## A SPINE FOR THE DECORATED TEICHMÜLLER SPACE OF A PUNCTURED NON-ORIENTABLE SURFACE

## NESTOR COLIN, RITA JIMÉNEZ ROLLAND, PORFIRIO L. LEÓN ÁLVAREZ, AND LUIS JORGE SÁNCHEZ SALDAÑA

ABSTRACT. Building on work of Harer [Har86], we construct a *spine* for the decorated Teichmüller space of a non-orientable surface with at least one puncture and negative Euler characteristic. We compute its dimension, and show that the deformation retraction onto this spine is equivariant with respect to the pure mapping class group of the non-orientable surface. As a consequence, we obtain a model for the classifying space for proper actions of the pure mapping class group of a punctured non-orientable surface, which is of minimal dimension in the case there is a single puncture.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Sigma_g$  be a possibly non-orientable closed connected surface of genus g and consider a collection  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$  of distinguished distinct points in  $\Sigma_g$ . The mapping class group  $\operatorname{Mod}(\Sigma_g^s)$  is the group of isotopy classes of all (just orientation-preserving if  $\Sigma_g$  is orientable) diffeomorphisms  $f: \Sigma_g^s \to \Sigma_g^s$  where  $\Sigma_g^s := \Sigma_g - \{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$ . The group  $\operatorname{Mod}(\Sigma_g^s)$  permutes the set  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$  and the kernel of such action is the *pure mapping class group*  $\operatorname{PMod}(\Sigma_g^s)$ . These groups are related by the following short exact sequence

$$1 \to \operatorname{PMod}(\Sigma_a^s) \to \operatorname{Mod}(\Sigma_a^s) \to \mathfrak{S}_s \to 1,$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}_s$  denotes the symmetric group on s letters. The group  $\operatorname{Mod}(\Sigma_g^s)$  is virtually torsion free, and its virtual cohomological dimension (vcd) was computed by Harer [Har86, Theorem 4.1] for orientable surfaces, and by Ivanov [Iva87, Theorem 6.9] for non-orientable surfaces. We use the notation  $F_g$  if the surface  $\Sigma_g$  is orientable and  $N_g$  if it is non-orientable. The group  $\operatorname{Mod}(F_g^s)$  is an index 2 subgroup of the *extended mapping class group*  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_g^s)$  of isotopy classes of all diffeomorphisms of  $F_g^s$ .

Take  $\Delta = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$  a subset of marked points in  $F_g$ , where  $1 \leq m \leq s$ . In [Har86] Harer explicitly described a cell complex  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_g^{s,m}$  inside the decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$ onto which  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$  may be  $\text{PMod}(F_g^s)$ -equivariantly retracted. The complex  $\mathcal{Y}$  is often called Harer's spine, and when m = 1 it gives a spine for the Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s)$  of equivalence classes of marked Riemann surfaces. It is used in Harer's computation [Har86, Theorem 4.1] of vcd(PMod\_g^s), and it plays a fundamental role in Harer–Zagier's computation [HZ86] of the Euler characteristic of the moduli space of curves. Moreover, it gives a model for  $\underline{E} \operatorname{PMod}(F_g^s)$ , the classifying space for proper actions of  $\operatorname{PMod}(F_g^s)$ , which is of minimal dimension when s = 1; see for instance [CRAS25, Introduction].

In this paper, we consider a non-orientable surface  $N_{g+1}^n$  of genus g+1 with  $n \ge 1$  punctures. We take  $1 \le \ell \le n$ , s = 2n,  $m = 2\ell$ , and the orientable double cover  $\pi : F_g \to N_{g+1}$ , where the group of covering transformations is generated by an orientation reversing diffeomorphism  $\sigma: F_g \to F_g$  such that  $\sigma(p_{2i}) = p_{2i-1}$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . The set  $\pi(\Delta)$  consists of  $\ell$  marked points in  $N_{g+1}$ . Building on the work of Harer, and by identifying the decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$  of the non-orientable surface with a subspace of the decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^n; \Delta)$  of its orientable double cover, we construct a spine for  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$ : a cell complex

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 57K20, 55R35, 20J05, 57M07, 57M50, 57M60.

Key words and phrases. Mapping class groups, Teichmüller space, spines, classifying spaces for proper actions, non-orientable surfaces.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{g+1}^{n,\ell}$  inside the decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$  onto which  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$  may be  $\operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)$ -equivariantly retracted. Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$  and g + n > 1. There exists a  $\operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)$ -equivariant spine  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{g+1}^{n,\ell}$  for the decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$  of dimension

$$\dim(\mathcal{Z}) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{vcd}(\operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)) + \ell, & \text{if } \ell < n, \\ \operatorname{vcd}(\operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)) + (\ell - 1), & \text{if } \ell = n. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the spine  $\mathcal{Z}$  gives a model for the classifying space for proper actions of  $\operatorname{PMod}(N_{a+1}^n)$ .

When  $\ell = 1$ , the decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$  coincides with the Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n)$  of equivalence classes of marked Klein surfaces. In this case, our Theorem 1.1 specializes to the following result.

**Corollary 1.2** (A spine for Teichmüller space of a non-orientable surface with punctures). Let  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$  and g + n > 1. There exists a  $\operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)$ -equivariant spine  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{g+1}^{n,1}$  for Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n)$  of dimension

$$\dim(\mathcal{Z}) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{vcd}(\operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)) + 1, & \text{if } n > 1, \\ \operatorname{vcd}(\operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)), & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a model for the classifying space for proper actions of  $\operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)$ , which is of minimal dimension when n = 1.

Recall that the proper geometric dimension  $\underline{\mathrm{gd}}(G)$  of a group G is defined as the minimum integer n such that there is a model for  $\underline{E}G$  of dimension n. Our Corollary 1.2, combined with arguments in the same lines as [CRAS25, Corollary 3.5], computes the proper geometric dimension of  $\mathrm{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)$ , answering a question posed by Hidber, Sánchez Saldaña and Trujillo-Negrete [HSSnTN22, Question 7.2].

**Corollary 1.3.** For all  $n \ge 1$  and g + n > 1, the proper geometric dimension of  $\operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)$  is equal to its virtual cohomological dimension  $\operatorname{vcd}(\operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n))$ .

Our main result Theorem 1.1 follows from Corollary 3.11, which proves the existence of an equivariant spine; Theorem 4.7 where its dimension is computed, and Corollary 5.4 which proves that the spine gives a model for the classifying space for proper actions.

Outline of the paper and the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 2 we recall relevant definitions and introduce the notation that will be used through the paper. We first describe how the decorated Teichmüller of a non-orientable surface is related to the decorated Teichmüller of its orientable double cover. By [CX24, Theorem 4.3], the orientable double cover  $\pi$  identifies the Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n)$  of a non-orientable surface with the subspace of fixed points of the involution  $\sigma$  acting on the Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s)$  of its orientable double cover. We use this to show, in Proposition 2.6, that the double cover  $\pi$  also induces an equivariant homeomorphism between  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$  and the subspace  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)^{\sigma}$  of  $\sigma$ -fixed points.

To construct the spine  $\mathcal{Z}$ , we first recall in Section 3 an ideal triangulation  $\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_{\infty}$  of the decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$  introduced in [Har86, Section 1]. It is defined as a subspace of  $\mathcal{A}^0$ , the barycentric subdivision of a simplicial complex of arcs in the surface  $F_g$ . By considering the set of fixed points under the action of  $\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_{\infty}$ , we obtain in Corollary 3.6 an ideal triangulation for  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$ . In Section 3.3 we review the definition of Harer's spine  $\mathcal{Y}$  from [Har86, Section 2]. We observe that Harer's deformation retraction from  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$  onto  $\mathcal{Y}$  is actually equivariant with respect to the subgroup of the extended mapping class group generated by  $\sigma$  and the mapping classes that preserve the set  $\Delta$ . From this, we deduce in Corollary 3.11 that the set of  $\sigma$ -fixed points  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$  gives a PMod $(N_{g+1}^n)$ -equivariant spine for  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$ . We compute the dimension of this spine in Section 4 to obtain Theorem 4.7. This is done by following the approach in the proof of [CRAS25, Theorem 1], and by studying properties of  $\sigma$ -invariant arcs systems. Finally, in Section 5 we show how the decorated Teichmüller spaces, and the spine that we have constructed, give models for classifying spaces for proper actions of pure mapping class groups.

