

The Complex of Affinely Commutative Sets

Omar Antolín-Camarena and Bernardo Villarreal

September 18, 2019

Abstract

We show that for some classes of groups G , the homotopy fiber $E_{\text{com}}G$ of the inclusion of the classifying space for commutativity $B_{\text{com}}G$ into the classifying space BG , is contractible if and only if G is abelian. We show this both for compact connected Lie groups and for discrete groups. To prove those results, we define an interesting map $\mathfrak{c}: E_{\text{com}}G \rightarrow B[G, G]$ and show it is not nullhomotopic for the non-abelian groups in those classes. Additionally, we show that \mathfrak{c} is 3-connected for $G = O(n)$ when $n \geq 3$.

1 Introduction

Let G be a topological group, and let $B_{\text{com}}G$ be the classifying space for commutativity defined by Adem, Cohen and Torres-Giese [1]. This space is defined as the geometric realization of the simplicial space of ordered commuting tuples $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{\bullet}, G)$. The inclusions $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^k, G) \subseteq G^k$ for $k \geq 0$ give rise to a canonical map $B_{\text{com}}G \rightarrow BG$. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the homotopy fiber of this map which is denoted $E_{\text{com}}G$. Whenever G is abelian, $B_{\text{com}}G = BG$, and thus $E_{\text{com}}G$ is contractible. The natural question to ask here is if the converse holds. In general this is not true, for example $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is non-abelian and yet $E_{\text{com}}SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is contractible (see Remark 20). Nonetheless, for compact connected Lie groups G , Adem and Gómez in [2] proved the converse for a smaller variant of $E_{\text{com}}G$, namely, the homotopy fiber $E_{\text{com}}G_1$ of the realization of the simplicial inclusion $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^k, G)_1 \rightarrow G^k$, where the subindex 1 denotes the connected component of the trivial homomorphism $1: \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow G$. This in fact answers the question for the groups $G = SU(n), U(n)$ and $Sp(n)$, since in these cases $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^k, G)$ is path-connected.

Here we extend this to other classes of groups, using a new map $\mathfrak{c}: E_{\text{com}}G \rightarrow B[G, G]$ that we define using an alternative simplicial model for $E_{\text{com}}G$. We say that an ordered $(n+1)$ -tuple (g_0, \dots, g_n) is *affinely commutative* if the quotients $g_i^{-1}g_{i+1}$ pairwise commute. The space of affinely commutative $(n+1)$ -tuples sit inside the space of n -simplices of the nerve of the indiscrete category associated to G , defining a subsimplicial space $\text{AfCom}_{\bullet}(G)$, which is naturally isomorphic to the simplicial model of $E_{\text{com}}G$ originally defined in [1]. The commutators in G induce a simplicial map $\mathfrak{c}_{\bullet}: \text{AfCom}_{\bullet}G \rightarrow N[G, G]$ to the nerve of the commutator group of G . The realization of this map $\mathfrak{c}: E_{\text{com}}G \rightarrow B[G, G]$ has proved to be interesting and useful, as shown in our main results which answer the question posed before for discrete groups and for compact connected Lie groups.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a discrete group. Then $\mathfrak{c}_{\bullet}: \pi_1(E_{\text{com}}G) \rightarrow [G, G]$ is a surjective homomorphism. In particular, G is abelian if and only if $\pi_1(E_{\text{com}}G) = 1$.*

Theorem 3. *Let G be a compact connected Lie group. Then the map $\mathfrak{c}: E_{\text{com}}G \rightarrow B[G, G]$ is nullhomotopic if and only if G is abelian. In particular, $E_{\text{com}}G$ is contractible if and only if G is abelian.*

The first section of this paper gives a simplicial complex $\text{AfCom}(G)$ that models the homotopy type of $E_{\text{com}}G$ for discrete groups G . This complex is more computationally friendly; for example, using computer calculations we can conclude that $E_{\text{com}}S_5$ is not an Eilenberg–MacLane space.

In the last subsection, we study the map \mathfrak{c} for the orthogonal groups $O(n)$, showing that for $n \geq 3$, the homotopy fiber of \mathfrak{c} is 2-connected. The case $n = 2$ is quite interesting. It was shown in [4], that $E_{\text{com}}O(2) \simeq \Sigma(S^1 \times S^1)$, so that the adjoint of the map $\mathfrak{c}: E_{\text{com}}O(2) \rightarrow BSO(2)$ up to homotopy is a map $S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$.

Theorem 4. *The adjoint of the map $\mathfrak{c}: E_{\text{com}}O(2) \rightarrow BSO(2)$ is homotopic to the product in S^1 or its inverse.*

Acknowledgments

We'd like to thank Jeffrey Carlson and Dan Ramras for carefully reading an earlier draft and making numerous suggestions that improved the exposition. We'd also like to thank Mike Miller for pointing out [7] to us.

2 Two models for $E_{\text{com}}G$

We will present first our simplicial complex model for $E_{\text{com}}G$ when G is a discrete group, and then the simplicial model which works for arbitrary topological groups. Let us briefly recall the definitions.

Given a topological group G , the classifying space for commutativity in G , $B_{\text{com}}G$, is defined in [1] to be the geometric realization of a certain subsimplicial space of NG (here NG is the usual nerve of G thought of as a one-object topological category). Namely, $B_{\text{com}}G$ is the geometric realization of the subsimplicial space $(B_{\text{com}}G)_\bullet$ of NG whose n -simplices are given by

$$(B_{\text{com}}G)_n = \{(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G^n : \text{the } g_i \text{ pairwise commute}\}, \quad (1)$$

so that $(B_{\text{com}}G)_n \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^n, G)$.

By its very definition $(B_{\text{com}}G)_\bullet$ comes with a degree-wise inclusion into NG and the geometric realization of this inclusion is called the canonical map $B_{\text{com}}G \rightarrow BG$. One can define (the homotopy type of) $E_{\text{com}}G$ as the homotopy fiber of this canonical map, and that is all that we will need for the simplicial complex model. In the section where we give our simplicial space model, we will use a more specific definition of (the homeomorphism type of) $E_{\text{com}}G$ as the realization of a certain simplicial space, which is isomorphic to our model.

2.1 As a simplicial complex

In this section, let G be a discrete group and $\text{Ab}(G)$ be the poset of abelian subgroups of G . From the definition of $B_{\text{com}}G$ as the geometric realization of the simplicial set given in (1), we see that $B_{\text{com}}G = \bigcup_{A \in \text{Ab}(G)} BA$, thinking of all of the BA as subspaces of BG . Since the collection $\{BA : A \in \text{Ab}(G)\}$ is closed under intersections, this union is also the colimit indexed by the poset $\text{Ab}(G)$, namely $B_{\text{com}}G \cong \text{colim}_{A \in \text{Ab}(G)} BA$. The canonical map $B_{\text{com}}G \rightarrow BG$ is clearly the map out of the colimit induced by the inclusions $BA \rightarrow BG$.

