n!} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\nu+1)^2}{\nu+n+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\nu(\nu+2)(\nu+n+1)}{(\nu+n)(\nu+n+2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

We can also compute *explicitly* the **invariant measure** of the process

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= \left((\Pi_0 \mathbf{e}_2)^T; (\Pi_1 \mathbf{e}_2)^T; (\Pi_2 \mathbf{e}_2)^T; \dots \right), \quad \mathbf{e}_2^T = (1, 1), \\ &= \left(1, 1; \frac{2(\nu+1)^2}{\nu+2}, \frac{2\nu(\nu+2)^2}{(\nu+1)(\nu+3)}; \frac{(2\nu+3)(\nu+1)^2}{\nu+3}, \frac{(2\nu+3)\nu(\nu+3)}{\nu+4}; \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way studied in the scalar case, the process is *null recurrent* for $0 \leq \nu \leq 1/2$, while if $\nu > 1/2$ then the process will be *transient*.

The (normalized) **weight matrix** is given by

$$W_1(y) = \frac{4^{\nu+1/2}\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\nu+1/2)} [y(1-y)]^{\nu-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{2(1+\nu)}{\nu+1/2}y(1-y) & \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2}(1-2y) \\ \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2}(1-2y) & \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2} \left(1 - \frac{2\nu}{\nu+1/2}y(1-y)\right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nu \geq 0$$

Each block entry (i, j) of $P^{(1)}(t)$ admits a **Karlin-McGregor representation**

$$P_{ij}^{(1)}(t) = \left(\int_0^1 e^{-yt} Q_{i,1}(y) W_1(y) Q_{j,1}^*(y) dx \right) \Pi_j$$

$$\Pi_0 = I, \quad \Pi_n = \left(\|Q_{n,1}\|_{W_1}^2 \right)^{-1} = \frac{2(2\nu+3)n-1}{n!} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\nu+1)^2}{\nu+n+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\nu(\nu+2)(\nu+n+1)}{(\nu+n)(\nu+n+2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

We can also compute *explicitly* the **invariant measure** of the process

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= \left((\Pi_0 \mathbf{e}_2)^T; (\Pi_1 \mathbf{e}_2)^T; (\Pi_2 \mathbf{e}_2)^T; \dots \right), \quad \mathbf{e}_2^T = (1, 1), \\ &= \left(1, 1; \frac{2(\nu+1)^2}{\nu+2}, \frac{2\nu(\nu+2)^2}{(\nu+1)(\nu+3)}; \frac{(2\nu+3)(\nu+1)^2}{\nu+3}, \frac{(2\nu+3)\nu(\nu+3)}{\nu+4}; \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way studied in the scalar case, the process is *null recurrent* for $0 \leq \nu \leq 1/2$, while if $\nu > 1/2$ then the process will be *transient*.

The (normalized) **weight matrix** is given by

$$W_1(y) = \frac{4^{\nu+1/2}\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\nu+1/2)} [y(1-y)]^{\nu-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{2(1+\nu)}{\nu+1/2}y(1-y) & \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2}(1-2y) \\ \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2}(1-2y) & \frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2} \left(1 - \frac{2\nu}{\nu+1/2}y(1-y)\right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nu \geq 0$$

Each block entry (i, j) of $P^{(1)}(t)$ admits a **Karlin-McGregor representation**

$$P_{ij}^{(1)}(t) = \left(\int_0^1 e^{-yt} Q_{i,1}(y) W_1(y) Q_{j,1}^*(y) dx \right) \Pi_j$$

$$\Pi_0 = I, \quad \Pi_n = \left(\|Q_{n,1}\|_{W_1}^2 \right)^{-1} = \frac{2(2\nu+3)n-1}{n!} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\nu+1)^2}{\nu+n+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\nu(\nu+2)(\nu+n+1)}{(\nu+n)(\nu+n+2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

We can also compute *explicitly* the **invariant measure** of the process

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= \left((\Pi_0 \mathbf{e}_2)^T; (\Pi_1 \mathbf{e}_2)^T; (\Pi_2 \mathbf{e}_2)^T; \dots \right), \quad \mathbf{e}_2^T = (1, 1), \\ &= \left(1, 1; \frac{2(\nu+1)^2}{\nu+2}, \frac{2\nu(\nu+2)^2}{(\nu+1)(\nu+3)}; \frac{(2\nu+3)(\nu+1)^2}{\nu+3}, \frac{(2\nu+3)\nu(\nu+3)}{\nu+4}; \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way studied in the scalar case, the process is *null recurrent* for $0 \leq \nu \leq 1/2$, while if $\nu > 1/2$ then the process will be *transient*.

Interpretation: We have a 2 phases quasi-birth-and-death process. If the process moves along any of the phases, then the process can add (or remove) 2 elements to the queue. On the contrary, if the process moves from one phase to another, then the process add (or remove) 1 element to the queue. As the length of the queue increases, it is very unlikely that a transition between phases occurs. Therefore this quasi-birth-and-death process may be viewed as a *rational variation of a couple of one-server queues where the interaction between them is significant in the first states of the queue.*