Acknowledgments. The first author was funded by SECIHTI through the program *Estancias Posdoctorales por México*. The third author's work was supported by UNAM *Posdoctoral Program (POSDOC)*. All authors are grateful for the financial support of DGAPA-UNAM grant PAPIIT IA106923.

### 2. Decorated Teichmüller spaces and the orientable double cover

In this section we introduce the notation that is used for the rest of the paper, and we describe how the decorated Teichmüller of a non-orientable surface is related to the decorated Teichmüller of its orientable double cover.

Let  $\Sigma_g$  be a (possibly non-orientable) closed connected surface of genus g, and consider a set  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$  of distinguished distinct points on  $\Sigma_g$ . We use the notation  $N_g$  if the surface  $\Sigma_g$  is non-orientable, and  $F_g$  if it is orientable. In the latter case we take  $F_g$  oriented.

Let  $\operatorname{Diff}(\Sigma_g^s)$  denote the group of diffeomorphisms of  $\Sigma_g$  that preserve the distinguished points setwise, and let  $\operatorname{Diff}_0(\Sigma_g^s)$  be the subgroup  $\operatorname{Diff}(\Sigma_g)$  of all diffeomorphisms isotopic to the identity rel  $\{p_i\}$ . Note that the *extended mapping class group*  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(\Sigma_g^s)$  is isomorphic to the quotient  $\operatorname{Diff}(\Sigma_g^s)/\operatorname{Diff}_0(\Sigma_g^s)$ . When the surface  $\Sigma_g$  is non-orientable we omit  $\pm$  from the notation, and if s = 0 we remove it as well.

2.1. Decorated Teichmüller spaces for orientable and non-orientable surfaces. The Teichmüller space of an orientable surface can be defined in several equivalent ways. We recall here the definition from [Har88, Chapter 1] used in [Har86]. See also [CX24, Sections 2 and 4].

A marked Riemann surface is a triple  $(R, (q_1, \ldots, q_s), [f])$  where R is a Riemann surface,  $q_1, \ldots, q_s$  are distinct ordered points on R, and the marking [f] is the isotopy class of an orientation preserving homeomorphism  $f : R \to F_g$  with  $f(q_i) = p_i$  for all i. Here [f] denotes the isotopy class of f rel  $\{q_i\}$ . We denote the set of marked Riemann surfaces by  $\mathcal{M}(F_g^s)$ .

There is an action of  $\operatorname{Diff}(F_g^s)$  on  $\mathcal{M}(F_g^s)$  given as follows:

(1) 
$$h \cdot (R, \{q_1, \dots, q_s\}, [f]) = \begin{cases} (R, (q_{\eta(1)}, \dots, q_{\eta(s)}), [h \circ f]) & \text{if } h \text{ preserves the orientation,} \\ (R^*, (q_{\eta(1)}, \dots, q_{\eta(s)}), [h \circ f]) & \text{if } h \text{ reverses the orientation,} \end{cases}$$

where  $h \in \text{Diff}(F_g^s)$ , and  $\eta \in \mathfrak{S}_s$  is the inverse of the permutation given by the action of h on the set of distinguished points  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$  on  $F_g$ . We denote by  $R^*$  the *mirror image* of the Riemann surface R, see for instance [Str67, Section 1.3]. See also [AS60, Section II.3E], where  $R^*$  is called the *conjugate* surface of R.

Two marked Riemann surfaces  $(R_1, (q_1^1, \ldots, q_s^1), [f_1])$  and  $(R_2, (q_1^2, \ldots, q_s^2), [f_2])$  are equivalent if there is a diffeomorphism  $h \in \text{Diff}_0(F_g^s)$ , such that  $(f_2^{-1} \circ h \circ f_1) : R_1 \to R_2$  is analytic.

The space  $\mathcal{M}(F_g^s)/\operatorname{Diff}_0(F_g^s)$  of equivalence classes of marked Riemann surfaces, denoted by  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s)$ , is the Teichmüller space of an orientable surface of genus g with s distinguished points. It is known that  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{6g-6+2s}$ ; see for instance [Hub06, Proposition 7.1.1 & Theorem 7.6.3]. Furthermore, the action of  $\operatorname{Diff}(F_g^s)$  on  $\mathcal{M}(F_g^s)$ , induces an action of the extended mapping class group  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_g^s)$  on  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s)$ .

On the other hand, every non-orientable surface  $N_g$  can be endowed with a *Klein surface* structure (i.e. a dianalytic structure), and the definition of Teichmüller space naturally extends to the non-orientable setting; see also [GHJ01, Section 2.1] and [CX24, Section 4]. A marked *Klein surface* is a triple  $(R, (q_1, \ldots, q_s), [f])$ , where R is now a Klein surface and the marking [f] is the isotopy of a homeomorphism  $f: R \to N_q$  with  $f(q_i) = p_i$  for all i. We define the equivalence of two marked Klein surfaces in a similar way than for marked Riemann surfaces, but we now require that  $f_2^{-1} \circ h \circ f_1$  is a *dianalitic* homeomorphism.

The Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_g^s)$  of a non-orientable surface of genus g with s distinguished points is the space  $\mathcal{M}(N_g^s)/\operatorname{Diff}_0(N_g^s)$  of equivalence classes of marked Klein surfaces. Analogously as in the orientable setting, the mapping class group  $\operatorname{Mod}(N_g^s)$  acts on  $\mathscr{T}(N_g^s)$ , and the space  $\mathscr{T}(N_g^s)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{3g-6+2s}$ ; see [SS92, Theorem 5.11.4] and [PP16, Theorem 2.2].

**Remark 2.1.** To simplify the exposition we follow the notation used in [Har86] and refer to the equivalence class of a marked Riemann or Klein surface  $(R, (q_1, \ldots, q_s), [f])$  simply as R.

We now let  $1 \leq m \leq s$ , and from the collection  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$  of distinguished points in  $\Sigma_g$ , take the subset  $\Delta = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$  of marked points. As before, we let  $\Sigma_g^s := \Sigma_g - \{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$ , and take  $\Sigma_0 := \Sigma_g - P$ , where  $P = \{p_{m+1}, \ldots, p_s\}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** The decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(\Sigma_g^s; \Delta)$  is the space of all pairs  $(R, \lambda)$ , where  $R \in \mathscr{T}(\Sigma_g^s)$  and  $\lambda = [\lambda_1 : \lambda_2 : \ldots : \lambda_m]$  is a projective class of a collection of positive weights on the *m* points of  $\Delta$ .

Topologically  $\mathscr{T}(\Sigma_g^s; \Delta)$  is homeomorphic to the product of  $\mathscr{T}(\Sigma_g^s)$  and an open simplex  $\mathbf{K}^{m-1}$  of dimension m-1. Therefore, dim  $\mathscr{T}(\Sigma_g^s; \Delta) = \dim \mathscr{T}(\Sigma_g^s) + m - 1$ . In particular,  $\mathscr{T}(\Sigma_g^s; \Delta)$  is just the Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(\Sigma_g^s)$  when m = 1.