Our first step is to show that this colimit is also a homotopy colimit:

$$\text{colim}_{A \in \text{Ab}(G)} BA \simeq \text{hocolim}_{A \in \text{Ab}(G)} BA.$$

The theory of Reedy model structures gives a simple criterion for when colimits of certain shapes are also homotopy colimits — see [15] for a nice exposition. In particular, the theory applies to colimits indexed by any poset and in that case says that the colimit is also a homotopy colimit if the map

$$\text{colim}_{A' \subsetneq A \in \text{Ab}(G)} BA' \rightarrow BA$$

is a cofibration, which again happens because our collection of spaces is closed under intersections, so that $\operatorname{colim}_{A' \subsetneq A \in \operatorname{Ab}(G)} BA' \cong \bigcup_{A' \subsetneq A \in \operatorname{Ab}(G)} BA'$, and thus the map to BA is the inclusion of a subcomplex.

Now we compute $E_{\operatorname{com}}G$ as the homotopy fiber of the canonical map $\operatorname{hocolim}_{A \in \operatorname{Ab}(G)} BA \rightarrow BG$. To do this, we use that ‘‘homotopy colimits are universal’’, which means that given a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ the homotopy pullback functor $f^*: \mathbf{Top}/Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}/X$ preserves homotopy colimits ([8, Definition 6.1.1.2, Lemma 6.1.3.14]). So, taking homotopy pullbacks of the entire diagram along the base-point inclusion $* \rightarrow BG$ produces a new diagram whose homotopy colimit is $E_{\operatorname{com}}G$. Since the homotopy fiber of the inclusion $BA \rightarrow BG$ is the discrete space G/A , we get that

$$E_{\operatorname{com}}G \simeq \operatorname{hocolim}_{A \in \operatorname{Ab}(G)} G/A.$$

Next we need a description of the homotopy colimit of the functor $G/(-): \operatorname{Ab}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$. Thomason’s Theorem ([17]) says that $\operatorname{hocolim} G/(-)$ is the nerve of the Grothendieck construction or category of elements of $G/(-)$. It is straightforward from the definitions that the category of elements of $G/(-)$ is actually just the poset $\operatorname{AbCo}(G) := \{gA : A \in \operatorname{Ab}(G) \text{ and } g \in G\}$ of cosets of abelian subgroups of G ordered by inclusion. This discussion proves the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *For a discrete group G , we have $E_{\operatorname{com}}G \simeq |N(\operatorname{AbCo}(G))|$.*

This is already progress towards making $E_{\operatorname{com}}G$ smaller and more manageable. For example, when G is finite, the poset $\operatorname{AbCo}(G)$ is finite, and $|N(\operatorname{AbCo}(G))|$ is a finite simplicial complex. One immediate improvement is to use only the cosets for maximal abelian subgroups and their intersections.

Proposition 2. *Let $\operatorname{mAbCo}(G)$ be the subposet of $\operatorname{AbCo}(G)$ whose elements are cosets of arbitrary intersections of maximal abelian subgroups. Then there is a homotopy equivalence $|N(\operatorname{mAbCo}(G))| \simeq |N(\operatorname{AbCo}(G))|$.*

Proof. We apply Quillen’s Theorem A [13] which says, in the special case of an inclusion of posets, that $\operatorname{mAbCo}(G) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{AbCo}(G)$ induces a homotopy equivalence provided that for all $C \in \operatorname{AbCo}(G)$, the poset $P_C := \{C' \in \operatorname{mAbCo}(G) : C \subseteq C'\}$ has contractible nerve.

Let A be an abelian subgroup of G and let M be the intersection of all maximal abelian subgroups of G that contain A . Then if $M' \supseteq A$ is any intersection of some maximal abelian subgroups of G , we have $M \subseteq M'$. This implies that the poset P_{gA} has gM as least element, and therefore has contractible nerve. \square

But we can find an even smaller model, for which we will use the next definition.

Definition 3. Let G be a group. We say that a finite subset $S = \{s_0, \dots, s_n\}$ of G is *affinely commutative* if any of the following equivalent conditions hold:

1. The consecutive quotients $s_0^{-1}s_1, s_1^{-1}s_2, \dots, s_{n-1}^{-1}s_n$ pairwise commute.
2. $\langle s_i^{-1}s_j : s_i, s_j \in S \rangle$ is abelian.
3. S is contained in a single coset of some abelian subgroup of G .

We will use the Nerve Theorem to give a simpler description of $|N(\operatorname{AbCo}(G))|$. Recall the statement:

Theorem (The Nerve Theorem). *Let \mathcal{A} be a cover of a CW-complex X by subcomplexes. If every intersection of a finite non-empty subset of \mathcal{A} is either empty or contractible, then X is homotopy equivalent to the simplicial complex with vertices \mathcal{A} and simplices given by finite non-empty subsets of \mathcal{A} with non-empty intersection.*

For every $g \in G$, let $X_g = \{C \in \text{AbCo}(G) : g \in C\} = \{gA : A \in \text{Ab}(G)\}$; these sub-posets form a cover of $\text{AbCo}(G)$, so that the geometric realization of the nerves, $\{|N(X_g)| : g \in G\}$ is a cover by subcomplexes of $|N(\text{AbCo}(G))|$.

Lemma 4. *Let $S \subseteq G$ be a finite non-empty subset and $X_S = \bigcap_{s \in S} X_s$. Then*

1. X_S is nonempty if and only if S is affinely commutative;
2. When S is affinely commutative, $X_S = \{sA : \langle s^{-1}S \rangle \subseteq A \in \text{Ab}(G)\}$, for any $s \in S$. Moreover, in this case, $N(X_S) \simeq \text{pt}$.

Proof. A coset $C \in \text{AbCo}(G)$ belongs to X_S if and only if for each $s \in S$, we have $s \in C$. This shows that X_S is non-empty if and only if S is affinely commutative. Now let s be any element of S . If $S \subseteq C \in \text{AbCo}(G)$, then C is a coset of the abelian group $s^{-1}C$. Thus $S \subseteq C \iff s^{-1}S \subseteq s^{-1}C \iff \langle s^{-1}S \rangle \subseteq s^{-1}C$, which proves the formula for X_S in the affinely commutative case and also shows that X_S has the coset $s\langle s^{-1}S \rangle$ as a minimum element, making its nerve contractible. \square

Definition 5. For a group G , $\text{AfCom}(G)$ denotes the simplicial complex whose vertices are the elements of G and whose simplices are the affinely commutative subsets of G .