Notation 2.3. We denote by  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(\Sigma_0, \Delta)$  the group of isotopy classes of all diffeomorphisms  $f: \Sigma_0 \to \Sigma_0$  that preserve the set  $\Delta$  of marked points in  $\Sigma_0$ . Notice that  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(\Sigma_0, \Delta)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(\Sigma_g^s)$  that contains  $\operatorname{PMod}^{\pm}(\Sigma_g^s)$ . When  $\Sigma_g$  is non-orientable, we remove  $\pm$  from the notation.

There is a natural diagonal action of  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(\Sigma_0, \Delta)$  on  $\mathscr{T}(\Sigma_g^s; \Delta)$ . It is given by formula (1) on the factor  $\mathscr{T}(\Sigma_g^s)$ . In the open simplex  $\operatorname{K}^{m-1}$ , this action corresponds to a permutation on the set of marked points of  $\Delta$  and their associated positive weights, induced by the mapping class. It is worth pointing out that  $\mathscr{T}(\Sigma_q^s; \Delta)$  admits an action of  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(\Sigma_q^s)$  only when s = m.

2.2. The orientable double cover. The topological orientable double cover of the nonorientable compact surface  $N_{g+1}$  can be constructed (up to isomorphism) as follows. Consider a closed orientable surface  $F_g$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $F_g$  is invariant under reflections in the xy-, yz-, and xz- planes. We take the orientation in  $F_g$  induced by the embedding. Let  $\sigma: F_g \to F_g$  be the orientation reversing diffeomorphism

$$\sigma(x, y, z) = (-x, -y, -z).$$

Then the quotient  $F_g/\langle \sigma \rangle$  is homeomorphic to  $N_{g+1}$  and the natural projection  $\pi \colon F_g \to N_{g+1}$  is a double cover of  $N_{g+1}$  such that  $\sigma$  is a covering transformation.

Notation 2.4. Let  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ , and take s := 2n and  $m := 2\ell$  throughout this paper to make our notation coherent with the previous section. Consider  $\tilde{X} := \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{2n}\}$  a collection of s distinct distinguished points in  $F_g$  such that  $\sigma(p_{2i}) = p_{2i-1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $\pi(p_{2i}) = \pi(p_{2i-1})$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $X := \pi(\tilde{X})$  gives a collection of n distinguished distinct points in  $N_{g+1}$ . Let  $F_g^s := F_g - \tilde{X}$  and  $N_{g+1}^n := N_{g+1} - X$ . Then the projection  $\pi$  restricts to  $F_g^s$ , and gives the orientable double cover of  $N_{g+1}^n$ , which we denote again by  $\pi : F_g^s \to N_{g+1}^n$ .

Take a subset  $\Delta = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$  of  $\tilde{X}$ . Then  $\Delta$  is a set of *m* marked points in  $F_0 = F - P$ , where  $P = \{p_{m+1}, \dots, p_s\}$ . Moreover,  $\pi(\Delta)$  gives us a set of  $\ell$  marked points in  $N_0 = N_{g+1} - \pi(P)$ . An explicit model of the surface  $F_0$  is shown in Fig. 1.



(b) Model surface in the case of odd genus

Figure 1. Model surface  $F_0 = F_g - P$  with sets of punctures P and of marked points  $\Delta$ 

2.3. Mapping class groups, decorated Teichmüller spaces and the orientable double cover. The orientable double cover  $\pi$  induces a group homomorphism

 $\Theta \colon \operatorname{Mod}(N_{g+1}^n) \to \operatorname{Mod}(F_q^s),$ 

which is injective for  $g \ge 2$  if n = 0 and for all  $g \ge 0$  if  $n \ge 1$ ; see [CX24, Theorem 3.2] and references therein. Furthermore, notice that  $\sigma : F_g^s \to F_g^s$  represents a mapping class in  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_g^s)$  and via the monomorphism  $\Theta$  we have

$$\operatorname{Mod}(N_{g+1}^n) \cong \operatorname{Mod}(F_g^s) \cap C_{\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_g^s)}(\sigma),$$

where  $C_{\text{Mod}^{\pm}(F_g^s)}(\sigma)$  denotes the centralizer in  $\text{Mod}^{\pm}(F_g^s)$  of the mapping class represented by the diffeomorphism  $\sigma$ . Therefore, under the monomorphism  $\Theta$ , the groups  $\text{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)$  and  $\text{Mod}(N_{g+1}^n)$  can be identified as subgroups of  $C_{\text{Mod}^{\pm}(F_g^s)}(\sigma)$ .

Furthermore, since  $\Delta$  and P are  $\sigma$ -invariant,  $\sigma$  also represents a mapping class in Mod<sup>±</sup>( $F_0, \Delta$ ) and  $\Theta$  restricts to a monomorphism from Mod( $N_0, \pi(\Delta)$ ) to Mod<sup>±</sup>( $F_0, \Delta$ ). Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Mod}(N_0, \pi(\Delta)) \cong \operatorname{Mod}(F_0, \Delta) \cap C_{\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0, \Delta)}(\sigma),$$

where  $C_{\text{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0,\Delta)}(\sigma)$  is the centralizer in  $\text{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0,\Delta)$  of the mapping class represented by  $\sigma$ .

The orientable double cover  $\pi$  also induces a topological embedding

$$\pi^* \colon \mathscr{T}(N^n_{g+1}) \to \mathscr{T}(F^s_g).$$

The function  $\pi^*$  is actually an isometric embedding with respect to the corresponding Teichmüller metrics; see for example [SS92, Section 4.5] and [CX24, Section 4]. Furthermore, [SS92, Theorem 4.5.1] and [CX24, Theorem 4.3] show that the image of  $\pi^*$  is given by

$$\pi^*(\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n)) = \mathscr{T}(F_g^s)^c$$

where  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s)^{\sigma} := \{R \in \mathscr{T}(F_g^s) : \sigma \cdot R = R\}$  is the set of fixed points of the action of (the mapping class represented by)  $\sigma$  on  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s)$ .

The embedding  $\pi^* \colon \mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n) \to \mathscr{T}(F_g^s)$  and the monomorphism  $\Theta \colon \operatorname{Mod}(N_{g+1}^n) \to \operatorname{Mod}(F_g^s)$  are compatible with the actions of the mapping class groups on the corresponding Teichmüller spaces: for any  $g \in \operatorname{Mod}(N_{g+1}^n)$ , we have  $\pi^*(g \cdot R) = \Theta(g) \cdot \pi^*(R)$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** The Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n)$  of a non-orientable surface is  $\operatorname{Mod}(N_{g+1}^n)$ equivariantly homeomorphic to the subspace  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s)^{\sigma}$  of the Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s)$  of its
orientable double cover.

From our discussion above, it follows that the double cover  $\pi$  induces a topological embedding

$$\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n;\pi(\Delta)) \to \mathscr{T}(F_g^s;\Delta) \text{ given by } (R,[\lambda_1:\ldots:\lambda_\ell]) \mapsto (\pi^*(R),[\lambda_1:\lambda_1:\ldots:\lambda_\ell:\lambda_\ell]),$$

which is compatible with the monomorphism  $\operatorname{Mod}(N_0, \pi(\Delta)) \to \operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0, \Delta)$  and the corresponding actions on the decorated Teichmüller spaces. Moreover, the analogous statement corresponding to Proposition 2.5 also holds. We denote by  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)^{\sigma}$  the subspace of  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$  of fixed points of  $\sigma$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** The decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$  of a non-orientable surface is  $\operatorname{Mod}(N_0, \pi(\Delta))$ -equivariantly homeomorphic to the subspace  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)^{\sigma}$  of the decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$  of its orientable double cover.

## 3. Ideal triangulations and spines for decorated Teichmüller spaces

In this section we recall an ideal triangulation of the decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$ introduced in [Har86] and Harer's construction of a spine for  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$  from this triangulation. We show in Corollary 3.6 and Corollary 3.11 how to obtain from Harer's setting an ideal triangulation and a spine for the decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$ , this is done by identifying this space with the subspace  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)^{\sigma}$  using the orientable double cover as described in Section 2.2.