Remark 6. Since a subset of G is affinely commutative if and only if it is contained in a coset of an abelian subgroup of G , the maximal simplices in $\text{AfCom}(G)$ are precisely the cosets of the maximal abelian subgroups of G . Also, note that the 1-skeleton of $\text{AfCom}(G)$ is the complete graph with vertex set G , because every set of one or two elements is affinely commutative.

Proposition 7. *Let G be a discrete group. Then $E_{\text{com}}G \simeq |\text{AfCom}(G)|$.*

Proof. The Nerve Theorem and Lemma 4 imply that $N(\text{AbCo}(G))$ is homotopy equivalent to the simplicial complex whose vertices are the X_g and where $\{X_{g_0}, \dots, X_{g_n}\}$ is an n -simplex if and only if $X_{g_0} \cap \dots \cap X_{g_n} \neq \emptyset$, that is, if and only if $\{g_0, \dots, g_n\}$ is affinely commutative. \square

We can use the following standard presentation for the fundamental group of a simplicial complex to obtain a presentation of the fundamental group of $E_{\text{com}}G$.

Lemma 8. *Let K be a connected simplicial complex. Then the fundamental group of K has the following presentation where the generators correspond to edges of K and the relations to triangles in K :*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(K) = \langle x_{u,v} : \{u,v\} \in K \mid x_{v,v} = 1, x_{v,u} = x_{u,v}^{-1}, \\ x_{u,v}x_{v,w} = x_{u,w} \text{ for } \{u,v,w\} \in K \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 9. *For a discrete group G , $\pi_1(E_{\text{com}}G)$ has the following presentation with generators for all pairs of group elements and relations coming from affinely commutative triples:*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(E_{\text{com}}G) = \langle x_{g,h} : x_{g,g} = 1, x_{g,h} = x_{h,g}^{-1}, \text{ and } x_{g,h}x_{h,k} = x_{g,k} \\ \text{when } \{g,h,k\} \text{ is affinely commutative} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

To prove one of our main results we need the following technical and possibly surprising lemma.

Lemma 10. *Let $\{g,h,k\}$ be an affinely commutative triple. Then $[g,h][h,k] = [g,k]$.*

(Our convention for the commutator is that $[x,y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$.)

Proof. By hypothesis, $[g^{-1}h, h^{-1}k] = [h^{-1}g, k^{-1}h] = e$, and thus

$$[g,h][h,k] = g^{-1}(h^{-1}g)(k^{-1}h)k = g^{-1}(k^{-1}h)(h^{-1}g)k = g^{-1}k^{-1}gk.$$

\square

Theorem 1. *The map $\pi_1(E_{\text{com}}G) \rightarrow [G, G]$ generated by $x_{g,h} \mapsto [g, h]$ is a surjective homomorphism. In particular, G is abelian if and only if $\pi_1(E_{\text{com}}G) = 1$.*

Proof. We claim that $x_{g,h} \mapsto [g, h]$ is a well defined homomorphism. Lemma 10 says that the commutator preserves the relation $x_{g,h}x_{h,k} = x_{g,k}$ for an affine commutative triple g, h, k . The remaining relations are obviously preserved by the commutator. The image of this homomorphism includes all commutators, and thus it is surjective. \square

Remark 11. As a curiosity, we can use Theorem 1 to produce a statement that is equivalent to the Feit–Thompson Theorem. Let ϕ_G be the homomorphism between the abelianizations of $\pi_1(E_{\text{com}}G)$ and $[G, G]$ induced by the homomorphism appearing in Theorem 1. The Feit–Thompson Theorem is equivalent to the claim that ϕ_G is nonzero for all non-abelian groups G of odd order. Indeed, by Theorem 1, ϕ_G is always surjective, so if ϕ_G is ever zero for some G , then $[G, G]/[[G, G], [G, G]] = 1$ and thus G cannot be solvable. On the other hand, if ϕ_G is nonzero for all non-abelian groups of odd order, then $[G, G]/[[G, G], [G, G]] \neq 1$ for all non-abelian groups of odd order, so an easy induction argument proves they are all solvable.

2.1.1 The homotopy type of $E_{\text{com}}G$ for some finite groups G

A distinct advantage of the simplicial complex $\text{AfCom}(G)$ is its amenability to computer calculations, at least when G is a finite group. For example, one might ask when $E_{\text{com}}G$ is an Eilenberg–MacLane space for a finite group G , as the authors of [1] asked about $B_{\text{com}}G$. The first examples of non-Eilenberg–MacLane $B_{\text{com}}G$ were found by Okay [11, Section 8], who showed that for either of the extraspecial groups of order 32, one has $\pi_1(B_{\text{com}}G) \cong G \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $\pi_2(B_{\text{com}}G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{151}$ (!). In the course of working out that example, Okay shows that for those groups, $E_{\text{com}}G$ isn’t an Eilenberg–MacLane space either—instead it has π_1 and π_2 given by $\mathbb{Z}/2$ and \mathbb{Z}^{151} —and in fact he shows that there is a homotopy fiber sequence

$$\bigvee^{151} S^2 \rightarrow E_{\text{com}}G \rightarrow B(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1).$$

By using either the presentation of $\pi_1(E_{\text{com}}G)$ in Corollary 9 or even the definition of $\text{AfCom}(G)$ directly, we can easily find more groups for which $E_{\text{com}}G$ is not an Eilenberg–MacLane space. Indeed, if for a finite group G we see that $\pi_1(E_{\text{com}}G)$ has torsion, then $E_{\text{com}}G$ cannot be a $K(\pi, 1)$, since the torsion element would force it to have non-zero cohomology in arbitrarily high degrees but $\text{AfCom}(G)$ is a finite simplicial complex. Using SageMath [16], which has excellent support for finite simplicial complexes, one can define AfCom as follows:

```
abelian_cosets = lambda G: [C for H in G.subgroups() if H.is_abelian()
                             for C in G.cosets(H)]
AfCom = lambda G: SimplicialComplex(abelian_cosets(G), maximality_check=True)
```

After giving that definition, $\text{AfCom}(G).\text{fundamental_group}()$ produces an explicit presentation of $\pi_1(\text{AfCom}(G))$, and one can also compute $H_d(E_{\text{com}}G; \mathbb{Z})$ with $\text{AfCom}(G).\text{homology}(\text{dim}=d)$.

For example, inspecting the results of $\text{AfCom}(\text{SymmetricGroup}(5)).\text{fundamental_group}()$ shows that $\pi_1(E_{\text{com}}S_5)$ contains elements of order two, and thus $E_{\text{com}}S_5$ is not an Eilenberg–MacLane space. In this way, we also corroborated that $E_{\text{com}}G$ is not a $K(\pi, 1)$ when G is either of the extraspecial groups of order 32. Both the fundamental group and homology computations seem to be very memory intensive so this method doesn’t work in practice except for fairly small groups.