3.1. Arc systems and Harer's complex of arcs. Let us recall the definition of Harer's complex of arcs as introduced in [Har86, Section 1].

Consider the closed orientable surface  $F_g$ , and the sets of points  $\Delta$  and P as defined in Section 2.1. As before let  $F_0 = F_g - P$ . A properly embedded path in  $F_0$  between two points of  $\Delta$  will be called a  $\Delta$ -arc. The isotopy class in  $F_0$  (rel  $\Delta$ )  $[\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_k]$  of a family of  $\Delta$ -arcs will be called a *rank-k arc system* if:

- (1)  $\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j \subset \Delta$  for distinct *i* and *j*, and
- (2) for each connected component B of the surface obtained by *splitting*  $F_0$  along  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_k$ , the Euler characteristic of the double of B along  $\partial B \Delta$  is negative.

Condition (2) ensures that  $\Delta$ -arcs are not null-homotopic (rel  $\Delta$ ), and no two distinct  $\Delta$ -arcs are homotopic (rel  $\Delta$ ).

**Remark 3.1.** Let us be more precise about the *connected components* appearing in condition (2). Consider a collection of  $\Delta$ -arcs  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_k$  such that condition (1) holds. Let  $B^o$  be one of the connected components of the open surface  $F_0 - \bigcup_{i=0}^k \alpha_i$ . Hence  $B^o$  is the interior of a surface B with non-empty boundary, and we have an *attaching map*  $\phi_B \colon \partial B \to \bigcup_{i=0}^k \alpha_i$ . Since  $\bigcup_{i=0}^k \alpha_i$  is canonically a 1-dimensional CW-complex (with 0-skeleton contained in  $\Delta$ ), we can pull-back that cellular structure to  $\partial B$ . By an abuse of notation we call  $\Delta$  the 0-skeleton of  $\partial B$ . Whenever B is homeomorphic to a disk or a punctured disk, we consider it as a polygon or a punctured polygon respectively, and every edge will be labeled with the corresponding  $\Delta$ -arc in the system.

**Definition 3.2** (Harer's complex of arcs). Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  be the simplicial complex that has a k-simplex  $\langle \alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_k \rangle$  for each rank-k arc system in  $F_0$  and such that  $\langle \beta_0, \ldots, \beta_l \rangle$  is a face of  $\langle \alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_k \rangle$  if  $\{ [\beta_0], \ldots, [\beta_l] \}$  is contained in  $\{ [\alpha_0], \ldots, [\alpha_k] \}$ .

The dimension of the complex  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  is 6g - 7 + 2s + m; see for example [CRAS25, Theorem 2.2] for an explicit computation. The natural action of the group  $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$  on  $\Delta$ -arcs induces an action on  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  by simplicial automorphisms.

We say an arc system **A** fills up  $F_0$  provided every point in  $\Delta$  is the initial or final point of an arc in **A**, and each connected component *B* of the splitting of  $F_0$  along **A** is either homeomorphic to a disk or to a once-puntured disk. Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\Delta)$  be the codimension 2 subcomplex of  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ formed by all the arc systems that do not fill up  $F_0$ . Notice that the action of  $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$  on  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  preserves the subcomplex  $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\Delta)$ . **Remark 3.3.** The action of  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$  on  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  has inversions. For instance, the involution  $\sigma$  acts as an inversion in the 1-simplex  $\langle \alpha_0, \sigma(\alpha_0) \rangle$ , where  $\alpha_0$  is the  $\Delta$ -arc in Fig. 2. To avoid this we work with the barycentric subdivision.

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{A}^0$  and  $\mathcal{A}^0_{\infty}$  the barycentric subdivision of the complexes  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\Delta)$ , respectively. More explicitly,  $\mathcal{A}^0$  is the simplicial complex with vertex set given by the set of all arc systems in  $F_0$ , and a k-simplex is given by a chain  $\mathbf{A}_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathbf{A}_k$  of arc systems. Notice that the group  $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$  acts simplicially without inversions on  $\mathcal{A}^0$ , and it restricts to an action of  $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$  on  $\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_{\infty}$ .

3.2. Ideal triangulations of decorated Teichmüller spaces. Let  $s \ge 1$  and 2g + s > 2. The following result states that  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta) - \mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\Delta)$  defines an *ideal* triangulation of the decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(F_q^s; \Delta)$ .

# **Theorem 3.4.** [Har86, Theorem 1.3] There exists a Mod<sup>±</sup>( $F_0$ ; $\Delta$ )-equivariant homeomorphism $\phi: \mathscr{T}(F_q^s; \Delta) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Delta) - \mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\Delta).$

Using the hyperbolic geometry perspective, Penner gave an alternative construction of this ideal triangulation in [Pen87] in the case s = m.

**Remark 3.5.** Harer's result [Har86, Theorem 1.3] actually states that  $\phi$  is a PMod $(F_g^s)$ equivariant homeomorphism. We briefly recall Harer's definition of  $\phi$ , and point out that this
homeomorphism is in fact Mod<sup>±</sup> $(F_0; \Delta)$ -equivariant. See also [Har88, Chapter 2] for m = s = 1and [GHJ01, Section 2.4] and [Mon11, Section 5.3] for m = s.

Let  $(R, \lambda) \in \mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$ . Then R is a marked Riemann surface with distinguished points  $q_1, \ldots, q_s$  and a marking  $f: R \to F_g$ , and  $\lambda = [\lambda_1: \ldots: \lambda_m]$  is a projective class of positive weights on the m points of  $\Delta_R := f^{-1}(\Delta) = \{q_1, \ldots, q_m\}$ . By a result of Strebel [Str67, Part 9,10 and 11], [Mon11, Theorem 5.2], there exists a unique (up to scalar multiplication) quadratic differential  $\omega_R = \{\omega_\alpha(z_\alpha)dz_\alpha^2\}$  on R that has double poles in each point of  $\Delta_R$  and no other poles, and such that the real trajectories of  $\omega_R$  are closed. Moreover, the singular trajectories of the differential  $\omega_R$  decompose  $R_0 = R - \{q_{m+1}, \ldots, q_s\}$  into m disks  $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ , where each disk  $G_i$  contains a point  $q_i \in \Delta_R$ , and the projective class of the radii of  $\{G_i\}$  is equal to  $\lambda$ . The imaginary trajectories of  $\omega_R$  that begin and end at points in  $\Delta_R$  break into parallel families. We select one leaf of each family and apply f to obtain an arc system  $[\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_k]$  in  $F_g$ . These arcs, combined with the projective class of weights determined by  $\omega_R$ , give a point  $\phi((R, \lambda))$  in the interior of the simplex  $\langle \alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_k \rangle$  which lies in  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta) - \mathcal{A}_{\infty}(\Delta)$ . The fact that the homeomorphism  $\phi$  is equivariant under the action of  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$  follows from noticing that  $\overline{\omega}_R = \{\omega_\alpha(\overline{z}_\alpha)d\overline{z}_\alpha^2\}$  is (up to scalar multiplication) the Strebel quadratic differential  $\omega_{R^*}$  on  $R^*$ , and hence it generates the same imaginary and real trajectories as  $\omega_R$ .

Now we let  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ , g + n > 1, and take s := 2n and  $m := 2\ell$ . Consider Notation 2.4 and the orientable double cover  $\pi : F_g^s \to N_{g+1}^n$  as described in Section 2.2. Notice that the homeomorphism  $\phi$  from Theorem 3.4 induces a  $C_{\text{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0;\Delta)}(\sigma)$ -equivariant homeomorphism

$$\phi^{\sigma} \colon \mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)^{\sigma} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}_{\infty}^0)^{\sigma},$$

where  $(\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_{\infty})^{\sigma}$  es the subspace of  $\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_{\infty}$  consisting of points fixed by  $\sigma$ . It is worth noticing that  $(\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_{\infty})^{\sigma}$  is a simplicial complex since we are working in the baricentric subdivision of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

On the other hand, from Section 2.3 we know that the double cover  $\pi$  identifies  $\operatorname{Mod}(N_0, \pi(\Delta))$  with a subgroup of  $C_{\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0,\Delta)}(\sigma)$ . Therefore, by combining  $\phi^{\sigma}$  with Proposition 2.6, we obtain an ideal triangulation  $(\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_{\infty})^{\sigma}$  for the decorated Teichmüller space of a non-orientable surface  $N^n_{q+1}$ .

**Corollary 3.6.** There is a Mod $(N_0; \pi(\Delta))$ -equivariant homeomorphism  $\varphi \colon \mathscr{T}(N^n_{a+1}; \pi(\Delta)) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_\infty)^{\sigma}.$  In Corollary 4.5 below we show that  $(\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_{\infty})^{\sigma}$  has dimension  $3g + 2n + \ell - 4$ .

3.3. Spines for decorated Teichmüller spaces. In [Har86, Section 2], Harer used the ideal triangulation  $\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_{\infty}$  of the decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$  to construct a *spine*: a cell complex inside  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$  onto which  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$  may be  $\text{PMod}(F_g^s)$ -equivariantly deformation retracted. In this section, we recall Harer's construction of the spine  $\mathcal{Y}$ . By identifying once again  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$  with the subspace  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)^{\sigma}$  via the orientable double cover, we see in Corollary 3.11 that the  $\sigma$ -fixed points of  $\mathcal{Y}$  give a spine for the decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$ . In Section 4 we compute its dimension.

**Definition 3.7** (Harer's spine). Let  $\mathcal{Y}(=\mathcal{Y}_g^{s,m})$  be the subcomplex of  $\mathcal{A}^0$  spanned by the arc systems that fill up  $F_0$ . Therefore, the vertices of  $\mathcal{Y}$  consist of the set of arc systems that fill up  $F_0$  and a k-simplex of  $\mathcal{Y}$  is given by a chain of arc systems  $\mathbf{A}_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathbf{A}_k$ , where all the  $\mathbf{A}_i$  fill up  $F_0$ . We call *Harer's spine* both the complex  $\mathcal{Y}$  and the subspace  $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}) \subset \mathscr{T}(F_a^s; \Delta)$ .

**Remark 3.8.** In [Har86, Section 2], Harer defines two complexes Y and  $Y^0$ , the first one as the dual complex of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the second as a subcomplex of  $\mathcal{A}^0$ . In our notation  $\mathcal{Y}$  coincides with  $Y^0$ . Harer also points out that  $Y^0$  is the baricentric subdivision of Y; hence the geometric realization of these complexes are homeomorphic.

**Theorem 3.9.** [Har86, Theorem 2.1] The complex  $\mathcal{A}^0$  equivariantly deformation retracts onto the complex  $\mathcal{Y}$ . This retraction provides a  $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$ -equivariant homotopy equivalence between  $\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_{\infty}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

**Remark 3.10.** In [Har86, Theorem 2.1] Harer only states the existence of a PMod $(F_g^s)$ equivariant deformation retraction from  $\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_\infty$  onto  $\mathcal{Y}$ . However, from Harer's proof it
follows that this deformation retraction is, in fact,  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$ -equivariant. Indeed, his proof
is done inductively by collapsing the stars in  $\mathcal{A}^0$  of cells in  $\mathcal{A}^0_\infty$ . For each  $k \geq 1$ , starting with  $\mathcal{A}^0_0 = \mathcal{A}^0$ , Harer gives a  $\operatorname{Mod}(F_0; \Delta)$ -equivariant deformation retraction from  $\mathcal{A}^0_{k-1}$  onto  $\mathcal{A}^0_k$ ,
the complex obtained from  $\mathcal{A}^0_{k-1}$  by removing the open stars of all vertices of  $\mathcal{A}^0_\infty$  of weight k-1 (i.e the ones given by arc systems  $[\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}]$  of rank k-1). This way, he obtains an
equivariant deformation retraction from  $\mathcal{A}^0_\infty$  onto  $\mathcal{A}^0_\infty$  of weight k-1. Since
the action of  $\sigma$  leaves invariant the set of vertices of  $\mathcal{A}^0_\infty$  of weight k-1, then  $\sigma$  leaves invariant
the complex  $\mathcal{A}^0_k$ , and the deformation retraction is in fact  $\sigma$ -equivariant.

Let  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$  the subcomplex of  $\mathcal{Y}$  of  $\sigma$ -fixed points. Notice that  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$  is a subcomplex of  $(\mathcal{A}^{0})^{\sigma}$ , and since  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{A}^{0} - \mathcal{A}^{0}_{\infty}$ , then  $(\mathcal{Y})^{\sigma}$  is actually subspace of  $(\mathcal{A}^{0} - \mathcal{A}^{0}_{\infty})^{\sigma}$ . By taking  $\sigma$ -fixed points, the Mod<sup>±</sup>( $F_{0}$ ;  $\Delta$ )-equivariant deformation retraction from Theorem 3.9 restricts to  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$ and combined with Corollary 3.6 gives the following result.

**Corollary 3.11.** The complex  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$  is a  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$ -equivariant deformation retract of  $(\mathcal{A}^0)^{\sigma}$ . This retraction gives a  $C_{\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0,\Delta)}(\sigma)$ -equivariant homotopy equivalence between  $(\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_{\infty})^{\sigma}$ and  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$ . Furthermore,  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma})$  is a  $\operatorname{Mod}(N_{g+1}; \pi(\Delta))$ -equivariant deformation retraction of  $\mathscr{T}(N^n_q; \pi(\Delta))$ .

**Definition 3.12** (A spine for  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$ ). We refer to both the complex  $\mathscr{Y}^{\sigma}$  and the subspace  $\mathscr{Z} := \varphi^{-1}(\mathscr{Y}^{\sigma})$  of  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$  as *Harer's spine for*  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$ . When we want to emphasize the parameters of the non-orientable surface we use the notation  $\mathscr{Z}_{g+1}^{n,\ell}$ .

#### 4. The dimension of the spine

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 4.7 which gives the dimension of the spine  $\mathcal{Z}$ , from Definition 3.12, for the decorated Teichmüller space of a punctured non-orientable surface. Since this spine is given by  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$ , the  $\sigma$ -fixed points of Harer's spine  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_{g}^{s,m}$ , we first study (in Section 4.1) arc systems that are fixed by the action of  $\sigma$ . **Definition 4.1.** Let **A** be an arc system in  $F_0$ . We say that **A** is  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system if and only if  $\sigma \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}$ .

In order to obtain an upper bound for the dimension of any k-simplex in  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$ , we establish upper and lower bounds for the rank of any  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system that fills up  $F_0$ ; see Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.6. To show equality, we construct an explicit k-simplex in  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$  that attains the upper bound. We do this in Section 4.2 and obtain Theorem 4.7.

4.1. Properties of  $\sigma$ -invariant arc systems. Consider the complexes  $(\mathcal{A}^0)^{\sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$  appearing in Corollary 3.11. First notice that  $(\mathcal{A}^0)^{\sigma}$  is the subcomplex of  $\mathcal{A}^0$  spanned by  $\sigma$ -invariant arc systems, and  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$  is precisely the subcomplex of  $(\mathcal{A}^0)^{\sigma}$  spanned by the  $\sigma$ -invariant arc systems that fill up  $F_0$ . More precisely, the vertices of  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$  consist of the set of  $\sigma$ -invariant arc systems that fill up  $F_0$  and a k-simplex of  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$  is given by a chain of arc systems  $\mathbf{A}_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathbf{A}_k$ , where each  $\mathbf{A}_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system that fills up  $F_0$ .