For groups with very few abelian subgroups, it is not too hard to compute the homotopy type of $E_{\text{com}}G$ by hand using either $|\text{AfCom}(G)|$ or $|N(\text{mAbCo}(G))|$. Here is an example of each.

Example 12. We have $E_{\text{com}}S_3 \simeq \bigvee^8 S^1$. Indeed, by Remark 6, $\text{AfCom}(S_3)$ has as 1-skeleton the complete graph on 6 vertices and beyond that has only two simplices, which are disjoint triangles corresponding to the two cosets of A_3 . The union of those two triangles and an edge connecting them is contractible, collapsing that subcomplex to a point leaves a wedge of 8 circles.

Example 13. Let Q_{2^n} be the generalized quaternion group of order 2^n . It is not hard to show that its maximal abelian subgroups are as follows: there is one cyclic subgroup M of order 2^{n-1} , and every $x \notin M$ generates a cyclic subgroup of order 4. The intersection of any two of these maximal abelian subgroups is the center Z of Q_{2^n} , which is of order 2. Each of those cyclic groups of order 4 is generated by two elements that do not belong to M : if x is a generator, then x^3 is the other. In particular, there are 2^{n-2} such maximal abelian subgroups of order 4.

This means that the poset $\text{mAbCo}(Q_{2^n})$ has rank 2, the minimal elements are the 2^{n-1} cosets of Z , and all other elements are maximal: the 2 cosets of M and the 2^{n-2} cosets of each of the 2^{n-2} subgroups of order 4 generated by an element not in M .

Because the poset $\text{mAbCo}(Q_{2^n})$ only has rank 2, its nerve is a graph, namely, the nerve is the same as the Hasse diagram, and thus $N(\text{mAbCo}(Q_{2^n}))$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of circles. The number of circles is given by $e - v + 1$, where v and e are the number of vertices and edges in the graph. We've listed the vertices, so we find that $v = 2^{n-1} + 2 + 2^{n-2} \cdot 2^{n-2} = 2^{2n-4} + 2^{n-1} + 2$. Now we count the edges: each coset of M contains 2^{n-2} cosets of Z , these provide $2 \cdot 2^{n-2}$ edges; each coset of a cyclic group of order 4 generated by an element not in M contains 2 cosets of Z , contributing a further $2^{n-2} \cdot 2^{n-2} \cdot 2$ edges. Thus we get a total of $e = 2^{2n-3} + 2^{n-1}$ edges, and therefore $E_{\text{com}}Q_{2^n}$ has the homotopy type of a wedge of $e - v + 1 = 2^{2n-4} - 1$ copies of S^1 .

2.2 The simplicial model

Let G be a topological group. First we recall the simplicial model for $E_{\text{com}}G$ given in [1]. For every n , $(E_{\text{com}}G)_n = G \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^n, G) \subseteq G^{n+1}$; the face maps are given by

$$d_i(g_0, \dots, g_n) = \begin{cases} (g_0, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_n) & i < n \\ (g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}) & i = n \end{cases}$$

and for every $0 \leq i \leq n$ the degeneracy maps are $s_i(g_0, \dots, g_n) = (g_0, \dots, g_i, e, g_{i+1}, \dots, g_n)$. Notice that this makes $(E_{\text{com}}G)_\bullet$ a simplicial subspace of the simplicial model for EG arising from the bar construction.

For every $n \geq 0$, consider the subspaces

$$\text{AfCom}_n(G) := \{(g_0, \dots, g_n) \text{ is affinely commutative}\} \subseteq G^{n+1}.$$

These spaces assemble into a simplicial space, $\text{AfCom}_\bullet(G)$, where the face maps are given by $d_i(g_0, \dots, g_n) = (g_0, \dots, \widehat{g}_i, \dots, g_n)$ and the degeneracy by $s_i(g_0, \dots, g_n) = (g_0, \dots, g_i, g_i, \dots, g_n)$.

Proposition 14. *Let G be a topological group. Then the simplicial spaces $(E_{\text{com}}G)_\bullet$ and $\text{AfCom}_\bullet(G)$ are isomorphic, in particular, $E_{\text{com}}G \cong |\text{AfCom}_\bullet(G)|$.*

Proof. Let \overline{G} denote the indiscrete category associated to G , that is, the space of objects is G and the space of morphisms is $G \times G$. Consider the nerve $N\overline{G}$. Since there is a unique morphism between every pair of objects in \overline{G} , an n -simplex in $N\overline{G}$ can be given simply by listing $n+1$ objects, (g_0, \dots, g_n) . There is a simplicial isomorphism $\varphi: N\overline{G} \rightarrow (EG)_\bullet$ given at each level n by

$$(g_0, \dots, g_n) \mapsto (g_0, g_0^{-1}g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}^{-1}g_n).$$

By definition of affine commutativity, $\varphi(\text{AfCom}_n(G)) = G \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^n, G)$, and thus $\text{AfCom}_\bullet(G)$ is a simplicial model for $E_{\text{com}}G$. \square

We now present an alternative proof of Proposition 14 for a discrete group G . First, for a simplicial complex K , we define the simplicial set $\text{Sing}(K)$ by setting

$$\text{Sing}(K)_n := \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in V(K)^{n+1} : \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \in K\},$$

and letting face and degeneracy maps be given by deleting and repeating the coordinates as usual. The notation is chosen by analogy with the singular simplicial set of a topological space. For a space X , the set of n -simplices $\text{Sing}(X)_n$ is the set of continuous maps $|\Delta^n| \rightarrow X$ from the topological n -simplex to X . Analogously, for a simplicial complex K , the set $\text{Sing}(K)_n$ can be described as consisting of simplicial maps from the simplicial complex Δ_{cx}^n (consisting of all subsets of a set with $n + 1$ elements) to K .

Proposition 15. *Let K be a simplicial complex. Then $|\text{Sing}(K)| \simeq |K|$.*

Remark 16. This homotopy equivalence is far from being a homeomorphism. For example, for any $n > 0$, $|\text{Sing}(\Delta_{\text{cx}}^n)|$ is homeomorphic to the infinite-dimensional sphere S^∞ .

Proposition 15 seems to be well-known—at least, both authors knew it and several algebraic topologists we asked knew it as well. However we were unable to locate a reference and thus decided to include a couple of proofs. But first, let’s point out how to get Proposition 14 from it.