The following explicit  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system will be useful for our constructions below.

**Example 4.2** ( $\sigma$ -invariant arc system with 2g + 2 arcs). Consider the model surface from Fig. 1. We begin by constructing a  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system for a surface of even genus.





(b)  $\sigma$ -arc system of a surface of odd genus



Define two arcs,  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_{2g+1} := \sigma(\alpha_0)$ , which join the points  $p_1$  and  $p_2 = \sigma(p_1)$  in such a way that the surface splits into two regions,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , with  $\sigma(F_1) = F_2$ . In  $F_1$ , select the loops  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_g$  with base point  $p_1$ , each passing through one handle of the surface. Then, add the images  $\alpha_{g+1} := \sigma(\alpha_{g-1}), \alpha_{g+2} := \sigma(\alpha_g), \ldots, \alpha_{2g-1} := \sigma(\alpha_1), \alpha_{2g} := \sigma(\alpha_2)$ . This set of arcs forms the arc system  $\mathbf{B} = [\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2g+1}]$ , as illustrated in Fig. 2. By construction, the arc system  $\mathbf{B}$  is  $\sigma$ -invariant. Cutting the surface  $F_0$  along  $\mathbf{B}$ , we obtain two polygons each with 2g + 2 sides with marked points and punctures in their interiors as show in Fig. 3.

An analogous  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system can be constructed for a surface of odd genus, as shown in Fig. 2b, yielding a similar representation of  $F_0$  upon cutting along this arc system.

**Lemma 4.3.** Any  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system in  $F_0$  has an even number of  $\Delta$ -arcs.

*Proof.* Let A be a  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system in  $F_0$ . We claim that there is a filtration of A

$$\mathbf{A}_1 \subsetneq \mathbf{A}_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathbf{A}_k = \mathbf{A},$$



Figure 3. Polygons obtained by cutting  $F_0$  along the arc system **B**.

where each  $\mathbf{A}_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system in  $F_0$  and  $|\mathbf{A}_i| = 2i$  for all i. We construct the filtration recursively. Let  $\alpha_{s_1} \in \mathbf{A}$ , we define  $\mathbf{A}_1 = \langle \alpha_{s_1}, \sigma(\alpha_{s_1}) \rangle$ , note that  $\mathbf{A}_1 \subset \mathbf{A}$  and  $|\mathbf{A}_1| = 2$  this is because for all  $\alpha \in \mathbf{A}$  we have that  $\sigma(\alpha) \in \mathbf{A}$  and they are not homotopic relative to  $\Delta$ . Indeed, if  $\alpha_{s_1}$  is a loop, then by the construction of  $F_0$ , the initial point of  $\alpha_{s_1}$  and  $\sigma(\alpha_{s_1})$  are distinct, and the claim follows. Now, if  $\alpha_{s_1}$  connects two distinct points of  $\Delta$ , then by the construction of  $F_0$ , the endpoints of  $\alpha_{s_1}$  and  $\sigma(\alpha_{s_1})$  are swapped, and hence they are not homotopic relative to  $\Delta$ .

We define  $\mathbf{A}_i$  from  $\mathbf{A}_{i-1}$  as follows: let  $\alpha_{s_i} \in \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_{i-1}$  then we define  $\mathbf{A}_i = \mathbf{A}_{i-1} \cup \langle \alpha_{s_i}, \sigma(\alpha_{s_i}) \rangle$ . Note that  $\sigma(\alpha_{s_i}) \neq \alpha_k$  for all  $k \leq i$  and  $\sigma(\alpha_{s_i}) \neq \sigma(\alpha_k)$  for all k < i. In fact, if  $\sigma(\alpha_{s_i}) = \alpha_k$  for some k < i, then  $\alpha_{s_i} = \sigma(\alpha_k)$  in particular  $\alpha_{s_i} \in \mathbf{A}_{i-1}$  and  $\alpha_{s_i} \notin \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_{i-1}$  and this contradicts our choice of  $\alpha_{s_i}$ . A similar argument gives us the other conclusion. Therefore it follows that  $|\mathbf{A}_i| = 2i$  for all i.

Since  $|\mathbf{A}| < \infty$  we have that  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_i$  for some *i*, moreover by construction  $|\mathbf{A}_i| = 2i$ . In particular, **A** has an even number of  $\Delta$ -arcs.

**Lemma 4.4.** There exist a  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system  $\mathbf{B}_{max}$  of maximal rank 6g - 7 + 2s + m.

Proof. According to [CRAS25, Lemma 2.6], the rank of any maximal arc system in  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  is 6g - 7 + 2s + m. Recall that an arc system is maximal if and only if it splits  $F_0$  into triangles and once-punctured monogons, see [CRAS25, Proposition 2.5]. Consider the  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system **B** with 2g + 2 arcs described in Example 4.2 and the representation in Fig. 3. By adding arcs to **B**, we obtain a  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system  $\mathbf{B}_{max}$  of maximal rank. First, add the 2g - 1 diagonals in the left polygon and its 2g - 1 images under  $\sigma$  of these arcs in the second polygon. Then, add three arcs for each of the marked point  $p_3, \ldots, p_m$  yielding 3(m-2) arcs. Finally, for each puncture in  $F_0$  we add two arcs, so we get 2(s - m) additional arcs. We illustrate this construction for a surface of even genus in Fig. 4. Notice that the total number of  $\Delta$ -arcs in  $\mathbf{B}_{max}$  is equal to

$$(2g+2) + 2(2g-1) + 3(m-2) + 2(s-m) = 6g + 2s + m - 6 = 2(3g + 2n + \ell - 3).$$

Therefore, by construction  $\mathbf{B}_{max}$  is a  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system of rank 6g + 2s + m - 7.

Notice that the  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system  $\mathbf{B}_{max}$  defines a chain of maximal length

$$\mathbf{B}_0 \subsetneq \mathbf{B}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathbf{B}_{3g+2n+\ell-4} = \mathbf{B}_{max},$$

where  $\mathbf{B}_i = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2i+1}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system of rank 2i+1, for each i. Furthermore, since the arc system  $\mathbf{B}_{max}$  fills up the surface  $F_0$ , this chain defines a simplex of maximal dimension in both  $(\mathcal{A}^0)^{\sigma}$  and  $(\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_{\infty})^{\sigma}$ .



Figure 4. A  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system  $\mathbf{B}_{max}$  of maximal rank for a surface of even genus

**Corollary 4.5.** Both the simplicial complex  $(\mathcal{A}^0)^{\sigma}$  spanned by  $\sigma$ -invariant arc systems and the ideal triangulation  $(\mathcal{A}^0 - \mathcal{A}^0_{\infty})^{\sigma}$  of  $\mathscr{T}(N^n_{g+1}; \pi(\Delta))$  have dimension  $3g + 2n + \ell - 4$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** Let A be a  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system that fills up  $F_0$ . Then

$$\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A}) \geqslant \begin{cases} 2g + s - 3 & \text{if } m < s, \\ 2g + s - 1 & \text{if } m = s. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, there is an explicit  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system  $\mathbf{B}_{min}$  that fills up  $F_0$  and attains this lower bound.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system that fills up  $F_0$ . In the proof of [CRAS25, Theorem 1.1], the authors show that the rank of an arc system  $\mathbf{A}$  that fills up  $F_0$  is bounded below by

$$\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A}) \ge \begin{cases} 2g + s - 3 & \text{if } m < s, \\ 2g + s - 2 & \text{if } m = s. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 4.3 we know that rank(A) must be odd. Hence, rank(A)  $\geq 2g + s - 1$  when m = s, as claimed.

Now we construct a  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system that fills  $F_0$  that attains the lower bound. We do it explicitly for a surface of even genus, the construction is similar for a surface of odd genus.

Consider the  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system  $\mathbf{B} = [\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{2g+1}]$  constructed in Example 4.2. Splitting the surface along this arc system yields two polygons as in Fig. 2, each with 2g + 2 sides, containing marked points and punctures in their interiors. We add m-2 green arcs that connect each of the marked points in the interior of the polygons as indicated in Fig. 5, obtaining an arc system with (2g + 2) + (m - 2) arcs. If m = s, then  $F_0 = F_g$  and we take  $\mathbf{B}_{min}$  to be this arc system. If m < s, we add (s - m) - 2 purple loops that around each of the punctures of  $F_0$  except two (one puncture in each polygon). We take  $\mathbf{B}_{min}$  as this arc system with (2g + 2) + (m - 2) + (s - m) - 2 arcs. By construction,  $\mathbf{B}_{min}$  is  $\sigma$ -invariant and fills up  $F_0$ . It has rank equal to 2g + s - 3 if m < s and rank equal to 2g + s - 1 if m = s.

4.2. Computation of the dimension. The dimension of Harer's spine  $\mathcal{Y}$  was explicitly computed in [CRAS25, Theorem 1.1]. Here, we follow a similar strategy and use the results in Section 4.1 to compute the dimension of  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$ .



Figure 5. A minimal  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system  $\mathbf{B}_{min}$  that fills up  $F_0$  of even genus

**Theorem 4.7** (Dimension of the spine  $\mathcal{Z}$  for  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$ ). Let  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$  and take g+n > 1. The dimension of the spine  $\mathcal{Z}(=\mathcal{Z}_{g+1}^{n,\ell})$  is given by

$$\dim(\mathcal{Z}) = \begin{cases} 2g + n + \ell - 2 & \text{if } \ell < n, \\ 2g + 2n - 3 & \text{if } \ell = n. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $m = 2\ell$  and s = 2n. Since  $\mathcal{Z}_{g+1}^{n,\ell}$  has the same dimension as  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma} = (\mathcal{Y}_g^{s,m})^{\sigma}$ , we work with the latter. Recall that any k-dimensional simplex in  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$  is determined by a chain  $\mathbf{A}_0 \subsetneq \mathbf{A}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathbf{A}_k$ , where each  $\mathbf{A}_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system that fills up  $F_0$ . By Lemma 4.6, we have that

$$\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A}_0) \geqslant \begin{cases} 2g + s - 3 & \text{if } m < s, \\ 2g + s - 1 & \text{if } m = s. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 4.4 it follows that  $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A}_k) \leq 6g + 2s + m - 7$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 4.3, each  $\mathbf{A}_i$  has an even number of arcs. Therefore,

$$k \leq \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A}_k) - \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A}_0)) = \begin{cases} (4g + s + m - 4)/2 = 2g + n + \ell - 2 & \text{if } \ell < n, \\ (4g + s + m - 6)/2 = 2g + 2n - 3 & \text{if } \ell = n, \end{cases}$$

which gives an upper bound for the dimension of  $(\mathcal{Y})^{\sigma}$ .

To complete the proof, it suffices to construct an explicit k-simplex in  $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma}$  that realizes this upper bound. We exhibit this for a surface of even genus. The construction for a surface of odd genus is similar and we omit it.

Take  $\mathbf{B}_0$  to be  $\mathbf{B}_{min}$ , the  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system that fills up  $F_0$  constructed in Lemma 4.6 and illustrated in Fig. 5. Then  $\mathbf{B}_0$  has rank equal to 2g + s - 3 if m < s and equal to 2g + s - 2if m = s. To this arc system, we add a curve in the left polygon and its image under  $\sigma$  in the right. We obtain a new  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system  $\mathbf{B}_1$  that fills up  $F_0$ . With the same procedure, by adding two curves at a time we obtain a chain

$$\mathbf{B}_{min} = \mathbf{B}_0 \subsetneq \mathbf{B}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathbf{B}_k = \mathbf{B}_{max},$$

of  $\sigma$ -invariant arcs that fill up  $F_0$ . We finish by obtaining  $\mathbf{B}_k$  as the maximal  $\sigma$ -invariant arc system  $\mathbf{B}_{max}$  from Lemma 4.4 illustrated in Fig. 4, and  $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{B}_k) = 6g + 2s + m - 7$ . Thus  $2k = \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{B}_k) - \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{B}_0)$ , and the k-simplex formed by this chain has the desired dimension.

In the case when  $\ell = 1$ , we have that  $|\pi(\Delta)| = 1$ , and  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta)) = \mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n)$ . Therefore, Corollary 3.11 and Theorem 4.7 give the following result.

**Corollary 4.8** (A spine for Teichmüller space of a non-orientable surface with punctures). Let  $n \geq 1$  and take g + n > 1. There is a  $\operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)$ -equivariant spine  $\mathcal{Z}(=\mathcal{Z}_{g+1}^{n,1})$  for the Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n)$  of dimension

$$\dim(\mathcal{Z}) = \begin{cases} 2g+n-1 & \text{if } n > 1, \\ 2g-1 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

## 5. Decorated Teichmüller spaces as classifying spaces for proper actions

Given a discrete group G, a model for the classifying space of G for proper actions  $\underline{E}G$  is a G-CW-complex X such that the fixed point set  $X^H$  of a subgroup H < G is contractible when H is finite, and is empty otherwise. Such a model always exists and is unique up to G-homotopy. Constructing explicit models for  $\underline{E}G$  of 'small' dimension is of particular interest, as they appear explicitly in the statement of the Baum-Connes conjecture and can be useful for computation; see for example [LR05, Lüc24].

The proper geometric dimension of G, denoted by  $\underline{\mathrm{gd}}(G)$ , is the minimum n for which there exists an n-dimensional model for  $\underline{E}G$ . For any virtually torsion-free group G it is well-known that  $\mathrm{vcd}(G) \leq \underline{\mathrm{gd}}(G)$ . However, there are groups for which the inequality is strict, see for instance [LN03, DS17, DS17].

The Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s)$  is known to be a model for the classifying space of  $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(F_g^s)$  for proper actions; see for instance [JW10, Proposition 2.3]. We promote this statement to the non-orientable setting. Let  $n \ge 0$  and s = 2n.

**Proposition 5.1.** The Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n)$  is a model of  $\underline{E} \operatorname{Mod}(N_{g+1}^n)$  of dimension 3g + 2n - 3.

Proof. By [Lüc05, Lemma 5.8],  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s)^{\sigma}$  is a model for  $C_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(F_g^s)}(\sigma)$ , the centralizer of  $\sigma$  in  $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(F_g^s)$ . Since  $\mathrm{Mod}(N_{g+1}^n)$  can be realized as a subgroup of  $C_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(F_g^s)}(\sigma)$ , it follows that  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s)^{\sigma}$  is a model of  $\underline{E} \mathrm{Mod}(N_{g+1}^n)$ . By Section 2.1 there is a  $\mathrm{Mod}(F_g^s)$ -equivariant homeomorphism between  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n)$  and  $(\mathscr{T}(F_g^s))^{\sigma}$ , which concludes the proof.

Now consider  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$  and take  $m = 2\ell$  and n = 2s. Let  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$  and  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$  denote the decorated Teichmüller spaces of  $N_{g+1}^n$  and its orientable double cover  $F_g^s$ , respectively, as considered in Section 2.3. We now show that these spaces are also models of classifying spaces for proper actions.

**Proposition 5.2.** The decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$  is a model of  $\underline{E} \operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$  of dimension 6g + 2s + m - 7. In particular,  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$  is a model of  $\underline{E} \operatorname{PMod}^{\pm}(F_g^s)$ .