Alternative proof of Proposition 14. The key observation is that $\text{AfCom}_\bullet(G) = \text{Sing}(\text{AfCom}(G))$. Then, $|\text{AfCom}_\bullet(G)| \simeq |\text{AfCom}(G)|$ by Proposition 15, and the result follows from Proposition 7. \square

In order to prove Proposition 15, let’s introduce another standard way of making a simplicial set from a simplicial complex. Pick an arbitrary total order \leq on the vertices of K (or even just a partial order whose restriction to each face of K is total). Then we can define $\text{Sing}_{\leq}(K)$ to be the simplicial subset of $\text{Sing}(K)$ given by

$$\text{Sing}_{\leq}(K)_n := \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in V(K)^{n+1} : \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \in K, x_0 \leq \dots \leq x_n\}.$$

It is well-known and easy to see that the geometric realization of $\text{Sing}_{\leq}(K)$ is *homeomorphic* to the geometric realization of K , so to prove Proposition 15 it suffices to prove that we have a homotopy equivalence $\text{Sing}_{\leq}(K) \simeq \text{Sing}(K)$. We feel the basic intuition behind Proposition 15 is the following easily-verified relationship between $\text{Sing}_{\leq}(K)$ and $\text{Sing}(K)$: to obtain $\text{Sing}(K)$ from $\text{Sing}_{\leq}(K)$ we replace each n -simplex with $\text{Sing}(\Delta_{\text{cx}}^n)$, or more precisely,

$$\text{Sing}_{\leq}(K) \cong \text{colim}_{\Delta^n \rightarrow \text{Sing}_{\leq}(K)} \Delta^n, \quad \text{while} \quad \text{Sing}(K) \cong \text{colim}_{\Delta^n \rightarrow \text{Sing}_{\leq}(K)} \text{Sing}(\Delta_{\text{cx}}^n).$$

If we knew both colimits were in fact homotopy colimits, then Proposition 15 would follow, since both Δ^n and $\text{Sing}(\Delta_{\text{cx}}^n)$ are contractible. For the first colimit this is a standard fact, and what our proofs do, morally, is to verify this for the second colimit.

Note that the simplicial sets $E[n] := \text{Sing}(\Delta_{\text{cx}}^n)$ depend functorially on $[n] \in \Delta$ and thus give a cosimplicial simplicial set $E: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{sSets}$. We can also describe $E[n]$ as the nerve of the indiscrete category with $n + 1$ objects (which already shows it is contractible), or as the 0-coskeleton of the discrete simplicial set with $n + 1$ vertices.

Proof of Proposition 15 using Reedy model structures. Let $\Delta: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{sSets}$ be the canonical cosimplicial simplicial set (the Yoneda embedding). In terms of the functor tensor product,

$$\otimes_{\Delta}: \text{Fun}(\Delta, \mathbf{sSets}) \times \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{sSets}) \rightarrow \mathbf{sSets},$$

we can write the above colimits as $\text{Sing}(K) \cong E \otimes_{\Delta} \text{Sing}_{\leq}(K)$ and, of course, $\text{Sing}_{\leq}(K) \cong \Delta \otimes_{\Delta} \text{Sing}_{\leq}(K)$, where we regard a simplicial set X as a functor $\Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSets}$, by regarding the set X_n of n -simplices as a discrete or constant simplicial set.

By [8, Proposition A.2.9.26], the functor tensor product is a left Quillen bifunctor when we equip \mathbf{sSets} with the Quillen model structure and both functor categories with the corresponding Reedy model structure. Recall that all bisimplicial sets are Reedy cofibrant, so for any simplicial set X , the functor $- \otimes_{\Delta} X$ is left Quillen.

Now, both Δ^n and $E[n]$ are contractible simplicial sets, so the inclusion $\Delta \rightarrow E$ is an object-wise weak equivalence. Thus, we need only check that both Δ and E are Reedy cofibrant to conclude from Ken Brown's lemma that the left Quillen functor $- \otimes_{\Delta} X$ will send the inclusion to a weak equivalence, as desired.

For Δ this is well known: the latching map $L_n \Delta \rightarrow \Delta^n$ is readily seen to be the inclusion $\partial \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^n$, a monomorphism and thus a cofibration in \mathbf{sSet} . The case of E is very similar. Indeed, the latching object is given by $L_n(E) = \operatorname{colim}_{[k] \hookrightarrow [n]} E[k]$, which one can check consists of all simplices of $E[n]$ that do not involve all $n + 1$ vertices, and the canonical map $L_n(E) \rightarrow E$ is then a monomorphism. \square

Andrea Gagna remarked on MathOverflow that $\operatorname{Sing}(K)$ seems intrinsically linked to symmetric simplicial sets and mentioned [6, §8.3]. From the results there one can easily obtain another proof.

Proof of Proposition 15 using symmetric simplicial sets. Let \mathfrak{F} be the category of finite non-empty sets and all functions between them. The category of symmetric simplicial sets is defined to be $\Sigma\mathbf{Set} := \operatorname{Fun}(\mathfrak{F}^{\operatorname{op}}, \mathbf{Set})$. There is an obvious functor $v : \Delta \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$, including monotone functions into all functions. That functor (thought of as a functor $\Delta^{\operatorname{op}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}^{\operatorname{op}}$) induces adjunctions $v_! \dashv v^* \dashv v_*$, where $v^* : \Sigma\mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ is precomposition with v and $v_!$ and v_* are left and right Kan extension along v .

We can use these functors to relate $\operatorname{Sing}(K)$ with $\operatorname{Sing}_{\leq}(K)$, namely, we have $v^* v_! \operatorname{Sing}_{\leq}(K) \cong \operatorname{Sing}(K)$. More generally, for any simplicial set X we have $v^* v_! X \cong E \otimes_{\Delta} X$. This is not hard to do directly for $\operatorname{Sing}_{\leq}(K)$. A slicker way is to notice that $E[n] \cong v^*(\Upsilon_n)$ where Υ_n is the representable symmetric simplicial set corresponding to $[n]$. Thus $E[n] \cong v^* v_! \Delta^n$ and since both v^* and $v_!$ are left adjoints we have

$$E \otimes_{\Delta} X \cong (v^* \circ v_! \circ \Delta) \otimes_{\Delta} X \cong v^* v_! (\Delta \otimes_{\Delta} X) \cong v^* v_! X.$$

Moreover, it is straightforward to check that the canonical map $X \rightarrow E \otimes_{\Delta} X$ used in the first proof corresponds to the unit $X \rightarrow v^* v_! X$ under the above isomorphism. It only remains to prove the unit is a weak equivalence.

In [6, §8.3], Cisinski proves there is a model structure on $\Sigma\mathbf{Set}$ for which $(v_!, v^*)$ is a Quillen equivalence in which v^* creates the weak equivalences (that is, a map f of symmetric simplicial sets is a weak equivalence if and only if $v^*(f)$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets). When a right Quillen functor creates weak equivalences, it is a right Quillen equivalence if and only if the components of the (underived) unit of the adjunction at cofibrant objects are weak equivalences. Since all simplicial sets are cofibrant, we obtain that $X \rightarrow v^* v_! X$ is a weak equivalence, as desired. \square

3 The commutator map $\mathbf{c} : E_{\operatorname{com}} G \rightarrow B[G, G]$

We now introduce our main tool for proving $E_{\operatorname{com}} G$ is not contractible for certain non-abelian groups: a map $\mathbf{c} : E_{\operatorname{com}} G \rightarrow B[G, G]$ which we will show is not nullhomotopic in some cases.

Recall the simplicial model of the classifying space BG given by the nerve NG , where G is thought of as a one-object category. The face maps $d_i : G^n \rightarrow G^{n-1}$ are given by multiplying two adjacent coordinates, except for d_0 and d_n which drop the first and last coordinate respectively. The degeneracy maps $s_i : G^n \rightarrow G^{n+1}$ are given by inserting the identity element in the i^{th} coordinate.

Lemma 17. *Let G be a topological group, and let $[G, G]$ be its commutator subgroup. Then the maps $\operatorname{AfCom}_n(G) \rightarrow [G, G]^n$ given by $(g_0, \dots, g_n) \mapsto ([g_0, g_1], \dots, [g_{n-1}, g_n])$ assemble to give a simplicial map $\mathbf{c}_{\bullet} : \operatorname{AfCom}_{\bullet}(G) \rightarrow N[G, G]$ which geometrically realizes to a map $\mathbf{c} : E_{\operatorname{com}} G \rightarrow B[G, G]$.*

Proof. We need to verify that the above maps commute with degeneracy and face maps. For the degeneracy maps and the cases $i = 0, 1$ of the face maps, this is immediate; the remaining cases follow from Lemma 10. \square

Remark 18. For a discrete group G , this map \mathbf{c} induces on fundamental groups the homomorphism of Theorem 1. Furthermore, when G is discrete, that homomorphism is all there is to this map: since $B[G, G] \simeq K([G, G], 1)$, the map $\mathbf{c}: E_{\text{com}}G \rightarrow B[G, G]$ automatically factors through the first Postnikov section of $E_{\text{com}}G$, namely, $B\pi_1(E_{\text{com}}G)$. However, if G is not discrete, the map \mathbf{c} does not in general factor through $B\pi_1(E_{\text{com}}G)$. For example, Theorem 4 and Proposition 24 together imply that $\mathbf{c}: E_{\text{com}}O(n) \rightarrow BSO(n)$ is nonzero on π_2 for $n \geq 2$; and Lemma 21 says that $\mathbf{c}: E_{\text{com}}SU(2) \rightarrow BSU(2)$ is nonzero on π_7 .

3.1 The map \mathbf{c} for compact connected Lie groups

The simplicial models for $E_{\text{com}}G$ and EG have the same 1-skeleton, so that the first terms of the skeletal filtrations of their realizations are the same, $F_1E_{\text{com}}G = F_1EG$. Now, recall that $F_1EG \simeq G * G \simeq \Sigma(G \wedge G)$, and that $F_1BG = \Sigma G$. Let $c: G \times G \rightarrow [G, G]$ denote the commutator map. Since $[x, y] = 1$ if either $x = 1$ or $y = 1$, it follows that c factors through a map $\tilde{c}: G \wedge G \rightarrow [G, G]$ (which is called the *reduced commutator*). Then we have a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{\text{com}}G & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{c}} & B[G, G] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \Sigma(G \wedge G) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma\tilde{c}} & \Sigma[G, G]. \end{array} \quad (2)$$

The following classical theorem is the key ingredient to prove one of our main results.

Theorem 2 (Araki, James, Thomas [5]). *Let G be a compact connected Lie group. Then $c: G \times G \rightarrow G$ is nullhomotopic if and only if G is abelian.*

Theorem 3. *Let G be a compact connected Lie group. Then the map $\mathbf{c}: E_{\text{com}}G \rightarrow B[G, G]$ is nullhomotopic if and only if G is abelian. In particular, $E_{\text{com}}G$ is contractible if and only if G is abelian.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathbf{c}: E_{\text{com}}G \rightarrow B[G, G]$ is nullhomotopic. Diagram (2) implies that the composite $\Sigma(G \wedge G) \xrightarrow{\Sigma\tilde{c}} \Sigma[G, G] \rightarrow B[G, G]$ is nullhomotopic as well. Then the adjoint map

$$G \wedge G \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} [G, G] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega B[G, G]$$

is also nullhomotopic, and therefore \tilde{c} is nullhomotopic. Since the commutator $c: G \times G \rightarrow G$ factors through \tilde{c} , it is nullhomotopic as well. The result now follows from Theorem 2. \square

A similar result was proven by Adem and Gómez [2, Corollary 7.5] for a smaller variant of $E_{\text{com}}G$: in the first model for $E_{\text{com}}G$ that we described, one can consider at each level the connected component of the trivial homomorphism in $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^n, G)$ and denote it by $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^n, G)_1$. Then $E_{\text{com}}G_1 = |G \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^\bullet, G)_1|$. Now we can expand on their result (which is the equivalence of the last three parts):

Corollary 19. *Let G be a compact connected Lie group. The following are equivalent:*

1. $E_{\text{com}}G$ is contractible;
2. $E_{\text{com}}G_1$ is contractible;

ϕ_3^* must hit a generator in $\mathbb{Z}/4$ — otherwise $(\Sigma\eta)^*$ would be 0. Therefore the composite $\Sigma^3\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2 \xrightarrow{\phi_3} S^3 \xrightarrow{2} S^3$ is nonzero on \widetilde{KO}^3 , making $2\phi_3$ non-nullhomotopic.

We now have that the homotopy class of the adjoint of \mathfrak{c} is represented by a nonzero element in $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$. We claim that the component in \mathbb{Z} is non-zero.

Lemma 21. *The following composite is a generator of $\pi_7(BSU(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/12$:*

$$S^7 \simeq F_1 E_{\text{com}} SU(2) \rightarrow E_{\text{com}} SU(2) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}} BSU(2).$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{c}: E_{\text{com}} SU(2) \rightarrow BSU(2)$ is surjective on π_7 .