Proof. Since  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$  is a subgroup of  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_g^s)$ , then the Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s)$  is also a model of  $\underline{E} \operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$ . On the other hand, recall from Section 2.1 that the action of  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$  on the decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta) \approx \mathscr{T}(F_g^s) \times \operatorname{K}^{m-1}$  is diagonal. The proposition then follows from noticing that  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$  acts on  $\operatorname{K}^{m-1}$  simplicially, and hence  $\operatorname{K}^{m-1}$  is equivariantly contractible.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 5.3.** The decorated Teichmüller space  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$  is a model of the classifying space  $\underline{E} \operatorname{Mod}(N_0, \pi(\Delta))$  of dimension  $3g + 2n + \ell - 4$ . In particular,  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$  is a model of  $\underline{E} \operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)$ .

Proof. Since  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)$  is a model for  $\underline{E} \operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$ , it follows from [Lüc05, Lemma 5.8] that  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)^{\sigma}$  is a model for the classifying space of proper actions for  $C_{\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0;\Delta)}(\sigma)$ , the centralizer of  $[\sigma]$  in  $\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0; \Delta)$ . Since  $\operatorname{Mod}(N_0, \pi(\Delta))$  can be realized as a subgroup of  $C_{\operatorname{Mod}^{\pm}(F_0;\Delta)}(\sigma)$ , then  $\mathscr{T}(F_g^s; \Delta)^{\sigma}$  is also a model for  $\underline{E} \operatorname{Mod}(N_0, \pi(\Delta))$ . The proposition follows

since from Proposition 2.6 there is an equivariant homeomorphism between  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$  and  $\mathscr{T}(F_q^s; \Delta)^{\sigma}$ .

In [Iva87, Theorem 6.9] Ivanov computed the virtual cohomological dimension of  $\operatorname{Mod}(N_{g+1}^n)$ . For  $n \geq 1$  and g+n > 1, it is known to be  $\operatorname{vcd}(\operatorname{Mod}(N_{g+1}^n)) = 2g+n-2$ . Therefore, the decorated Teichmuller spaces  $\mathscr{T}(N_{g+1}^n; \pi(\Delta))$  give models for  $\underline{E} \operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)$  of dimensions greater than  $\operatorname{vcd}(\operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n))$ . For orientable surfaces, Harer's spine gives a model for  $\underline{E} \operatorname{PMod}(F_g^s)$  of smaller dimension, which is actually of minimal dimension when s = 1; see for example [CRAS25, Introduction]. Proposition 5.3 combined with Corollary 3.11 and Theorem 4.7 imply the equivalent statement for non-orientable surfaces.

**Corollary 5.4.** Let  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$  and take g + n > 1. The spine  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{g+1}^{n,\ell}$  is a model for  $\underline{E} \operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)$  of dimension

$$\dim(\mathcal{Z}) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{vcd}(\operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)) + \ell & \text{if } \ell < n, \\ \operatorname{vcd}(\operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)) + n - 1 & \text{if } \ell = n. \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{Z}_{g+1}^{1,1}$  gives a model of minimal dimension for  $\underline{E} \operatorname{Mod}(N_{g+1}^1)$ .

It follows that  $\underline{\mathrm{gd}}(\mathrm{PMod}(N_{g+1}^1)) = \mathrm{vcd}(\mathrm{PMod}(N_{g+1}^1))$ . Combining this with an argument using the Birman exact sequence as in the proof of [CRAS25, Corollary 3.5] we can show the existence of a model for  $\underline{E} \operatorname{PMod}(N_{g+1}^n)$  of minimal dimension when  $n \geq 2$ ; this is Corollary 1.3.

#### References

- [AS60] L. V. Ahlfors and L. Sario. *Riemann surfaces*, volume No. 26 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1960.
- [CRAS25] N. Colin, R. Jiménez Rolland, P. L. León Álvarez, and L. J. Sánchez Saldaña. On the dimension of Harer's spine for the decorated Teichmüller space. To appear in Homology, Homotopy and Applications, 2025.
- [CX24] N. Colin and M. A. Xicoténcatl. The Nielsen realization problem for non-orientable surfaces. Topology Appl., 353:Paper No. 108957, 13, 2024.
- [DS17] D. Degrijse and J. Souto. Dimension invariants of outer automorphism groups. *Groups, Geometry,* and Dynamics, 11(4):1469–1495, 2017.
- [GHJ01] I. P. Goulden, J. L. Harer, and D. M. Jackson. A geometric parametrization for the virtual Euler characteristics of the moduli spaces of real and complex algebraic curves. *Trans. Amer. Math.* Soc., 353(11):4405–4427, 2001.
- [Har86] J. L. Harer. The virtual cohomological dimension of the mapping class group of an orientable surface. *Invent. Math.*, 84(1):157–176, 1986.
- [Har88] J. L. Harer. The cohomology of the moduli space of curves. In Theory of moduli (Montecatini Terme, 1985), volume 1337 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 138–221. Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [HSSnTN22] C. E. Hidber, L. J. Sánchez Saldaña, and A. Trujillo Negrete. On the dimensions of mapping class groups of non-orientable surfaces. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 24(1):347–372, 2022.
- [Hub06] J. H. Hubbard. Teichmüller theory and applications to geometry, topology, and dynamics. Vol. 1. Matrix Editions, Ithaca, NY, 2006. Teichmüller theory, With contributions by Adrien Douady, William Dunbar, Roland Roeder, Sylvain Bonnot, David Brown, Allen Hatcher, Chris Hruska and Sudeb Mitra, With forewords by William Thurston and Clifford Earle.
- [HZ86] J. L. Harer and D. Zagier. The Euler characteristic of the moduli space of curves. *Invent. Math.*, 85(3):457–485, 1986.
- [Iva87] N V Ivanov. Complexes of curves and the teichmüller modular group. Russian Mathematical Surveys, 42(3):55–107, jun 1987.
- [JW10] L. Ji and S. A. Wolpert. A cofinite universal space for proper actions for mapping class groups. In In the tradition of Ahlfors-Bers. V, volume 510 of Contemp. Math., pages 151–163. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [LN03] I. J Leary and B. EA Nucinkis. Some groups of type vf. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 151:135–165, 2003.
- [LR05] W. Lück and H. Reich. The Baum-Connes and the Farrell-Jones conjectures in K- and L-theory. In Handbook of K-theory. Vol. 1, 2, pages 703–842. Springer, Berlin, 2005.

- [Lüc05] W. Lück. Survey on classifying spaces for families of subgroups. In Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects, volume 248 of Progr. Math., pages 269–322. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.
- [Lüc24] W. Lück. Isomorphism conjectures in k-and l-theory. In preparation, see http://www. him. unibonn. de/lueck/data/ic. pdf, 2024.
- [Mon11] G. Mondello. Riemann surfaces with boundary and natural triangulations of the Teichmüller space. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 13(3):635–684, 2011.
- [Pen87] R. C. Penner. The decorated Teichmüller space of punctured surfaces. Comm. Math. Phys., 113(2):299–339, 1987.
- [PP16] A. Papadopoulos and R. C. Penner. Hyperbolic metrics, measured foliations and pants decompositions for non-orientable surfaces. *Asian J. Math.*, 20(1):157–182, 2016.
- [SS92] M. Seppälä and T. Sorvali. Geometry of Riemann surfaces and Teichmüller spaces, volume 169 of North-Holland Mathematics Studies. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1992.
- [Str67] K. Strebel. On quadratic differentials with closed trajectories and second order poles. J. Analyse Math., 19:373–382, 1967.

Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Oaxaca de Juárez, Oaxaca, México 68000

Email address: rita@im.unam.mx Email address: ncolin@im.unam.mx Email address: porfirio.leon@im.unam.mx

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO

Email address: luisjorge@ciencias.unam.mx