Proof. To see this, notice that in this case diagram (2) reads as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{\text{com}} SU(2) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}} & BSU(2) \\ \uparrow j & & \uparrow i \\ S^7 & \xrightarrow{\Sigma \tilde{c}} & S^4 \end{array}$$

It is known that $[\tilde{c}] \in \pi_6(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}/12$ is a generator (see [7, Section 9]). Armed with prior knowledge that $\pi_7(S^4) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/12$, an inspection of the long exact sequence of homotopy groups of the Hopf fibration $S^3 \rightarrow S^7 \rightarrow S^4$, shows there is a splitting $\pi_7(S^4) = \pi_7(S^7) \oplus \langle [\Sigma \tilde{c}] \rangle$. Using again the long exact sequence of homotopy groups but now for the delooping $S^7 \rightarrow S^4 \xrightarrow{i} BSU(2)$ we see that i_* is surjective on π_7 and that $\ker i_* = \pi_7(S^7)$. Therefore $\pi_7(BSU(2)) = \langle [i \circ \Sigma \tilde{c}] \rangle$, and by commutativity of diagram (2) the lemma follows. \square

Corollary 22. *The \mathbb{Z} -component of the element in $\pi^3(\Sigma^3\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$ corresponding to the adjoint of \mathfrak{c} is not a multiple of 3.*

Proof. Lemma 21 shows the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4 \cong [E_{\text{com}} SU(2), BSU(2)] \xrightarrow{j^*} \pi_7(BSU(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/12$ maps $[\mathfrak{c}]$ to a generator in $\mathbb{Z}/12$ and is therefore surjective. Since the image of the $\mathbb{Z}/4$ summand is necessarily contained in $3\mathbb{Z}/12$, if the component in \mathbb{Z} of the adjoint of \mathfrak{c} were a multiple of 3, then j^* would have image contained in $3\mathbb{Z}/12$ and could not be surjective. \square

Question. Explicitly, which element of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$ represents the homotopy class of the commutator map $\mathfrak{c}: E_{\text{com}} SU(2) \rightarrow BSU(2)$?

Remark 23. The case of $G = U(2)$ is not really different from that of $SU(2)$, namely, the inclusion $SU(2) \hookrightarrow U(2)$ induces a homotopy equivalence $E_{\text{com}} SU(2) \simeq E_{\text{com}} U(2)$ and both groups have $SU(2)$ as commutator group; furthermore, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{\text{com}} U(2) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}} & BSU(2) \\ \simeq \uparrow & & \uparrow \simeq \\ E_{\text{com}} SU(2) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}} & BSU(2). \end{array}$$

3.3 The case $G = O(n)$

We first study the case of the simplest non-abelian disconnected Lie group $G = O(2)$. This is a natural destination after dealing with the cases of discrete groups and of compact connected groups: since $O(2)$ has the feature that both $\pi_0(O(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ and the connected component of the identity, $O(2)_0 = SO(2)$, are abelian, we think of $O(2)$ as a small example of a compact Lie group G whose non-abelianness comes from the interaction of $\pi_0(G)$ with G_0 and not from either

group on its own. We have $[O(2), O(2)] = SO(2)$, and thus a map $\mathbf{c}: E_{\text{com}}O(2) \rightarrow BSO(2)$. It was shown in [4] that $E_{\text{com}}O(2) \simeq \Sigma(S^1 \times S^1)$, and thus the adjoint of \mathbf{c} up to homotopy is a map $S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow \Omega BSO(2) \simeq S^1$.

Theorem 4. *The adjoint of the map $\mathbf{c}: E_{\text{com}}O(2) \rightarrow BSO(2)$ is homotopic to the product in S^1 or its inverse.*

To see this it will be enough to show that the induced morphism on $H_2 = H_2(-; \mathbb{Z})$, or more precisely the composite $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong H_2(E_{\text{com}}O(2)) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{c}_*} H_2(BSO(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, is given by plus or minus the sum. Indeed the homotopy class of the adjoint map $\mathbf{c}^\dagger: S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow SO(2)$ is determined by its action on H_1 , and we can recover \mathbf{c} up to homotopy as the composite $E_{\text{com}}O(2) \xrightarrow{\Sigma \mathbf{c}^\dagger} \Sigma SO(2) \rightarrow BSO(2)$ —in which the map $\Sigma SO(2) \rightarrow BSO(2)$ is an H_2 -isomorphism.

For the homology calculation, we study the reduced commutator $\tilde{c}: O(2) \wedge O(2) \rightarrow SO(2)$. Let us write $O(2) = SO(2) \sqcup A SO(2)$, where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $O(2) \wedge O(2)$ is given by:

$$\left((SO(2) \wedge SO(2)) \vee (SO(2) \wedge A SO(2)_+) \vee (A SO(2)_+ \wedge SO(2)) \right) \sqcup (A SO(2) \times A SO(2)).$$

Now, $SO(2) \wedge A SO(2)_+ \simeq S^2 \vee S^1$ in such a way that the inclusion $S^1 \hookrightarrow S^2 \vee S^1$ is homotopic to $S^1 \simeq SO(2) \times \{A\} \hookrightarrow SO(2) \wedge A SO(2)_+$. To describe the suspension of $O(2) \wedge O(2)$, recall that $\Sigma(X \sqcup Y) \simeq \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y \vee S^1$ and $\Sigma(X \times Y) \simeq \Sigma X \wedge Y \vee \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y$. All together, we have $\Sigma O(2) \wedge O(2) \simeq (S^3)^{\vee 4} \vee (S^2)^{\vee 4} \vee S^1$. The four copies of S^2 are given by

$$\Sigma(SO(2) \times \{A\}) \vee \Sigma(\{A\} \times SO(2)) \vee \Sigma(A SO(2) \times \{A\}) \vee \Sigma(\{A\} \times A SO(2)),$$

where the last two correspond to the 2-cells of $\Sigma(A SO(2) \times A SO(2))$. Then $H_2(\Sigma(O(2) \wedge O(2))) = \mathbb{Z}^4$.

We want to understand the effect of the map $\Sigma \tilde{c}$ on H_2 . To do this, let $R_\theta \in SO(2)$ denote rotation by θ , so that the elements in $A SO(2)$ can be written as AR_θ . One can readily check the following identities:

- $[AR_\theta, R_\tau] = R_{2\tau}$
- $[R_\theta, AR_\tau] = R_{-2\theta}$
- $[AR_\theta, AR_\tau] = R_{2(\tau-\theta)}$

The above identities imply that $\Sigma \tilde{c}_*: H_2(\Sigma(O(2) \wedge O(2))) \rightarrow H_2(\Sigma SO(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ maps the fundamental class of each of those four copies of S^2 to ± 2 . The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_2(E_{\text{com}}O(2)) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{c}_*} & H_2(BSO(2)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong \\ H_2(\Sigma(O(2) \wedge O(2))) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma \tilde{c}_*} & H_2(\Sigma SO(2)) \end{array} \quad (3)$$

then implies that $2\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \text{im } \mathbf{c}_*$.

Recall that Ganea's Lemma says that the homotopy fiber of the inclusion $\Sigma G \rightarrow BG$ is the join $G * \Omega BG \simeq G * G \simeq \Sigma(G \wedge G)$. Moreover, the inclusion factors as $\Sigma G \rightarrow B_{\text{com}}G \rightarrow BG$, which

induces a map of homotopy fiber sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma(G \wedge G) & \longrightarrow & E_{\text{com}}G \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Sigma G & \longrightarrow & B_{\text{com}}G \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
BG & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & BG.
\end{array}$$

We may conclude that the map between the fibers is equivariant with respect to the monodromy action. For $G = O(2)$, it was shown in [4, Corollary 7.3] that the action of $\pi_1(BO(2)) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ on $H_2(E_{\text{com}}O(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ swaps and negates both entries. The corresponding action on $\Sigma(O(2) \wedge O(2))$ can be deduced from the $O(2)$ -conjugation action on the simplicial model of $EO(2)$. Since the action factors through $\pi_0(O(2)) = \mathbb{Z}/2$, we only need to know the action of conjugation by the matrix A on $O(2)$. For rotations R_θ , we have $AR_\theta A = R_{-\theta}$ and for reflections AR_θ , we have $A(AR_\theta)A = AR_{-\theta}$. By the above description of the generators in $H_2(\Sigma(O(2) \wedge O(2)))$, we see that the monodromy action negates each of the four generators.

Proof of Theorem 4. The commutative square (3) is equivariant for the action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ given by conjugating by A . By the description of the action given above, we conclude that the image of $H_2(\Sigma(O(2) \wedge O(2))) \rightarrow H_2(E_{\text{com}}O(2)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is contained in the diagonal of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$; and that the composite $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong H_2(E_{\text{com}}O(2)) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}_*} H_2(BSO(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is given by $(u, v) \mapsto k(u + v)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, the image of the diagonal under \mathfrak{c}_* is $2k\mathbb{Z}$. This shows that following the top path of diagram (3), the image is $2k\mathbb{Z}$. We have already shown that following the bottom path of (3), the image is $2\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $k = \pm 1$, as desired. \square

Proposition 24. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then $\mathfrak{c}: E_{\text{com}}O(n) \rightarrow BSO(n)$ is 3-connected.*

Proof. First we show that the map induces an isomorphism on π_2 . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
E_{\text{com}}O(n) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}} & BSO(n) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
E_{\text{com}}O(2) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}} & BSO(2)
\end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are induced by the inclusions $O(2) \rightarrow O(n)$ and $SO(2) \rightarrow SO(n)$.

Let's identify π_2 of all these spaces. For $E_{\text{com}}O(2) \simeq \Sigma(S^1 \times S^1)$, we have $\pi_2(E_{\text{com}}O(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$; the groups $\pi_2(BSO(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_2(BSO(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ are well-known. The fact that $\pi_2(E_{\text{com}}O(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ is implicit in the literature. Indeed, in [1, Theorem 6.3 and Remark] it is proved that for every $k \geq 2$, $\pi_k(B_{\text{com}}G)$ has a splitting as $\pi_k(E_{\text{com}}G) \oplus \pi_k(BG)$, induced by a homotopy section of the fibration $\Omega E_{\text{com}}G \rightarrow \Omega B_{\text{com}}G \rightarrow \Omega BG$. And it is shown in [14, Proposition 4.4] that for $n \geq 3$, we have $\pi_2(B_{\text{com}}O(n)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$, which then implies that $\pi_2(E_{\text{com}}O(n)) = \mathbb{Z}/2$.

Because $\pi_2(E_{\text{com}}O(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \pi_2(BSO(n))$, \mathfrak{c}_* can only be zero or an isomorphism. From Theorem 4 we see that the composite starting with the bottom horizontal arrow is surjective on π_2 , so \mathfrak{c}_* must be an isomorphism.

Next we deal with π_1 and π_3 . First, $E_{\text{com}}O(n)$ is simply connected by [3, Lemma 4.3], since any reflection in $O(n)$ induces a section of $O(n) \rightarrow \pi_0(O(n)) = \mathbb{Z}/2$. Finally, we have a surjection on π_3 since $\pi_3(BSO(n)) = 0$. \square

References

- [1] A. Adem, F. Cohen and E. Torres-Giese. Commuting elements, simplicial spaces and filtrations of classifying spaces. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **152** (2012), 91–114.
- [2] A. Adem and J. M. Gómez. A classifying space for commutativity in Lie groups. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **15** (2015) 493–535.
- [3] A. Adem, J. M. Gómez, J. Lind and U. Tillman. Infinite loop spaces and nilpotent K-theory. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **17** (2017) 869–893.
- [4] O. Antolín-Camarena, S. Gritschacher and B. Villarreal. Classifying spaces for commutativity of low-dimensional Lie groups. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, Published online (2019) doi:10.1017/S0305004119000240.
- [5] S. Araki, I. M. James and E. Thomas. Homotopy-abelian Lie groups. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **66** (1960), no. 4, 324–326.
- [6] D. C. Cisinski. *Les préfaisceaux comme modèles des types d'homotopie*. Société Mathématique de France, 2006.
- [7] I. M. James, On H -spaces and their homotopy groups. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* **11** (1960), no. 1, 161–179.
- [8] J. Lurie. *Higher Topos Theory* (AM-170) **189**. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [9] J. Mukai. A characterization of the Kahn-Priddy map. Homotopy theory and related topics (Kyoto, 1984), 287–291, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **9**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [10] J. Mukai. On the stable homotopy of the real projective space of even low dimension. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **22** (1986), no. 1, 81–95.
- [11] C. Okay. Homotopy colimits of classifying spaces of abelian subgroups of a finite group. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, **14** (2014), 2223–2257.
- [12] A. Pettet and J. Suoto. Commuting tuples in reductive groups and their maximal compact subgroups. *Geom. Topol.*, **17** (2013), no. 5, 2513–2593.
- [13] D. Quillen. Higher algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories. *Lect. Notes in Math.* **341** (1972), 85–147.
- [14] D. Ramras and B. Villarreal. Commutative cocycles and stable bundles over surfaces. *Forum Math.* Published online (2019) doi:10.1515/forum-2018-0163.
- [15] E. Riehl, D. Verity. The theory and practice of Reedy categories. *Theory and Applications of Categories* **29** (2014), no. 9, 256–301.
- [16] SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 8.7), The Sage Developers (2019), <https://www.sagemath.org>.
- [17] R. W. Thomason. Homotopy colimits in the category of small categories. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **85** (1979), no. 1, 91–109.

OMAR ANTOLÍN CAMARENA
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNAM, MEXICO CITY
E-mail address: omar@matem.unam.mx

BERNARDO VILLARREAL
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNAM, MEXICO CITY
E-mail address: villarreal@matem.unam.mx